

---

Subject: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Killgeak](#) on Tue, 08 Nov 2011 23:38:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

00:26 MUDKIPS      • apparently, i got banned on renforums for no reason whatsoever ever  
00:27 MUDKIPS      • can someone make a thread there asking why?  
00:27 MUDKIPS      • thanks

so eerrrr, why?

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Wed, 09 Nov 2011 02:29:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

so i herd u liek mudkips

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [ChewML](#) on Wed, 09 Nov 2011 20:06:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

nb4 someone posting rule about posting on behalf of banned people

Also I am curious, why ban mudkips?

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2011 10:11:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

From what I heard, he should very well know the reason why. But I didn't perform the ban, so I won't interfere.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Omar007](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2011 10:42:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Goztow wrote on Thu, 10 November 2011 11:11 From what I heard, he should very well know the reason why. But I didn't perform the ban, so I won't interfere.

Too late

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

---

Posted by [iRANian](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2011 12:17:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So what's the reason? Could you also ban Azazel?

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2011 15:39:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

azazel will in time kill himself when he realizes what a piece of shit he is, so don't bother

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Fri, 11 Nov 2011 01:07:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

liquidv2 wrote on Thu, 10 November 2011 08:39azazel will in time kill himself when he realizes what a piece of shit he is, so don't bother  
we thought Loki would do the same

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 00:34:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

apparently MUDKIPS repeatedly joined the n00bstories server with a name that was insulting towards Jarhead  
aside from that i don't believe there's anything he could have done that Crimson or anyone else could consider a DoS attack  
that sounds like a bit of a stretch to me

it's their server and they can run it however they like  
but i can honestly say i wouldn't put up with Jarhead's shit for a minute

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 05:17:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Whether or not you like Jarhead or not (which is funny considering you don't know the first thing about him) is not a basis to justify flooding my server. Even if he repeatedly joined with "n00bstories is the best", I would have done the same thing. What kind of low-life loser spends a half hour joining a server and getting kicked over and over until I have to get woken up to IP ban

---

him to make it stop?

Sorry, but that behavior is completely intolerable. The private messages he's sent to Jarhead, insulting his military service in a very brutal way, are even worse than the server flooding.

I'm DONE with that pathetic loser.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 09:53:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 06:17 Whether or not you like Jarhead or not (which is funny considering you don't know the first thing about him) is not a basis to justify flooding my server. Even if he repeatedly joined with "n00bstories is the best", I would have done the same thing. What kind of low-life loser spends a half hour joining a server and getting kicked over and over until I have to get woken up to IP ban him to make it stop?

Sorry, but that behavior is completely intolerable. The private messages he's sent to Jarhead, insulting his military service in a very brutal way, are even worse than the server flooding.

I'm DONE with that pathetic loser.

While I see how that justifies banning him from NS, does that also justify banning him from Renegade forums?

I mean, of course it's your server, but I also think that while he annoyed you, he might be useful to other people in the Renegade community.

I feel that you should have different "hats" so to say, to not mingle RF related bits and NS related bits. I know this is not easy, certainly not because you run them on the same (set of) server(s), but it would show a certain... How do I put it... level of professionalism and fairness I guess.

Renegadeforums isn't the place to fight out community issues imho, whether you're admin or just some random low-life.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 18:33:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

She can ban him for whatever reason she feels is justified. She has shown this time and time again.

/For the love of all that is good, stop fucking quoting posts that are directly above your own.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 19:06:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 19:33She can ban him for whatever reason she feels is justified. She has shown this time and time again.

/For the love of all that is good, stop fucking quoting posts that are directly above your own. I wasn't asking whether see could. I was stating why I think it would be good to be careful with that.

/If I want I can quote the post directly above me. Problem?

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 19:10:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The fact that she hasn't banned the majority of people on this forum since 2003 is proof that she's been "careful" with bans. Take a look at what she wrote again. Read the part that details the specifics of what got him banned. Then re-read your posts, and you'll see how you're attempting to generalize her actions when they've been very specific.

Yes, I do have a problem with people like you. You do not need to quote posts that are directly above your own. Use the reply button. There is no pressing need to "quote" a post that everyone already read before they got to your post.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 19:11:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

that's fine, but what does that have to do with renforums  
i thought you kept your n00bstories and RF business separated  
that's where you entirely lost me

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 19:22:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 20:10The fact that she hasn't banned the majority of people on this forum since 2003 is proof that she's been "careful" with bans. Take a look at what she wrote again. Read the part that details the specifics of what got him banned. Then re-read your posts, and you'll see how you're attempting to generalize her actions when they've been very specific.

Yes, I do have a problem with people like you. You do not need to quote posts that are directly above your own. Use the reply button. There is no pressing need to "quote" a post that everyone already read before they got to your post.

Fun thing is that I, personally, would've banned people here a lot more, but then only for things

that they did here. Not for things that they did on my servers. That is what I'm trying to point out.

liquidv2 wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 20:11that's fine, but what does that have to do with renforums

i thought you kept your n00bstories and RF business separated

that's where you entirely lost me

This is what I meant. I know Crimson sees this separation different than me, which is fine.

However that is the reason for me to call for caution.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 19:23:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey, the funny thing here: Nobody but you gives a shit about what you would do if you were running these forums. How about you pay some of the server bills before you start saying "Well, what I would do is..." Keep quote spamming, you useless tool.

Liquid: He could've sent PMs on this forum (and the NS one), since I believe Jarhead has an account here.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 19:25:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 20:23He could've sent PMs on this forum (and the NS one), since I believe Jarhead has an account here.

He could, but he didn't. Are we going to ban people for what they \*could\* have done? Now that sounds like 1984 to me...

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 19:26:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes, George Orwell is spinning in his grave right now. Crimson's Renegade Government will surely rule us all.

Fucking imbecile

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 20:07:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 20:26 Yes, George Orwell is spinning in his grave right now. Crimson's Renegade Government will surely rule us all.

Fucking imbecile

I was not referring to Crimson, but to you, with your though-police suggestion. Way to make yourself look like an idiot. Banning people for what they could do is ridiculous. Or, well, if you really believe that, you should ban everyone in a gameserver. Everyone could be cheating. Be paranoid!

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 20:28:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'm defending her point of view, not saying that it was mine. Try reading - I'll illustrate what I mean.

Quote:Sorry, but that behavior is completely intolerable. The private messages he's sent to Jarhead, insulting his military service in a very brutal way, are even worse than the server flooding.

Crimson brought up PMs. I simply said that the PMs in question could have been from n00bstories.com or from renegadeforums.com, or both. Furthermore, you go on to say "are we going to ban people for what they could have done?" Implying somehow that I'm being the thought police, when the opposite happened: Quote:The private messages he's sent to Jarhead

Next time you try calling someone an idiot, I hope you look like you have a barbeclue.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Hypnos](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 20:50:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 19:10

Use the reply button. There is no pressing need to "quote" a post that everyone already read before they got to your post.

Surely this kind of rules out the quote function within the same topic you're about to reply in?

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 20:56:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I see nothing wrong with quotes in these, or similar, circumstances:

1. Quoting posts that are several posts above your own, to clarify what you're talking about.
2. Quoting posts on separate pages.
3. Quoting individual lines of a post to break down what you're responding to.

Here's a great guy who can say this better than I can.

Quote:QUOTE (db1989 @ Oct 28 2011, 07:26)

I edit simply to attempt to increase the readability of topics. Allow me to explain my (good?) intentions in more detail than anyone probably wanted:

(1) I remove full quotations of posts that are directly above the one in question, often because the user has clicked edit: quote reply and not removed the automatically inserted quotation (if I were king, I think I would disable that feature and leave it confined to the multi-quote button actually, no; it is useful but no less of a potential nuisance for that!). In this case, quoting the preceding post in its entirety not only is redundant but also wastes screen space and increases the amount of distance that the reader must scroll in order to navigate through the thread. The user would not have to search backwards in the topic, unless you mean scrolling up a couple of centimetres to read the above post. If the preceding post is quite complex and thus would benefit from a quote, I may attempt to truncate the full-quote to what I think and hope is the relevant portion, as quite rightly suggested by hlloyge.

(2) When a user quotes the whole of a post that is further back, I occasionally try to slim it down if it is large, contains inline images, etc. In this case, the reader can still use the snap-back arrow to locate the original post. But I usually just leave the quote in because, as you said, `_m2_`, it can be beneficial to the reader, in contrast to full-quoting the above post and thereby somewhat assuming a lack of intelligence and/or extreme laziness on their part.

I can easily believe that I get this wrong, and I apologise to any users who think their quotations have been removed inappropriately; please PM me to explain and have the post reverted if so.

However, I see little justification for quoting the post above your own in its entirety, as I am sure the reader can make the connection in most cases. It does not take much time or energy to consider whether an automatically generated quotation is necessary and to remove it if not, but it does considerably improve readability when you do.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 22:20:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 15:56 I see nothing wrong with quotes in these, or similar, circumstances:

1. Quoting posts that are several posts above your own, to clarify what you're talking about.

2. Quoting posts on separate pages.

3. Quoting individual lines of a post to break down what you're responding to.

Here's a great guy who can say this better than I can.

Quote:QUOTE (db1989 @ Oct 28 2011, 07:26)

I edit simply to attempt to increase the readability of topics. Allow me to explain my (good?) intentions in more detail than anyone probably wanted:

(1) I remove full quotations of posts that are directly above the one in question, often because the user has clicked edit: quote reply and not removed the automatically inserted quotation (if I were king, I think I would disable that feature and leave it confined to the multi-quote button actually, no; it is useful but no less of a potential nuisance for that!). In this case, quoting the preceding post in its entirety not only is redundant but also wastes screen space and increases the amount of distance that the reader must scroll in order to navigate through the thread. The user would not have to search backwards in the topic, unless you mean scrolling up a couple of centimetres to read the above post. If the preceding post is quite complex and thus would benefit from a quote, I may attempt to truncate the full-quote to what I think and hope is the relevant portion, as quite rightly suggested by hlloyge.

(2) When a user quotes the whole of a post that is further back, I occasionally try to slim it down if it is large, contains inline images, etc. In this case, the reader can still use the snap-back arrow to locate the original post. But I usually just leave the quote in because, as you said, `_m2_`, it can be beneficial to the reader, in contrast to full-quoting the above post and thereby somewhat assuming a lack of intelligence and/or extreme laziness on their part.

I can easily believe that I get this wrong, and I apologise to any users who think their quotations have been removed inappropriately; please PM me to explain and have the post reverted if so.

However, I see little justification for quoting the post above your own in its entirety, as I am sure the reader can make the connection in most cases. It does not take much time or energy to consider whether an automatically generated quotation is necessary and to remove it if not, but it does considerably improve readability when you do.

I am contributing to this thread.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 23:27:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If he is willing to spend several minutes joining the server over and over again, to trigger the auto-kick but relay his message that "Jarhead loves cock", and he's already brought his complaints over here after having to be banned from our forums, then it's logical to assume his next step is to flood here as well. I was just being proactive.



Not to mention him joining several times with usernames insulting Jarhead spaced out over an entire weekend of Sept 24-25.

luv2pb predicted on September 28th that he would take his attacks to the "next level" and it seems that he was absolutely correct.

Then, he thanks this man, who is a retired United States Marine, by calling him a "cowardly marine" and saying that he got his fellow soldiers killed.

You guys are giving him the attention his puny little 5 year old brain craves.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [iRANian](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 23:33:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So instead of banning him from your servers you ban him from an supposedly unrelated gaming forum? That doesn't make a lot of sense.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 12 Nov 2011 23:54:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:If he is willing to spend several minutes joining the server over and over again, to trigger the auto-kick but relay his message that "Jarhead loves cock", and he's already brought his complaints over here after having to be banned from our forums, then it's logical to assume his next step is to flood here as well. I was just being proactive.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Dave2916](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 00:22:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thank you so much dave for letting me loan your account

1. I have neither the knowledge or the intent to crash the n00bstories server, the only person I have a problem with is Jarhead. I have never ever done anything remotely like a (d)dos, and if you think spamming an insult is a denial of service attack you clearly dont have the knowhow to properly manage a server.
2. Whatever I do to n00bstories has no relation to renforums whatsoever, banning me here just shows what a power-abusing little (actually, quite big and fat LOL) mean lady you are.
3. I never spent hours joining the server. I was enormously bored, and joined with the nick "jarhead loves cock" (Which he probably does) several times until you prevented my from joining the server altogether.
4. If jarhead doesnt want to get insulted he should be such a piece of shit. Threatening players

who kill him too often, threatening fellow mods, banning people simply because he doesnt like them, muting them, kicking them. And when people complain about any of that he bans them too. If he expects to not get insulted when hes being a nazi like that he's even got less braincells than I thought.

5. Jarhead could have won the nobel prize for peace for all i care and i still would have insulted him with what i thought would insult him the most simply because he is ruining my and other people's gameplay experience because he doesnt want to get killed, or doesnt want people to point out what a retard he is. (on the instance he banned me, i wasnt even remotely saying anything. I was just playing, he told me not to start trouble with him, i told him i was playing and didnt care about him. Bam, gone. Same for my mate who protested).

6. Crimson loaned money from the poker union, started a poker business, stopped paying it back and used the money to hire lawyers to get out from paying it back altogether. And thats the kind of greedy fat mean lady you have in charge of these forums.

<http://www.phoenixnewtimes.com/2009-04-16/news/former-judge-bud-lee-would-rather-go-to-jail-than-give-up-his-fight-for-off-res-poker-parlors/>

It's all in the comments:

on a lawyer to help you weasel out of your agreement. You clearly do not care about defending the sport or the players.

money and that you word is worthless.

Seriously, you flat blubbering retarded whale, I wasnt even remotely interested in getting into a fight with you, or even getting involved with you whatsoever. But by being such a brainless hunk of worthless meat you have proven yet again that nobody can get away from your big fat fascist stupidity.

Honest to god woman.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [Caveman](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 00:36:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

screenshot'd before deleted.

Back on topic:

Unless he has actually done something that break the code of conduct here which as far as I can see he hasn't (I don't actually know who he is?) he shouldn't be banned. If he has sent abuse via PM on these forums then lets see it.

I don't care for this person but I disagree with banning someone on a forums thats not related to

the actual ban in question.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Vince](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 02:39:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Jarhead's conduct within this game is ridiculous. How he is still trusted to moderate servers just shows how limp Crimson is at making correct decisions.

Aircraftkiller, you should have stayed away from this game. Since your return you really are proving to be a melt.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 02:58:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dave2916 wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 17:22 Thank you so much dave for letting me loan your account

Dave is now banned from the forums for posting on behalf of a banned user in direct violation of forum rules.

Dave2916 wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 17:221. I have neither the knowledge or the intent to crash the n00bstories server, the only person I have a problem with is Jarhead. I have never ever done anything remotely like a (d)dos, and if you think spamming an insult is a denial of service attack you clearly dont have the knowhow to properly manage a server.

Spamming the server has the effect of making the chat lag, and making the players unable to see normal server messages and chat in-game and distracts the players from the game. That is the very definition of a Denial of Service attack, even if it IS on the low end of the spectrum. If you disagree, I would be more than happy to send off the logs to your ISP's abuse department and let them make the determination.

Dave2916 wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 17:222. Whatever I do to n00bstories has no relation to renforums whatsoever, banning me here just shows what a power-abusing little (actually, quite big and fat LOL) mean lady you are.

Bullshit. You continually bring your n00bstories problems to these and other forums. You are making yourself look very bad here.

Dave2916 wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 17:223. I never spent hours joining the server. I was enormously bored, and joined with the nick "jarhead loves cock" (Which he probably does) several times until you prevented my from joining the server altogether.

I have logs that show otherwise. You have attempted to evade your ban on dozens of occassions.

I had to firewall your IP to make your attack stop.

Dave2916 wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 17:224. If jarhead doesnt want to get insulted he should be such a piece of shit. Threatening players who kill him too often, threatening fellow mods, banning people simply because he doesnt like them, muting them, kicking them. And when people complain about any of that he bans them too. If he expects to not get insulted when hes being a nazi like that he's even got less braincells than I thought.

I'm sorry you don't like Jarhead. I also don't care. You have acted with absolutely NO maturity or intelligence and therefore your opinions and you as a person get NO respect from me or the rest of the n00bstories staff who shares the same opinion on your childlike behavior.

Dave2916 wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 17:225. Jarhead could have won the nobel prize for peace for all i care and i still would have insulted him with what i thought would insult him the most simply because he is ruining my and other people's gameplay experience because he doesnt want to get killed, or doesnt want people to point out what a retard he is. (on the instance he banned me, i wasnt even remotely saying anything. I was just playing, he told me not to start trouble with him, i told him i was playing and didnt care about him. Bam, gone. Same for my mate who protested).

So you feel that since Jarhead "ruined" your gaming experience that you can, in turn, destroy the experience for all the players on our server with your immature spam attack? How do you NOT see how childish and immature this behavior is?

Dave2916 wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 17:226. Crimson loaned money from the poker union, started a poker business, stopped paying it back and used the money to hire lawyers to get out from paying it back altogether. And thats the kind of greedy fat mean lady you have in charge of these forums.

It's all in the comments:

Quote:Come on Christine, rather than do what you agreed to do; you blew the union?s money on a lawyer to help you weasel out of your agreement. You clearly do not care about defending the sport or the players.

Those of us that have been fighting for poker for years know that you have stolen the union?s money and that you word is worthless.

Seriously, you flat blubbering retarded whale, I wasnt even remotely interested in getting into a fight with you, or even getting involved with you whatsoever. But by being such a brainless hunk of worthless meat you have proven yet again that nobody can get away from your big fat fascist stupidity.

Honest to god woman.

You are making a huge mistake taking some random guy's comments as an argument. If you dig further, you will see that the man tried to scam me out of thousands of dollars and after my lawyer took a look at what he was trying to do to me, he sent a couple of letters to get him to drop his

bullshit lawsuit against me. I stole NO money from the fake union that didn't even exist, and he was trying to extort thousands of dollars from me. He had to drop his case against me to avoid being counter-sued. If you look at Arizona state court records, you will see that he is currently in a trial with the Arizona State grand jury for his scam and he will most likely spend a lot of time in jail.

Also, I did not borrow anyone's money but Bank of America's to start my business. And I did pay it back to them. His comments are slanderous but since he doesn't have any money, it's not worth my time to sue him for it. I also didn't feel the need to drag the argument into a courtroom because no one in this city takes that crackpot old geezer seriously.

Proof: <http://www.azcentral.com/community/surprise/articles/2010/08/25/20100825arizona-gambling-probe.html>

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 03:19:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Can someone please tell me what nick Jarhead uses in-game? Is it just "Jarhead" or something else?

edit: I am only asking cos I see everyone complaining but I have never seen anyone as Jarhead in-game and I am on NS 12 hours a day (the other 12 hours are @ Jelly).

I do have a guess of who it maybe though.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 03:24:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

He's either Jarhead or Jarhead57. His son plays as well.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 03:28:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Vince wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 21:39  
Aircraftkiller, you should have stayed away from this game. Since your return you really are proving to be a melt.

Proving to be a melt?

Yeah? Okay... I guess I'm a sandwich because you said so.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 03:41:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 20:24He's either Jarhead or Jarhead57. His son plays as well.

I may have seen Jarhead57; I guess we play at different times.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 03:50:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

if he was permabanned from NS I'd understand why

but... banning him from here seems a bit over the top

I'm pretty sure I've been banned from servers before, but does that mean I should be banned from here? I mean, fuck, you guys still won't ban 0x90 on the basis that he "hasn't done anything on the forums" yet you'll ban MUDKIPS.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 03:58:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:I'm sorry you don't like Jarhead. I also don't care. You have acted with absolutely NO maturity or intelligence and therefore your opinions and you as a person get NO respect from me or the rest of the n00bstories staff who shares the same opinion on your childlike behavior. a few people were beefing on jarhead, and the only two that defended him were yourself and azazel (though azazel defending anyone is probably a negative) even spoony said it, and you know his logic goes down like 14 levels

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 04:05:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 20:50if he was permabanned from NS I'd understand why

but... banning him from here seems a bit over the top

I'm pretty sure I've been banned from servers before, but does that mean I should be banned from here? I mean, fuck, you guys still won't ban 0x90 on the basis that he "hasn't done anything on the forums" yet you'll ban MUDKIPS.

---

Again, he has been RELENTLESS in spamming the server and bitching on these forums... he's been banned for at least 2 or 3 months and he's STILL at it. It's extremely logical to assume that these forums will be next to be spammed by him. It's called being proactive to protect the users of these forums.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 04:07:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

liquidv2 wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 20:58Quote:I'm sorry you don't like Jarhead. I also don't care. You have acted with absolutely NO maturity or intelligence and therefore your opinions and you as a person get NO respect from me or the rest of the n00bstories staff who shares the same opinion on your childlike behavior.

a few people were beefing on jarhead, and the only two that defended him were yourself and azazel (though azazel defending anyone is probably a negative) even spoony said it, and you know his logic goes down like 14 levels

Hardly anyone here knows Jarhead... most people don't throw out their opinion when they don't know anything about the subject... but there are exceptions to that rule. (looks at EWD)

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 05:18:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

and yet many of the people that did weigh in on it did know jarhead yet you dismissed their opinions as well - no biggie, that's fine

i will now refer to a topic on this forum

icedog90 wrote on Thu, 04 January 2007 22:05Posting on behalf of banned users will be considered as bypassing a ban. For doing this, you will be issued a single warning and topic removal. If you do it again, you will be banned for the same duration as the person you posted for. Free dave!

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [ehhh](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 05:46:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

People may not know jarhead, but he does act like a retard alot.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS



Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 06:03:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So do you, but I don't see anyone posting threads about you or sending you harassing PMs.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 07:03:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Wait, I thought the argument was about that he didn't send harassing PMs on Renforums but was banned just in case?

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 09:15:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

No, the ban was because he was flooding my server and I didn't want him flooding these forums too.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [iRANian](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 11:20:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Could you ban Azazel for lowering people's opinion on n00bstories even more? I'm pretty sure he's doing the same with these forums, although it has a better reputation than n00bstories.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 11:26:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 21:05GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 20:50if he was permabanned from NS I'd understand why

but... banning him from here seems a bit over the top

I'm pretty sure I've been banned from servers before, but does that mean I should be banned from here? I mean, fuck, you guys still won't ban 0x90 on the basis that he "hasn't done anything on the forums" yet you'll ban MUDKIPS.

Again, he has been RELENTLESS in spamming the server and bitching on these forums... he's been banned for at least 2 or 3 months and he's STILL at it. It's extremely logical to assume that these forums will be next to be spammed by him. It's called being proactive to protect the users of

---



these forums.

how has he been "relentless" in bitching on these forums?

and it's not like the dude hacked and shit... I mean you may as well have banned me from n00bstories when I've been banned from here.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [YesNoMaybe](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 11:46:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 02:15No, I'm a powerabusing fat piece of shit, and since I am not neutral whatsoever I ban people from renforums to my liking

1. You are a known liar. (I really don't need to give any examples here, everybody here can recall at least one major situations where you flat out lied about everything)
2. You are a known power abuser (the reactions from everybody in this topic say enough about that)
3. You are a childish and very low person (fucking up devitto's attempts at starting a savage 2 server, breaking into exodus with old n00bstories passwords)
4. You are irresponsible (shown mainly from your conduct at the n00bparty, but mainly in the way you treat people you don't like, kind of like jarhead does)

In the end, your word means less than nothing to anybody with a bit of sense, since you've proven yourself to be a egocentric, power-abusing dangerous bitch obsessed with fuck up shit for other people (not just in ren) so you can have your way.

Me supposedly spamming these forums is the biggest bullshit reason you could ever come up with since we all know you really don't actually care about these forums, just your reputation, and everybody who even knows me a bit knows I don't spam neutral forums, at most start a controversial topic.

Also, since I used to mod n00btories for like 2 years, I know very very well how to ban evade, and I could do it in an instant, change my IP, change my nick, change my serial, voila. But I really don't care playing there anymore, and I only joined on nicknames insulting to jarhead, on my normal IP since, well, I love insulting him. Especially since he takes being an ex marine so seriously, its a wonderful target to me, especially since to me being a US Marine is an insult in itself haha.

Let me just say this. I fucking love renegade, its a wonderful game. And I wish people to have the best playing experience, and matches unimpeded by faggots who have their own agendas and shit. I can honestly say that when ren is concerned, I just care about justice, cheating faggots getting banned for life, hampering dicks getting removed, and power-abusing game-ruining fucks to get fucked off as well.

And that is exactly why, I am so violent about jarhead, and now you. People like you completely fuck up renegade. You've both got this attitude of "LISTEN TO ME OR PAY!!!" and take insult to every little thing, and think everybody is out to get you, and you'll gladly get rid of innocent people to bet set your mind at ease and have your way. People like you are fucking dangerous to

renegade because it's power-abusing fucks like you that drive people away from this game.

You really are an outrageous overweight slag. You should go on a diet and do some fucking cardio instead of fucking about in the ren world where nobody honestly wants you, they only tolerate you, or, when they don't they get banned.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [iRANian](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 12:54:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I love pissing joejj80 or w/e off, he's such a retard. He thinks I'm some other guy that's a well known cheater (NLsRene) for some reason, I also saw Jarhead play a few times and he was being an asshole to someone lol.

It wouldn't surprise me that some people new to Renegade joined their server (considering they're one of the first guys to whore a00 nicks), saw all the retarded modifications they run, their asshole mods when they actually have moderators on or the people blatantly cheating their balls off like that NLsRene guy, and decided this game is retarded and promptly quit playing.

If I were new to Renegade and the first server I joined would give me unlimited ammo and the points system on it is retarded, I would think it's part of the original game and that therefor the game is retarded. The idiotic, asshole mods that are almost never online and the blatant cheating also doesn't help. First time I played there in a while (this was months ago), some guy used a ridiculous RoF pistol hack on me around the end of the game and on the next map some other guy was aimbotting blatantly, which I actually bothered to FRAPS.

To be honest, their server should be banned from WOL for being so ridiculous terrible that it--no doubt about--causes people new to Renegade to stop playing. It's a good thing they aren't on GSA. The constant trolling of the Renegade community by n00bstories that has been going on for almost a decade should also be weighted in. At least they're moving on to trolling the Savage2 community now lol. This Spooky-esque ban is nothing compared to that.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 13:04:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mudkips, you're really not doing any good to your "case" by insulting Crimson in every post you make.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [YesNoMaybe](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 13:18:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 06:04Mudkips, you're really not doing any good to your "case" by insulting Crimson in every post you make.

there is no case, since crimson apparently decides what goes down here, and we all know how she is.

There is also no case because this whole ban is fucking ridiculous.

Last week i like, checked renforums to see if there was anything interesting, i browse here almost daily. Then I login to respond to a post and I get the ban message, and cant even see the forums anymore.

I was like: lolwut? Why the fuck? Someone made an error or something. So I asked on the jelly irc if anyone knew anything, and a few days later I hear it was because of a supposed ddos. Then I went like LOL WHAT?! I still had no remote clue why.

Thats why there is no case, because this ban is based on nothing but crimson's disliking of me.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 14:15:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 05:07liquidv2 wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 20:58Quote:I'm sorry you don't like Jarhead. I also don't care. You have acted with absolutely NO maturity or intelligence and therefore your opinions and you as a person get NO respect from me or the rest of the n00bstories staff who shares the same opinion on your childlike behavior. a few people were beefing on jarhead, and the only two that defended him were yourself and azazel (though azazel defending anyone is probably a negative) even spooony said it, and you know his logic goes down like 14 levels

Hardly anyone here knows Jarhead... most people don't throw out their opinion when they don't know anything about the subject... but there are exceptions to that rule. (looks at EWD)  
Well, I guess we had a miscommunication then. I was not referring to mudkips in particular. I was referring to the fact that it seems that more people get banned here for something that happened on another server/website, than people getting banned for something that actually happened here.

Apparently in mudkips case it did happen all over the place, but at first it did look like he was banned for something that happened op NS.

Something that does not help in the matter is the posts asking for the reason they were banned. This could of course be due the the person being banned being stupid or perhaps the ban reasons not being clear enough in general (particular when you ban for something that happened on a different website/gameserver).

Not everyone makes that link directly, not in the least because they may not know you run both websites.

Perhaps I should have made a different topic about this, to make it clear that I don't care about the mudkips ban in general and more about the general banning principles being applied. Would that help Crimson? I mean, creating a different topic to clarify the principles and perhaps improve them where possible?

Edit:

Something I forgot... I find it odd that some people that are hated pretty much throughout the whole of Renegadeforums are not banned and someone that hasn't yet done direct harm to Renegadeforums is banned fast. To me it seems that the priorities for you are at NS. Something I'm OK with and is completely understandable. However I would appreciate it that either spend more time on (moderating) Renegadeforums than you do now. An example is the TT beta 2 topic, it's still not stickied/placed as announcement, while it has been posted more than 2 weeks ago.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Sean](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 14:51:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Wow, Crimson finally banned mudkipz. Good ban.

He's never gotten over the whole faildus split, which is why he still hates NS with a passion. If you're reading this mudkipz then I feel sorry for you. You should apologise for the insults you have made and then move on. Or arnt you man enough to do that? Some how I don't think you are.

Crimson you've explained his ban more than enough, more than what he deserves.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [YesNoMaybe](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 15:02:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Azazel wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 07:51

He's never gotten over the whole faildus split, which is why he still hates NS with a passion.

wow dude, you're retarded. We've told you a 10000 times none of us remotely care about the fucking exodus thing anymore.

My beef is with jarhead, and jarhead only. I was just playing and he banned me for no reason, the faghead.

You are seriously the only one who even brings up the subject, nevermind calls it "faildus". Not even fucking lub2pb bothers with that anymore, grow up.

The good ban here would be to get rid of azazel since he's actually fucking damaged the community.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 15:07:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

C'mon guys you had a great opportunity to build a strong bulletproof case against a powerful mod in a highly ranked position you allege was abusive towards you. And you blew it good.

You should have been patient, persevered silently collecting SS/logs from every incident you allege such abuse happened so you could sell your case when you had so much evidence collected to the public (cos as it stands, a few guys who have a problem with this mod are getting stared @ by the Rene public that has no idea what the hell is going on). Instead, you had your "Rene Spring" and now what? Your original grievances remain unresolved and your alleged claims unproven. This is a textbook example of horrible mismanagement.

Anyone who alleges that a certain mod is giving out bans for getting killed by better players is a very serious allegation. If something likewise were to happen to me, that would be a serious issue for me but I would take my own tried and tested advice (like I have done countless times building cases to demolish all doubt and win a verdict in my favour). I have seen none of that here regarding the mod known as Jarhead; no SS, no logs, no well-worded gigantic posts that uses such SS/log evidence.

Resorting to nasty PM's, flooding the server, ad hominem and generally throwing a one-liner here and there gets you nothing. That's all I have seen in this entire Jarhead business from those who made the allegations. Take a lesson from real life; can you barge into a court and start screaming? EVEN if you are right in your allegations you still have to calm yourself, get a grip, and come back in and present your case with some decorum that will make people want to listen to your case. If the public buys it, you automatically win no matter what the powers-that-be do.

As it stands now, nobody has a clue what you guys are talking about specifically since no evidence is being presented. I have seen abusive mods in the past for no reason and so I think you guys may have a case considering these serious allegations:

Dave2916 wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 17:224. If jarhead doesnt want to get insulted he should be such a piece of shit. Threatening players who kill him too often, threatening fellow mods, banning people simply because he doesnt like them, muting them, kicking them. And when people complain about any of that he bans them too. If he expects to not get insulted when hes being a nazi like that he's even got less braincells than I thought.

YesNoMaybe wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 04:46And that is exactly why, I am so violent about jarhead, and now you. People like you completely fuck up renegade. You've both got this attitude of "LISTEN TO ME OR PAY!!!" and take insult to every little thing, and think everybody is out to get you, and you'll gladly get rid of innocent people to bet set your mind at ease and have your way. People like you are fucking dangerous to renegade because it's power-abusing fucks like you that drive people away from this game.

But till you have some evidence going, the problems will continue. I know it's against human nature to stay calm under any condition of perceived injustice but sometimes that's just what you gotta do. It works.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Vince](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 15:27:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

No case will get justice against Jarhead's mistakes - tried and tested many of times. He is as stubborn and ruthless as they come and for that reason he should not be in a position of power. Unfortunately he has been around from pretty much day 1 and people at n00bstories are so far up each others asses they're afraid to say boo to a goose.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [YesNoMaybe](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 15:53:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:<Jarhead> hey fuck you andrew....

<andr3w282> lol

<Jarhead> you are piss poor example as an adming here

<jimmy> even tho i dont particually like some of the names people use, its hardly worse than banning people who clearly dont cheat and/or personally attcking people on the forum when the posts they make arnt agreed with

This was when some of the mods and admins were using nicks, andrew used TUB OF TITS or something. Jarhead said that stuff in a public channel btw, and jimmy is referring to jarhead harrassing people on the mod forums for disagreeing with him.

Quote:(21:00:00) (phpRenBot) [49/50|33SFPS|City\_Flying] 9mm: (75.131.REST OF IP HIDDEN LOL) 26/Apr/08

@n00bsvr01 | Jarhead57: suspicious to say the least 9mm

Later jarhead found out that 9mm was in fact the mod ilonaus, using an alias. And started insulting him and threatning him in pms.

Quote:(@andr3w282) i almost just quoted that and replied "or be like jarhead and ban with 0 evidence"

This should be obvious enough

Quote:(grant89uk) jarhead thinks i cheat

Quote:

(MUDKIPS)(16:49:48)(@n00bsvr01) UDshadow killed Jarhead57 (Nod Engineer/Nod Light Tank vs GDI Mobius)

(MUDKIPS)(16:50:15)(%Jarhead) !forcerg uds

(MUDKIPS) this guy fucking SUCKS

(MUDKIPS) udshadow can barely play

Quote:

(@n00bsvr01) Kytten9 killed Jarhead57 (Nod Sakura/Ramjet Rifle vs GDI Havoc)



(@n00bsvr01) Jarhead57: bitch  
(@Cunin) odd  
(@Cunin) i would have expected !forcerg

Quote:

(nikki6ixx[AFK]) Cunin  
(nikki6ixx[AFK]) Why is Jarhead editing and deleting my posts?  
(@Cunin) uh?  
(@Cunin) which ones  
(nikki6ixx[AFK]) He made a post about some 'Living Will' joke  
(nikki6ixx[AFK]) Then I responded with a link to the same joke that he did back in January.  
(nikki6ixx[AFK]) And then he edited my post saying that the people were different.

(nikki6ixx[AFK]) SOMEONE EDITED MY FIRST POST.  
(nikki6ixx[AFK]) And I called them out on it, and then they deleted the thread

Quote:

(17:21:05) (@MUDKIPS) (17:20:45) (@n00bsvr01) jarhead57: now that was suspicious  
(17:21:13) (@MUDKIPS) someone should keep track of how often he says that  
(17:22:38) (@Cunin) like once every kill?

Quote:(01:09:01) (@andr3w282) grits takes after jarhead  
(01:09:18) (@andr3w282) theres a reason u never see me and jarhead talk  
(01:09:30) (@andr3w282) hes all GIMME RESPECT AND GROW UP and im all "SUCK A DICK AND LERN2INTERNET"

Quote:

(01:10:54) (@daduke) jarhead thinks everyone cheats

Quote:

(18:53:05) (@DaN) jarhead is a fucking cock..  
(18:53:13) (@Ethenal) why's that  
(18:53:15) (@jason761) ^  
(18:53:26) (@Ethenal) I mean I already knew that but what did he do  
(18:53:44) (@jason761) forcerg cos dan hs his sbh  
(18:53:59) (@DaN) had one of the last havocs, bar dead, headshot his sbh and he's like "the next time i see you, you better be running RG" so i told him RG is useless and just forced me  
(18:54:01) (@DaN) PRETTY GOOD

Quote:

(23:00:32) (@MUDKIPS) whenever I kill jarhead he says "bs"  
(23:00:37) (@MUDKIPS) wont be long before he bans me ffs  
(23:01:36) (%osoyonki) he always does with everybody when he gets headshotted  
(23:01:41) (%osoyonki) dont worry lol  
(23:02:57) (@MUDKIPS) I headshot him all the bloody time  
(23:02:59) (@MUDKIPS) he's so easy to hs

Quote:

17:42:18) (%bu11c3nts) I killed Jarhead 6 times in a row when he was a havoc  
(17:42:21) (%bu11c3nts) he was pissed  
(17:44:08) (@AnThRaX) he's a jerk  
(17:44:16) (@AnThRaX) he knew it was me once  
(17:44:19) (@AnThRaX) on a smurf  
(17:44:26) (@AnThRaX) and i killed him and he forcerg'd me anyways  
(17:44:29) (@AnThRaX) and pages from IRC

I frogot he used to threaten Anthrax too, that's FOUR mods lol.

These are just he logs from before I was a mod at ns, nevermind all the logs i have on discussions from the mod channel, or discussions about topics in the mod section.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [ELiT3FLyR](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 16:02:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

who cares.. its common knowledge that most of the mods at noobstories have always been full retard ever since the days of forceRG.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [YesNoMaybe](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 16:30:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 19:58Dave2916 wrote on Sat, 12 November 2011 17:22Thank you so much dave for letting me loan your account

Dave is now banned from the forums for posting on behalf of a banned user in direct violation of forum rules.

"Posting on behalf of banned users will be considered as bypassing a ban. For doing this, you will be issued a single warning and topic removal. If you do it again, you will be banned for the same duration as the person you posted for."

Unban dave now. He's been given no warning, just a ban.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [TankClash](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 17:41:09 GMT



[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Any kind of disruptive flooding annoyances are considered, in my eyes, as an intensive to ban the accused flooder. Based on the severity of the problem and how many times he may have been have previously been banned for the same issue, plus ban evasions certainly warrants it.

The people who haven't even heard of him let alone have played with him in-game jump on the "he's a badie admin and sucks i h8 him he r made me mad, bro" wagon.

Personally I think that bringing up entirely different topics being completely unrelated to slam the admin(s) is entirely useless, even I would ban for that.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [Vince](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 17:45:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

TankClash wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 10:41The people who haven't even heard of him let alone have played with him in-game jump on the "he's a badie admin and sucks i h8 him he r made me mad, bro" wagon.  
who exactly are you referring to with this?

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [TankClash](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 17:52:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Players who haven't even heard of him, obviously...

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 17:56:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

YesNoMaybe wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 04:46  
1. You are a known liar. (I really don't need to give any examples here, everybody here can recall at least one major situations where you flat out lied about everything)

Too stupid to even respond to.

YesNoMaybe wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 04:462. You are a known power abuser (the reactions from everybody in this topic say enough about that)

Ditto.

YesNoMaybe wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 04:463. You are a childish and very low person (fucking up devitto's attempts at starting a savage 2 server, breaking into exodus with old

n00bstories passwords)

LOL... what? Devitto had some of the most popular servers in Savage 2 and he left because the game sucks and may never get patched. S2 fucks up anyone's attempts at running a decent server. I didn't interfere with him at all. And I didn't "break into" your dead-ass "community". I can see how much you guys don't get it by looking at how little activity you get on your forums and Renegade server.

YesNoMaybe wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 04:46. You are irresponsible (shown mainly from your conduct at the n00bparty, but mainly in the way you treat people you don't like, kind of like jarhead does)

"My conduct at n00bparty"... LOL again. There have been 5 n00bparties - if you're referring to the incident where we trolled you and your buddy who were smurfing and being dicks to your teammates (some of which happened to be us), then we're guilty... heaven help it if people start using the !n00b and !rec commands in Renegade - we're DOOMED!

YesNoMaybe wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 04:46In the end, your word means less than nothing to anybody with a bit of sense, since you've proven yourself to be a egocentric, power-abusing dangerous bitch obsessed with fuck up shit for other people (not just in ren) so you can have your way.

Me supposedly spamming these forums is the biggest bullshit reason you could ever come up with since we all know you really don't actually care about these forums, just your reputation, and everybody who even knows me a bit knows I don't spam neutral forums, at most start a controversial topic.

That's funny, considering your behavior since your ban is completely justifying it and proving me right in doing so. Since you're using other people's names to make accounts, now I can't approve people's requests for accounts for a while, so now if I'm not approving someone who isn't you, they are being denied the service of this website.

YesNoMaybe wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 04:46Also, since I used to mod n00btories for like 2 years, I know very very well how to ban evade, and I could do it in an instant, change my IP, change my nick, change my serial, voila. But I really don't care playing there anymore, and I only joined on nicknames insulting to jarhead, on my normal IP since, well, I love insulting him. Especially since he takes being an ex marine so seriously, its a wonderful target to me, especially since to me being a US Marine is an insult in itself haha.

I know you're just saying that because you're an ignorant European with your media-created bias against Americans but just because our politicians are retarded and our media likes to make us look stupid to further their own political agendas doesn't make the whole country that way. Insulting someone who risked their lives for our country is just about the stupidest thing you've said yet.

YesNoMaybe wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 04:46Let me just say this. I fucking love renegade, its a wonderful game. And I wish people to have the best playing experience, and matches unimpeded by faggots who have their own agendas and shit. I can honestly say that

when ren is concerned, I just care about justice, cheating faggots getting banned for life, hampering dicks getting removed, and power-abusing game-ruining fucks to get fucked off as well.

We did that. We removed you (or at least I tried, but rules aren't for you, I guess), and you fall under the category of "faggots who have their own agendas and shit". You shit all over Renegade with your attitude that you can just go about doing anything you want. You had several opportunities to act like an adult and you have failed every single time. You decide it's better just to burn your bridges with the most rude insults that anyone can imagine and blatantly break forum rules over and over.

YesNoMaybe wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 04:46 And that is exactly why, I am so violent about jarhead, and now you. People like you completely fuck up renegade. You've both got this attitude of "LISTEN TO ME OR PAY!!!" and take insult to every little thing, and think everybody is out to get you, and you'll gladly get rid of innocent people to bet set your mind at ease and have your way. People like you are fucking dangerous to renegade because it's power-abusing fucks like you that drive people away from this game.

You really are an outrageous overweight slag. You should go on a diet and do some fucking cardio instead of fucking about in the ren world where nobody honestly wants you, they only tolerate you, or, when they don't they get banned.

You don't know the first thing about me as a person. My weight has NO bearing whatsoever on this discussion, the internet, or Renegade. Losing or gaining weight isn't going to change my brain, or my decisions that you're an immature little child. There is NO rational argument that includes such blatant ad hominem insults and you're convincing NO ONE to join your side by doing so.

Did Jarhead ban you for a bad reason? Maybe, but who even cares to look at the evidence at this point? Your disgraceful, immature conduct after the fact just shows to me that you hold no respect for people who shell out money to run a server for years on end, no respect for the people who volunteer their time to help keep the game running smoothly (ironic since you used to be one and you know what a big job it can be), so you were most definitely going to do something to deserve a ban sooner or later (probably sooner) since you have proven you don't care about rules and just do whatever the hell makes you happy at the time.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [Vince](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 18:05:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

TankClash wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 10:52 Players who haven't even heard of him, obviously...  
n dodge

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [TankClash](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 18:07:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

and read.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 18:21:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Sums this up nicely.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [TankClash](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 18:28:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 19:19:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

so when is Dave2916 getting unbanned? he wasn't supposed to be banned in the first place, based on your own set of rules

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 19:25:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:Any changes made or required due to an unanticipated action by a forum member may be acted upon immediately and without warning at the discretion of the Administration.

Dave has been a member long enough to know better. Also, because of "z0mg taking a screenshot" the action of removing the post became worthless, so it's on to phase 2 of that rule.

I set the ban for a week so he can come back then.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 20:09:45 GMT

---

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

so you're sitting on the rules to keep Dave down? boooooooooooooo

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [DutchNeon](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 20:59:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Lol, I should reinstall Ren and play on NS when Jarhead is on. Wanna bet I'll be banned in a few weeks for stealth seeing cheats?

Don't know anything about him though it seems he is ban happy.

Edit: To admit, a lot of mods on other servers are like that too.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [iRANian](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 21:07:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So why exactly did Dave get banned, don't the rules say you get warned first for posting on behalf of banned members? Very Spooky-esque banning. Really low, even for a person like you.

When does n00bstories' trolling of the Renegade community stop? We don't need to have the huge amount of fail of the NS forums leaking over to the rest of the Renegade community, thanks! This is the fucking official Renegade forums, NOT the n00bstories forums if you failed to notice that.

Isn't joining the army considered being a huge loser in the USA? Considering a person with such low intelligence as Jarhead managed to be a marine..lol

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 22:38:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

iRANian wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 14:07So why exactly did Dave get banned, don't the rules say you get warned first for posting on behalf of banned members? Very Spooky-esque banning. Really low, even for a person like you.

I've already answered this. Read.

iRANian wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 14:07Isn't joining the army considered being a huge loser in the USA? Considering a person with such low intelligence as Jarhead managed to be a marine..lol

---

Absolutely not. Our men and women in uniform are among the most honored people in the country.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 22:40:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

DutchNeon wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 13:59Lol, I should reinstall Ren and play on NS when Jarhead is on. Wanna bet I'll be banned in a few weeks for stealth seeing cheats?

Don't know anything about him though it seems he is ban happy.

Edit: To admit, a lot of mods on other servers are like that too.

Jarhead puts in more hours than pretty much all of our other mods and he's usually the most active when the server is most active. As a result, when someone has to be removed, he's more often the one to do it over anyone else. That's why it seems like he's ban-happy. It's plain and simple math.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Vince](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 23:17:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Something I agree with is that Jarhead's military service has no place in this discussion.

However the problem lies with his militant approach to a fucking video game. Something that should have been dealt with a very long time ago but has since decayed into a state of disrepair because you will never have the courage to slap his wrist. There is no need for you to even downplay his misconduct, it is blatantly obvious to anyone with half a brain.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [iRANian](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 23:18:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Posting on behalf of banned users will be considered as bypassing a ban. For doing this, you will be issued a single warning and topic removal. If you do it again, you will be banned for the same duration as the person you posted for."

"These Rules of Conduct have been agreed upon by the administration, and are subject to change at any time. Any changes made or required due to an unanticipated action by a forum member may be acted upon immediately and without warning at the discretion of the Administration."

Learn to read you dumb bitch, I'll point it out for you:

1. You need to change the rules of conduct first. Which you haven't done.
2. Changes that have to be made because of UNANTICIPATED actions can be in effect immediately. Only those.
3. Positng on behalf of a banned user earns you a warning at first, which didn't happen yet.

Considering you don't seem to care a fuck about randomly banning people Spooony-style, why have the rules of conduct in the first place?

Also it's pretty sad you're denying hacking Exodus and stealing Devitto's S2 forum nick or whatever it was.

I still haven't seen any evidence of MUDKIPS UDP flooding n00bstories server, but please show me the packet logs.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2011 23:59:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bringing up, and then insulting Jarhead's service, and Crimson's legal/business dealings have nothing at all to do with the issues at hand. It's unfair, and unnecessary. Honestly MUDKIPS, that kind of shit is what drove people to leave NS, and made them stay. Don't lower yourself to it.

Making fun of someone's service to their country is the attitude of a coward. I know people in my country's armed forces who are twenty-four karat gold, possessing an ingenuity, and intellect beyond many others' and have amounted to more in life than those who criticize them.

That being said, Jarhead's conduct towards people has been documented over the past couple years. Some of his bans are questionable at best, downright impudent at worst.

Many issues that have popped up in this thread, and others would have been resolved ages ago if NS' administration had just exercised some firm decision-making.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2011 00:36:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

iRANian wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 16:18"Posting on behalf of banned users will be considered as bypassing a ban. For doing this, you will be issued a single warning and topic removal. If you do it again, you will be banned for the same duration as the person you posted for."

"These Rules of Conduct have been agreed upon by the administration, and are subject to change at any time. Any changes made or required due to an unanticipated action by a forum member may be acted upon immediately and without warning at the discretion of the



Administration."

Learn to read you dumb bitch, I'll point it out for you:

1. You need to change the rules of conduct first. Which you haven't done.
2. Changes that have to be made because of UNANTICIPATED actions can be in effect immediately. Only those.
3. Positing on behalf of a banned user earns you a warning at first, which didn't happen yet.

I'm still considering the appropriate phrasing for the rule clarification. But I'm not going to hold off the ban while there's more important things to worry about. Don't tell ME how to interpret my own rules.

In addition, "killgeak" who started this thread technically posted on behalf of a banned user, but since he doesn't have the seniority (and therefore should know better) as Dave and the post wasn't inflammatory and abusive, I was lenient on him.

iRANian wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 16:18 Considering you don't seem to care a fuck about randomly banning people Spooky-style, why have the rules of conduct in the first place?

I'm not sure what the Spooky reference is for, but the ban wasn't random.

iRANian wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 16:18 Also it's pretty sad you're denying hacking Exodus and stealing Devitto's S2 forum nick or whatever it was.

I didn't hack Exodus... lol. I remember being accused of it before but I don't remember what it was all about. And stealing an S2 forum nick? This again implies I know how to hack passwords? If only I were that good at "hacking". I'm much better at defending from attacks/floods/exploits since that happens to me a lot.

iRANian wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 16:18 I still haven't seen any evidence of MUDKIPS UDP flooding n00bstories server, but please show me the packet logs.

There won't be any evidence of something that no one claimed happened. He flooded the server by joining dozens of times over and over. He admitted to this in the thread that you are apparently not reading.

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Sean](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2011 01:15:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

iRANian wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 16:18 "Posting on behalf of banned users will be considered as bypassing a ban. For doing this, you will be issued a single warning and topic removal. If you do it again, you will be banned for the same duration as the person you posted for."

"These Rules of Conduct have been agreed upon by the administration, and are subject to



change at any time. Any changes made or required due to an unanticipated action by a forum member may be acted upon immediately and without warning at the discretion of the Administration."

Learn to read you dumb bitch, I'll point it out for you:

1. You need to change the rules of conduct first. Which you haven't done.
2. Changes that have to be made because of UNANTICIPATED actions can be in effect immediately. Only those.
3. Positng on behalf of a banned user earns you a warning at first, which didn't happen yet.

Considering you don't seem to care a fuck about randomly banning people Spooky-style, why have the rules of conduct in the first place?

Also it's pretty sad you're denying hacking Exodus and stealing Devitto's S2 forum nick or whatever it was.

I still haven't seen any evidence of MUDKIPS UDP flooding n00bstories server, but please show me the packet logs.

Wow, are you mentally retarded? I'm certain that you're troop on a smurf name. You need to learn to read the full topic not Crimson.

@mudkipz like yourself I worked alongside jarhead, but unlike you I didn't purposely piss him off like you. Only NS staff can really state what hes actually like tbh, everyone else is jus guessing.

Move on mudkipz, its for the best. Try an grow up a bit though for your own sake.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Vince](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2011 01:45:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Serious question (I have been out of the loop for a while) but are you the cheating mod at NS that got found out who then immediately disappeared for months and have since had the audacity to return?

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2011 04:09:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Vince wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 20:45 Serious question (I have been out of the loop for a while) but are you the cheating mod at NS that got found out who then immediately disappeared for months and have since had the audacity to return?

The one and only.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2011 05:05:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

He cheated in a dead game. Better bring it up to prove that you're better than him!

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [ehhh](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2011 05:41:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sun, 13 November 2011 06:03So do you, but I don't see anyone posting threads about you or sending you harassing PMs.

Perhaps its because i'm not retarded, but could also be the fact I'm not a terrible moderator. zzz

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2011 05:57:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Fair enough. So, tell me: Are you one of the people who feels that Jarhead should stop being heavy-handed in the server? If so, do you intend on supporting the server he moderates, so that your voice holds weight? Do you play there? Do you pay to maintain it? Do you play without paying to help maintain it?

I'm not quite sure why you care about him. If you want him to stop being heavy-handed, but you don't support the server (or the people who run it), why should anyone care about what you think?

If you play there, but your only contribution is to come bash Jarhead, why should anyone care about what you think?

If you pay to maintain the server, I'd imagine some people would take your complaints seriously.

Even if none of the above matters or applies, I'm still fascinated that MUDKIPS can say "It's a fucking game" and complain about Jarhead, while simultaneously being just as much of an asshole about said game. I getting a fundamental disconnect here. Why does any of this matter? None of you are forced to play on NS. Most of you complaining have made it clear that you don't play there, or that you won't go back.

This sounds like the bitching that was posted on this forum for years, regarding the FUD Clan and their FUD Online server. What difference does it really make?

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

---

Posted by [Prulez](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2011 08:34:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Mon, 14 November 2011 01:36 I didn't hack Exodus... lol. I remember being accused of it before but I don't remember what it was all about. And stealing an S2 forum nick? This again implies I know how to hack passwords? If only I were that good at "hacking". I'm much better at defending from attacks/floods/exploits since that happens to me a lot. After having read this thread for a while, I think now is the appropriate time for me to hop in. I have been the one who discovered the hack back in the day and I know of at least luv2pb's IP (Confirmed using the IPDB) being ALL OVER the Apache access logs logged in as Ethenal, there were multiple other IP's that we couldn't trace back, but I am fairly certain it was confirmed to me by one of your forum moderators that those IP's were also used to access your account on these very forums. I wish I could back this up with logs, but seeing as how A) I don't have access to the Exodus Administrative Sections anymore, where I have shared the proof I've gathered back in the day and B) I am on a new laptop and I don't have my IRC logs on here, I don't think I have anything to share on here.

The fact that you are denying this very thing is very, very sad.

Also.. implying how to hack passwords? Seeing as how the FUDForums show every failed login attempt in the logs with the failed password being shown to the administrator staff.. a single typo could give the password away. I don't know how FUD stores the passwords in the database, if it's plain text (which wouldn't surprise me) there is no need to even hack it as you can just.. read it, and simple md5 encryption has been unsecure for a while.

My honest opinion about this case: You just banned him because you don't want him near you or your servers (that you pay for), it isn't any proactive handling or some shit because he'd take his apparent flooding or other shit towards these forums. Everyone can actually see that. Searching for a rule that wields you enough power in your own so called 'Rules of Conduct' because the original rule doesn't just give you a valid reason to ban Dave is also very, very low.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2011 09:05:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yeah, it's as I thought... a huuuuuge stretch of the imagination and it wasn't even me being accused. Must be why no one cared and I neglected to even remember it.

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS

Posted by [iRANian](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2011 09:27:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Im going to spam it with registries like: FAT\_WHALE and shit

\* post removed in accordance with forum rules and user is banned for posting on behalf of a banned user, but I'll go ahead and leave the part where MUDKIPS admits he's going to flood the forums \*

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [FlaminGunz](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2011 10:35:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

why bother trying to defend your claims, when everyone else knows you to be lying.....the ip's are pretty obvious lol.

the only person who doesnt think jarhead is as ass is azazel.....i dont even have to make any more of a point than that

idc how you want to run your server, thats up to you. But whats the point of defending or denying the obvious

---

---

Subject: Re: MUDKIPS  
Posted by [Prulez](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2011 11:11:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

\* removed posting of personal information \*

---