
Subject: shame of the world
Posted by [renalpha](#) on Fri, 09 Oct 2009 23:28:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well just heard the news.

Obama acquired the nobel award of freedom (shit like that).

He didnt deserved it, what is going on?
Do you think he deserves it?

Discuss..

In my opinion, its the most ashamed moment of 2009 in the world.
He didnt even proved himself.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 00:02:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I feel that there are many more people deserving of the Nobel Peace Prize than he is. Especially because his name was submitted within two weeks of his election win.

Thing worth mentioning though is that it's a mainly European organization, so in my opinion, this was something of a cynical jab by Europe in order to either provoke or berate America.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [papaelbo](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 00:14:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was surprised at this to be honest but dont forget, Obama is hugely popular in Europe and around the world. I read he got this becасue of his many diplomatic efforts especially in the middle east.

I would agree though, there were probably more deserving people.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [slosha](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 01:15:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, didn't you hear? Nobel is now giving prizes to the people who don't do anything but say they will do something.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 02:24:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was totally caught off guard on this. He has done nothing to deserve this and he even admitted he did not deserve it.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 02:34:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Glock~ wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 20:15 Yeah, didn't you hear? Nobel is now giving prizes to the people who don't do anything but say they will do something.
This. Nobel prizes have lost their meaning.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [Dover](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 03:58:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ITT: People pissing in the wind.

For the record, don't take out your impotent rage on Obama. He agrees with all of you faggots.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 04:13:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:58 ITT: People pissing in the wind.

For the record, don't take out your impotent rage on Obama. He agrees with all of you faggots. Why is it that you have to make retarded political statements in every thread that don't even require it? No one's making a 10 paragraph post saying Obama is the worst president ever.

If you thought my post was negative towards him, not really. It was meant to be a "Nobel prizes hardly mean anything anymore, so it doesn't come as that big of a deal to me" kinda thing.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 04:34:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:58 ITT: People pissing in the wind.

For the record, don't take out your impotent rage on Obama. He agrees with all of you faggots.

Impotent rage? I'm not seeing any here. If GWB won the same prize, we'd all likely act the same; at least I would.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [luv2pb](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 04:35:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There is already a nerdrage thread at NS so I don't really want to get into another one. But I do want to say that I think he is deserving and that it was a good call.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [Dover](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 04:38:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:13Dover wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:58ITT: People pissing in the wind.

For the record, don't take out your impotent rage on Obama. He agrees with all of you faggots. Why is it that you have to make retarded political statements in every thread that don't even require it? No one's making a 10 paragraph post saying Obama is the worst president ever.

If you thought my post was negative towards him, not really. It was meant to be a "Nobel prizes hardly mean anything anymore, so it doesn't come as that big of a deal to me" kinda thing.

Hard to tell. All you did was echo Rush Limbawwww's words. I wonder if that was all he meant, too?

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 04:47:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 23:38GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:13Dover wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 22:58ITT: People pissing in the wind.

For the record, don't take out your impotent rage on Obama. He agrees with all of you faggots. Why is it that you have to make retarded political statements in every thread that don't even require it? No one's making a 10 paragraph post saying Obama is the worst president ever.

If you thought my post was negative towards him, not really. It was meant to be a "Nobel prizes hardly mean anything anymore, so it doesn't come as that big of a deal to me" kinda thing.

Hard to tell. All you did was echo Rush Limbawwww's words. I wonder if that was all he meant,

too?

Considering I don't even listen to Rush, yes, I'm clearly echoing him.

Get some new arguments, twat.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 04:54:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 23:58ITT: People pissing in the wind.

For the record, don't take out your impotent rage on Obama. He agrees with all of you faggots.

Pffft, typical Californian liberal, bet you're a homo also?

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 05:01:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rcmorr09 wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 23:54Dover wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 23:58ITT:
People pissing in the wind.

For the record, don't take out your impotent rage on Obama. He agrees with all of you faggots.

Pffft, typical Californian liberal, bet you're a homo also?

=/

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 05:10:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yea, I guess that was a bit harsh, but his post was just so stupid.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [Dover](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 05:23:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rcmorr09 wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 21:54Dover wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 23:58ITT:
People pissing in the wind.

For the record, don't take out your impotent rage on Obama. He agrees with all of you faggots.

Pffft, typical Californian liberal, bet you're a homo also?

Who's asking, cutie?

File Attachments

1) [Sulu.jpg](#), downloaded 1076 times



Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 07:19:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 09:43:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was surprised but maybe it's a challenge from the committee towards Obama. In the end it's very nice to give out a prize to people who have done a lot, but giving out a prize proactively must put a lot of pressure on the shoulders of Obama. Of course the Nobel team will look like fools if he doesn't deliver, but if he does deliver then maybe they participated a tiny bit in this.

Also, who were the other candidates?

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [Starbuzzz](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 10:36:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Deeming this prize undeserved is misunderstanding what the Nobel prize is meant for.

You don't get the prize if you fix your country and pull it out of debt and other local snafu's. Here is the prerequisite for getting the Nobel prize:

"to the person who shall have done the most or the best work for fraternity between nations, for the abolition or reduction of standing armies and for the holding and promotion of peace congresses."

Obama has scored big on the first and second point alone. Opened up and reached out to many Muslim countries more visibly than past presidents and eased tensions with Russia by cancelling missile shield.

According to the press release, the committee gave the award for him encouraging "diplomacy and cooperation"...

If there was an award for fixing your country, then Obama would not have got it. Don't confuse the two! I agree though that he has not done enough and he has a lot on his plate with the 2 wars.

edit: typo

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 11:53:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

renalpha wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 18:28Well just heard the news.

Obama acquired the nobel award of freedom (shit like that).

He didnt deserved it, what is going on?
Do you think he deserves it?

Discuss..

In my opinion, its the most ashamed moment of 2009 in the world.
He didnt even proved himself.

You don't even know the name of the award, but have an opinion on who it shouldn't be awarded to? stfu.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 12:18:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JohnDoe wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 06:53renalpha wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 18:28Well just heard the news.

Obama acquired the nobel award of freedom (shit like that).

He didnt deserved it, what is going on?
Do you think he deserves it?

Discuss..

In my opinion, its the most ashamed moment of 2009 in the world.
He didnt even proved himself.

You don't even know the name of the award, but have an opinion on who it shouldn't be awarded to? stfu.BUT HE DIDNT EVEN PROVED HIMSELF!

rcmorr09 wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 23:54Dover wrote on Fri, 09 October 2009 23:58ITT:
People pissing in the wind.

For the record, don't take out your impotent rage on Obama. He agrees with all of you faggots.

Pffft, typical Californian liberal, bet you're a homo also?
Hahaha, YOU SHOW HIM RCMORR!

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [Muad Dib15](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 14:24:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I do not agree with this.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [Ryan3k](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 18:06:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

glenn beck should have won

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [Muad Dib15](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 18:45:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ryan3k wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 13:06glenn beck should have won

Actually no, he wasn't nominated. He also hasn't done much to promote peace.

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Sat, 10 Oct 2009 19:33:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's a shame Reagan never won it due to his unconventional methods...

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [luv2pb](#) on Mon, 12 Oct 2009 20:14:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rcmorr09 wrote on Sat, 10 October 2009 02:19
That is made of so much win!

Subject: Re: shame of the world
Posted by [tactic356](#) on Wed, 14 Oct 2009 21:20:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)
