Subject: heres somthing Posted by superj69 on Thu, 01 Dec 2005 04:49:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ok why is the U.S. in iraq neway .at first it was because they had weapons of mass destruction, but did they find ne NO so why they still there

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by warranto on Thu, 01 Dec 2005 05:00:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well, the "damage has been done" so to speak (no, that's not a subtle anti-war remark). They might as well finish what they started by leaving Iraq somewhere to start anew instead of leaving them in a mess.

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by Aprime on Thu, 01 Dec 2005 05:06:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No, just send in a bunch of mercenaries instead, they'll be handling the trouble their way.

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by Jecht on Thu, 01 Dec 2005 09:54:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

i heard in 2006 that Bush is planning for massive troop pullouts. This was from a local radio so I don't know if it's true or not.

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by superj69 on Thu, 01 Dec 2005 16:38:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

i don think so didn't bush say that they were goin to pull out in like 5 years or somthing but not by 2006

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by Jecht on Thu, 01 Dec 2005 16:55:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Bush can only make them stay 3 more years, then it will be up to a different president.

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by icedog90 on Thu, 01 Dec 2005 20:55:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Gbull's right.

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by glyde51 on Thu, 01 Dec 2005 22:12:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

As I understand, WMDs were the original idea.

But, since there are no WMDs there, we may as well continue to help stabilize the government. Well, YOU should, Canada thankfully never got into it.

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by Hydra on Thu, 01 Dec 2005 22:14:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Bush has said we'll stay until the job is done.

The job could be done as early as next year, or it could be a bit longer. By most modern measurements of victory, though, Iraq has been and continues to be a resounding success, and signs for a bright and optimistic future are very apparent. This is in spite of how the anti-Bush media like to play up every little story about "insurgent" attacks while burying the good news in the back pages of the newspapers, not to mention accepting every allegation of "torture" and "abuse" as absolute truth and plastering more pictures of Abu Ghraib at every possible moment.

Also:

HydraWe have been over this many times before; the war was waged for three main reasons: 1) Saddam's failure to fully disclose all weapons of mass destruction in accordance with the ceaseOfire ending the first Gulf War as well as numerous UN resolutions; 2) Saddam's harboring and giving aid and comfort to known international Islamic terrorist groups; and 3) to liberate the oppressed Iraqi people from a brutal dictator that has a long history of torturing his very own people.

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Thu, 01 Dec 2005 22:16:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

How many Iraqi soldiers do we have fully trained and mobilized?

2000?

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by pirkel123 on Fri, 02 Dec 2005 00:11:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2002/04/20020429-9.h tml?

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by Goztow on Fri, 02 Dec 2005 09:19:16 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

When you make a mess, you need to clean it up . This is not an anti-war message, i think the invasion was justified but now the US also need to (and do) take their responsability and stay until the mess they made (war is always messy) is cleaned up more or less.

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by Hydra on Sat, 03 Dec 2005 02:13:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yup, and that's exactly what we're doing; we're establishing a stable government of, by, and for the Iraqi people and training a military of Iraqis for their own personal protection so that they can take over when we do leave.

A large amount of the progress we have made thus far in the Iraq war has been eloquently summed up by none other than Democratic Senator Joe Lieberman in an op-ed article for the Wall Street Journal. It's rather long, but it is well worth the read.

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Tue, 06 Dec 2005 12:49:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Just so everyone has a chance to read this:

http://www.editorandpublisher.com/eandp/news/article_display .jsp?vnu_content_id=1001614034

Some comments from Iraqi Vice President Ghazi al-Yawer.

thats to bad that they can't see each other as "Iraqis" rather than Shiites or Sunnis.

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Tue, 06 Dec 2005 23:13:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Too bad George Bush can't tell the truth.

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by Jecht on Wed, 07 Dec 2005 01:24:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

He didn't know the truth himself you twit.

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 07 Dec 2005 12:36:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Um...

George Bush didn't know Iraq was going poorly? BTW, I wasn't talking about WMDs, or rather the lack thereof, here.

But while we're on the topic, how do you think George Bush managed to lead the country to war based entirely on lies? Was it really not his fault at all?

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by Crimson on Wed, 07 Dec 2005 14:45:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

One of my friends just became a Marine and he's leaving in February for a 14-month deployment in Fallujah. He's actually lucky because he'll be working with the computer network there and be in 72-degrees F all day.

Subject: Re: heres somthing Posted by Jecht on Wed, 07 Dec 2005 15:35:06 GMT SuperFlyingEngi wrote on Wed, 07 December 2005 06:36Um...

George Bush didn't know Iraq was going poorly? BTW, I wasn't talking about WMDs, or rather the lack thereof, here.

But while we're on the topic, how do you think George Bush managed to lead the country to war based entirely on lies? Was it really not his fault at all?

I thought you were referring to the WMDs.