
Subject: HIJACK

Posted by [spazbeast](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 00:19:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ENOUGH IT IS GETING ANNOYING. People are in this "community" to speak (type) about things that have meaning or interest not stupid paper bags. Please stop!

Im With You DJM I'm Getting To Hate Them (yes I have capitals I know.)

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 00:25:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm kind of getting bored with it. I knew I would when it started on Friday. I gave myself 2 days to be bored with it, and I seem to be about right on my assumption.

Edit: I'll probably stop, but I'm still keeping the signature. >.>

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [spazbeast](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 00:31:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank You.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [RyuKishen](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 00:35:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

People post pointless topics, we turn them into something of more use. I think when we turned Nopic's post about insulting those effected by Hurricane Katrina into a topic about Penguins, I believe we did a good job.

Of course, now we have to stop. Crimson has stepped in

By the way, we haven't hijacked a topic today I don't believe. If somebody did, I don't think it was us ...

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 00:37:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'd like to know how exactly we're causing her more work than help, but if it is, I'll at least stop. I'll just be a pacifist e-terrorist.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [RyuKishen](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 00:40:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, I mean we actually probably SAVED nopic from getting flamed continuously in his post about Katrina. We turned everybody's attention to penguins, a much worthier topic

We're also teaching spammers not to spam. I don't think they will like it when each time they spam, we take over

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 00:50:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It creates more work for me because I have to split up the topics. :\

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 00:52:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just lock the topics. Lock = minimal effort. Plus, that would allow the "E-Terrorists" to entertain most (sensible) members.

Locking's our main goal, anyways.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [RyuKishen](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 00:54:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You don't have to split the topics. We do it to topics that serve no logical purpose. Take a look at Nopic's topic about Hurricane Katrina. Don't you think it was better of us to turn it into a topic about penguins? If we didn't, he would have been flamed to Hell.

Locking the topics is so much easier.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 00:56:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We don't have to hijack every topic... some of the less organized "members" seem to not be able to realize this. Crimson we are attempting to organize, and prevent useful topics from being hijacked. I am going to lay down some more "set in stone" rules. All people that would like to consider themselves "members" of the "e-terrorists" go to [insanespace.com](#). E-terrorists might not be the best name for us. We should probably go more of an intelligent name. Which... once again should be posted on the [insanespace.com](#) forums.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 00:59:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

At least (most of us) aren't attacking meaningful topics. We're just making the stupid/pointless threads entertaining. nopic's Katrina thread is a perfect example of how we directed the thread away from it's original subject matter which was almost necessary or shit would have hit the fan. Also, if the topics aren't locked, we tend to kill them, anyways.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 01:06:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

amen. Some topics are stupid. We make them entertaining until they get locked.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 01:09:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.insanespace.com/forum/viewtopic.php?t=10>

read it and comprehend

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dr. Lithius](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 02:31:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

RyuKishen wrote on Mon, 12 September 2005 17:35

Of course, now we have to stop. Crimson has stepped in

Thank. The. Gods. She's one of the few people here that actually shows some god damned sense. And I don't mean just as far as the moderators and administration.

'course, that's just my opinion. I could very well be wrong.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 02:54:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You obviously miss the point of the whole "e-terrorist" thing.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 02:57:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just don't see the point of battling spam with more spam. It's far easier to ignore the shit topics and let them fall down the list instead of continually bumping them up to the top. If you think something needs to change, why don't you propose a solution to the problem and approach me with it instead of trying to take over?

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Parad0x](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 07:24:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Edit: nvm.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 09:13:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Mon, 12 September 2005 21:57I just don't see the point of battling spam with more spam. It's far easier to ignore the shit topics and let them fall down the list instead of continually bumping them up to the top. If you think something needs to change, why don't you propose a solution to the problem and approach me with it instead of trying to take over?

It entertains members this way.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [bandie63](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 10:49:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We need to only derail the blatantly obviously dumb threads. Ones that NEED hijacking. But then again, if people don't want to get hijacked, they need to learn what will happen, and what makes a stupid thread.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [TEKNIK](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 16:21:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just go along with the flow, I really am going to make one of those T-Shirts. I think the sig is getting kind of tired. It would be good if people could make variations, or merges (like me) with other images/sigs, just so that i can recognise someone by their sig.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 17:11:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

spazbeast wrote on Tue, 13 September 2005 01:19ENOUGH IT IS GETING ANNOYING. People are in this "community" to speak (type) about things that have meaning or interest not stupid paper bags. Please stop!

Im With You DJM I'm Getting To Hate Them (yes I have capitals I know.)

I'm not getting to hate E-Terrorists.....

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 23:50:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TEKNIK wrote on Tue, 13 September 2005 12:21I just go along with the flow, I really am going to make one of those T-Shirts. I think the sig is getting kind of tired. It would be good if people could make variations, or merges (like me) with other images/sigs, just so that i can recognise someone by their sig.

I tried Teknik. Mine isn't as good as the original though.

Demin your gonna have to teach me how you get that glow in your brushes.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [spazbeast](#) on Wed, 14 Sep 2005 00:15:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hate as in getting annoyed and wanting it to stop DJM.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 14 Sep 2005 02:49:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He doesn't want it to stop. He's still very much a part.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Wed, 14 Sep 2005 14:56:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

spazbeast wrote on Wed, 14 September 2005 01:15Hate as in getting annoyed and wanting it to stop DJM.

Errrrr... Where did I say that?

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Wed, 14 Sep 2005 20:54:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

k, I made a better sig

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Wed, 14 Sep 2005 22:18:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think we should just all use the mini sig. Large ones are annoying.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Wed, 14 Sep 2005 22:32:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I like it.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 02:03:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

much better gbull

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 02:13:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"\|/"

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 02:31:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You have absolutely no clue what the fuck you're talking about, but nice job at wasting your time.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 02:49:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think he forgot about me. Of course, i havent been here in four days, so its really easy. *Goes to edit his signature with the plaque.*

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dr. Lithius](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 04:07:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You. . . traitor!! Bastaaaaaaaaard!!!

...

Yeah, I'm done.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 08:55:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DarkDemin wrote on Wed, 14 September 2005 21:03much better gbull

ty, that one took much less time than ones I normally do.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 15:32:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430 wrote on Wed, 14 September 2005 22:31You have absolutely no clue what the fuck you're talking about, but nice job at wasting your time.

You know it to be true, your latest recruit told me so.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [mrpirate](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 15:43:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oblivion is my hero.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 16:24:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oblivion165 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 10:32j_ball430 wrote on Wed, 14 September 2005 22:31You have absolutely no clue what the fuck you're talking about, but nice job at wasting your time.

You know it to be true, your latest recruit told me so.

Oblivion, we never accepted you as an E-terrorist.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 16:59:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jake I didn't spend 1 minute of my life making that plaque for nothing, I demand you put it in your sig.

For reference it was the "wtf is up wi rg" bet.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 17:30:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 12:24Oblivion165 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005

10:32j_ball430 wrote on Wed, 14 September 2005 22:31You have absolutely no clue what the fuck you're talking about, but nice job at wasting your time.

You know it to be true, your latest recruit told me so.

Oblivion, we never accepted you as an E-terrorist.

Thats only because you adopted michael jackson's admittance rules.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Ma1kel](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 17:41:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Go Oblivion!

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 17:51:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Do you ever post anything more than 1 sentence?

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 18:19:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

..Yeah im pretty sure in my 1600 posts there is a 2 sentencer in there somewhere.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 18:51:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am skeptical of that.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 19:10:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Shouldn't you E-Terrorists just go stay on your own forum that way you can count on your own members to only post threads that meet your uselessness rating?

Seems like a good idea to me.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 19:25:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 14:51I am skeptical of that.

Well usually someone who's name reflects a bull's orgasm would.

So i dont blame you for that.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 19:34:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CPUKiller wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 15:10Shouldn't you E-Terrorists just go stay on your own forum that way you can count on your own members to only post threads that meet your uselessness rating?

Seems like a good idea to me.

You say my retardation speaks for itself. Funny I have never had any of the topics I created spammed and/or locked. I must be doing something right.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 19:47:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oblivion165 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 14:25gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 14:51I am skeptical of that.

Well usually someone who's name reflects a bull's orgasm would.

So i dont blame you for that.

Because ghuman is a human orgasm right? Your insult sucks, and has nothing to do with what I said at all. I don't know what makes you this stupid, but at least you know its working.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 20:13:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DarkDemin wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 15:34CPUKiller wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 15:10Shouldn't you E-Terrorists just go stay on your own forum that way you can count on your own members to only post threads that meet your uselessness rating?

Seems like a good idea to me.

You say my retardation speaks for itself. Funny I have never had any of the topics I created spammed and/or locked. I must be doing something right.

It's not like you E-Terrorists are going to start spamming threads created by your own members.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 20:25:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 15:47Oblivion165 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 14:25gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 14:51I am skeptical of that.

Well usually someone who's name reflects a bull's orgasm would.

So i dont blame you for that.

Because ghuman is a human orgasm right? Your insult sucks, and has nothing to do with what I said at all. I don't know what makes you this stupid, but at least you know its working.

who the fuck said ghuman. Wha? Wha? thats right, no one. We're talking "G" spots, and feeling the g's. Maybe you should think about that while your out there raping those bulls.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 20:33:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm definitely asking for a lock to fit this thread, it's gotten retarded now. I've been gone for four days, and about twenty people have turned into complete piles of retarded-ness. Y'all have fun with that, now. I'm off to PM Crimson.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 22:22:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh my God, you idiots don't stop, do you? You have absolutely no clue what the fuck "E-Terrorists" was created for, but because you're too fucking stupid to try and figure out and use some reasoning, you flame it and have even gone as far as to make signatures AND a mock logo for the "E-Terrorists" in a poor attempt to get your digs in. Jesus Christ, kids, grow the fuck up already.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 22:23:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ma1kel wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 18:41Go Oblivion!

DJM wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 18:51Do you ever post anything more than 1 sentence?

Oblivion:

Quote:You Sir, are an idiot

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 22:30:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dave, they're children and their obsession with the "E-Terrorists" is quite pathetic. They're calling us stupid, immature, and pathetic, yet they're taking time out of their days to create signatures and continue to flame our "group". If they're going to tell us to grow up, then they might want to first.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 22:45:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oblivion165 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 15:25gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 15:47Oblivion165 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 14:25gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 14:51I am skeptical of that.

Well usually someone who's name reflects a bull's orgasm would.

So i dont blame you for that.

Because ghuman is a human orgasm right? Your insult sucks, and has nothing to do with what I said at all. I don't know what makes you this stupid, but at least you know its working.

who the fuck said ghuman. Wha? Wha? thats right, no one. We're talking "G" spots, and feeling

the g's. Maybe you should think about that while your out there raping those bulls.

Im going to type this clearly and as dumbed down as possible so that even you can understand it. You(oblivion or "oblivious"<!--I can mock names too) are stating that the name gbull(Me) is somehow correlated with the orgasm of a bull. However, calling the g-spot of a bull "gbull" would be the same as calling the g-spot of a human "ghuman". I would also like to point out that your thinking about people raping bulls. You might want to see a phsyiatrist you sicko.</p>

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 22:56:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

meh, I'm not getting worked up by this. I just think it's funny.

I wouldn't be suprised if Nopic comes in this topic any second and asks for pm access.

But anyway. I also find it quite ammusing how he can tell us to grow up when posting ridiculously inane "insults" such as "go rape a bull".

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 23:14:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 18:45Oblivion165 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 15:25gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 15:47Oblivion165 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 14:25gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 14:51I am skeptical of that.

Well usually someone who's name reflects a bull's orgasm would.

So i dont blame you for that.

Because ghuman is a human orgasm right? Your insult sucks, and has nothing to do with what I said at all. I don't know what makes you this stupid, but at least you know its working.

who the fuck said ghuman. Wha? Wha? thats right, no one. We're talking "G" spots, and feeling the g's. Maybe you should think about that while your out there raping those bulls.

Im going to type this clearly and as dumbed down as possible so that even you can understand it. You(oblivion or "oblivious"<!--I can mock names too) are stating that the name gbull(Me) is somehow correlated with the orgasm of a bull. However, calling the g-spot of a bull "gbull" would be the same as calling the g-spot of a human "ghuman". I would also like to point out that your thinking about people raping bulls. You might want to see a phsyiatrist you sicko.</p>

Gbull, the real play on names here, would be to change Oblivion's numbers in his name into "1337" letters, like so: Oblivion165. We all know the letters in Oblivion, since they are in the English language, as is said word "Oblivion" however, not everyone knows the "1337" language,

compiled of nothing but numbers. If we considered Oblivion a "1337157" or "Leetist" then his name would instead be "Oblivionigs" which would be translated from slang to "Oblivion Ni***rs". Now, since we have discovered this, we can now officially mark the Oblivion Ni***r as a Leetist, thereby lowering his IQ dramatically, down to an astonishing 4.2. Since this IQ is not possible, because there is a percentage in it, it is immediately lowered by half, and the percentage making it a very low number of: 2. Thus, we have just called the Oblivion Ni***r an idiot.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [bisen11](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 23:26:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 18:22Oh my God, you idiots don't stop, do you? You have absolutely no clue what the fuck "E-Terrorists" was created for, but because you're too fucking stupid to try and figure out and use some reasoning, you flame it and have even gone as far as to make signatures AND a mock logo for the "E-Terrorists" in a poor attempt to get your digs in. Jesus Christ, kids, grow the fuck up already.

Since when does throwing a rug on the dirty floor qualify as cleaning it?

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 23:27:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lijitsu wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 19:14gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 18:45Oblivion165 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 15:25gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 15:47Oblivion165 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 14:25gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 14:51I am skeptical of that.

Well usually someone who's name reflects a bull's orgasm would.

So i dont blame you for that.

Because ghuman is a human orgasm right? Your insult sucks, and has nothing to do with what I said at all. I don't know what makes you this stupid, but at least you know its working.

who the fuck said ghuman. Wha? Wha? thats right, no one. We're talking "G" spots, and feeling the g's. Maybe you should think about that while your out there raping those bulls.

Im going to type this clearly and as dumbed down as possible so that even you can understand it. You(oblivion or "oblivious"

Gbull, the real play on names here, would be to change Oblivion's numbers in his name into "1337" letters, like so: Oblivion165. We all know the letters in Oblivion, since they are in the

English language, as is said word "Oblivion" however, not everyone knows the "1337" language, compiled of nothing but numbers. If we considered Oblivion a "1337157" or "Leetist" then his name would instead be "Oblivionigs" which would be translated from slang to "Oblivion Ni***rs". Now, since we have discovered this, we can now officially mark the Oblivion Ni***r as a Leetist, thereby lowering his IQ dramatically, down to an astonishing 4.2. Since this IQ is not possible, because there is a percentage in it, it is immediately lowered by half, and the percentage making it a very low number of: 2. Thus, we have just called the Oblivion Ni***r an idiot.

Wow, ebonics. Do you know klingon as well? 165 has nothing to do with leet speak or any other form of lower-class communication.

All things being done, we all have been here ALONG time. We generally agree on most things, some we dont. I think its best that we just say "I agree" "I disagree"

We are putting on a bad show for the newer members.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 23:44:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oblivion165 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 19:27Do you know klingon as well?
No, but I know Dark Elf.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 00:14:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Me making the web site was just an excuse for me to use my other domain for something.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [RyuKishen](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 00:52:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nice topic

Can we get this topic locked?

And CPU, when did I make any 'idle threats'? If I make threats, I can surely back them up.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:09:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I know the "real" purpose of E-Terrorists:

1. To annoy people who post something by completely redirecting the thread from their intended topic. If you want a thread about penguins, just make one.
2. To act like a bunch of elitest who want only their ideas expressed and to repress all others.
3. To generally act like 12 year olds who think they know everything but don't.

RyuKishen: Your "idle threats" I refer to are in another thread where you were bothering ben for putting an E-Terrorist logo in his sig. If you think you are all bad or know some great hackers (or whatever else you were talking about), I invite you to try them on me, they won't get far. And hopefully, you won't resort to script kiddie DDoS junk either, as I can change my IP at will.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:16:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Go shove your ego up your ass. Your assumptions are wrong.

1. Redirecting pointless threads from their intended subjects. If nopic didn't insult Hurricane Katrina victims, it wouldn't have been turned into a thread about penguins.
2. We're only repressing the spammy threads. Hell, I even defended nopic's 9/11 thread, so we're not out to get any specific people. Care to try again?
3. I seem to have a much better grasp on reality than you do, and if that seems arrogant, then so be it. I don't need your approval to feel worthy.

The threats were hot air. I knew ben would relinquish the image from his signature if I had enough of a convincing threat. It appeared to be a threat, but it was more of a manipulation.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [RyuKishen](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:17:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What we do is we take totally pointless and annoying topics, and we make them into something entertaining until it gets locked. We gladly let people express their ideas. It's just when people post stuff that is pointless or offensive, we decide that we want to take the focus off of that topic. When we take offensive topics off-topic, we actually save them from the amount of flames that they would receive.

About my "idle threat" to ben, it wasn't about getting any hackers or anything. It was about filing a law suit against him for using copyrighted material without the consent of the owner. And that is VERY possible. Besides, he took the picture out of his signature before we had to do anything

about it

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:25:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 21:16

1. Redirecting pointless threads from their intended subjects. If nopic didn't insult Hurricane Katrina victims, it wouldn't have been turned into a thread about penguins.

Hmm... seems like repression of his opinions for yours to me. He had a thread about Katrina, you didn't like it, so you wanted to change it to something that fell into your own ideals.

2. We're only repressing the spammy threads. Hell, I even defended nopic's 9/11 thread, so we're not out to get any specific people. Care to try again?

"Spammy" threads are up to the mods, they can lock them if they want. No need to waste time and cause confusion by having a thread with one title but about something completely different.

3. I seem to have a much better grasp on reality than you do, and if that seems arrogant, then so be it. I don't need your approval to feel worthy.

Yeah, I'm the arrogant one.

The threats were hot air. I knew ben would relinquish the image from his signature if I had enough of a convincing threat. It appeared to be a threat, but it was more of a manipulation.

Whether manipulation or not, it was still an idle threat.

Suing someone over an E-Terrorist logo is unrealistic, even while technically possible. Nobody would pay the amount it would take to prosecute such a case, let alone finding a lawyer who would do it without charging you an arm and a leg for handling such a frivolous case.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:27:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Okay, you're not talking about my threat. I never threatened with a lawsuit as I don't know who the fuck ben is or even where the Hell he lives, therefore, suing him would be damn stupid.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:29:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That part was about RyuKishen's post, all my replies to your post are in the quoted area.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:29:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why do you have me talking about Star Trek in your sig when I hate the show and the idea of it all together? Please, at least base your hatred of us on fact rather than assumption if you must have someone to hate.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:34:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Are you trying to argue with me that I should have left nopic's thread alone because he, in his own twisted little way, thought it was fine? Okay, so maybe we should leave theives and murderers alone to do their business. I mean, afterall, they don't see it as being wrong, so how dare we inflict our opinions on them? Shame shame.

Sure, we could let the mods do their jobs, but you haven't been here long enough to realize that unless topics are expanded at great lengths, the thread usually stays open no matter how ridiculous it may become. Sure, there are exceptions, but not that many.

I'm the arrogant one because I don't need your approval? Sure...

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:37:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 21:29 Why do you have me talking about Star Trek in your sig when I hate the show and the idea of it all together? Please, at least base your hatred of us on fact rather than assumption if you must have someone to hate.

If you hate ST so much, why do you have an E-Terrorist Red Alert?

Tommorrow will I see you at Yellow Alert or Green Alert? (And in case there are any nerds out there, yes there is a Green Alert, it is normal ship operating status)

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:39:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh, so his "alert" system MUST be from Star Trek! I mean, in no way shape or form could he be "borrowing" the concept from Homeland Security. Obviously, that would make all too much sense.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:41:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CPUKiller wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 20:37gbull wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 21:29Why do you have me talking about Star Trek in your sig when I hate the show and the idea of it all together? Please, at least base your hatred of us on fact rather than assuption if you must have someone to hate.

If you hate ST so much, why do you have an E-Terrorist Red Alert?

Tommorrow will I see you at Yellow Alert or Green Alert? (And in case there are any nerds out there, yes there is a Green Alert, it is normal ship operating status)

I was making reference to the real Terrorist alert level you damn idiot. Where have you been the last 5 years.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:43:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 21:34Are you trying to argue with me that I should have left nopic's thread alone because he, in his own twisted little way, thought it was fine? Okay, so maybe we should leave theives and murderers alone to do their buisness. I mean, afterall, they don't see it as being wrong, so how dare we inflict our opinions on them? Shame shame.

It is fine for nopic to post things that are fine in his twisted way, he's not hurting anyone. If you are so sensitive that posts on a message board offend you, you shouldn't be on the internet. These rules don't apply to thieves and murderers because those things actually hurt someone/something. Words do not, so you and anyone else shouldn't worry about it.

Sure, we could let the mods do their jobs, but you haven't been here long enough to realize that unless topics are expanded at great lengths, the thread usually stays open no matter how ridiculous it may become. Sure, there are exceptions, but not that many.

Whether the mods choose to close them or not, that is their option and their decision. It's not up to you and the other E-Terrorists to take it upon themselves, embarrassing everyone involved.

I'm the arrogant one because I don't need your approval? Sure...

Anyone who thinks that they should be in charge of censoring other people's posts because they want to judge everyone and everything by their own morals is just being ethnocentric, which is being arrogant. I however, try to let people do what they do, as long as they don't try to get all judgemental on someone else because they think they are the moral right.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:46:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

First off, we aren't even on a Terror Alert of Red.

2nd, anyone who thinks the Department of Homeland Security is something you should be emulating (especially while calling themselves terrorists), automatically has an IQ of less than 75.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [bisen11](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:46:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

RyuKishen wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 21:17What we do is we take totally pointless and annoying topics, and we make them into something entertaining until it gets locked. We gladly let people express their ideas. It's just when people post stuff that is pointless or offensive, we decide that we want to take the focus off of that topic. When we take offensive topics off-topic, we actually save them from the amount of flames that they would receive.

About my "idle threat" to ben, it wasn't about getting any hackers or anything. It was about filing a law suit against him for using copyrighted material without the consent of the owner. And that is VERY possible. Besides, he took the picture out of his signature before we had to do anything about it

You haven't answered.

Quote:

Since when does throwing a rug on the dirty floor qualify as cleaning it?

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:51:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Does it say Terrorist Alert: RED? No, it says E-Terrorist Alert: Red. The emulation is not to mimic real Terrorists but to just be a meaningless slogan.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:52:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

His post obviously offended people, therefore it "hurts" them. It may be the internet, but it's still people reading it, and it still references a horrible situation in the real world. Internet or not, it was inappropriate, so we decided to turn the sadistic topic into something random.

We are damn well aware of what we're doing. We're simply taking a stupid topic someone else

made and turning it into a stupid topic that we made. Just... more entertaining. Crimson asked us to stop, and guess what? We stopped. Imagine that.

Because we see a stupid topic and decide to derail it, we're egocentric? It's called "hijacking". You said it yourself. It's just the fucking internet. If someone is "embarrassed" because their thread is "hijacked" then they shouldn't be on the fucking internet.

Who said he was copying the exact level that the terror alert is at? He's just copying the concept. Duh?

His Terror Alert of "Red" simply states that we're on the loose and "dangerous".

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:58:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CPUKiller wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 21:46First off, we aren't even on a Terror Alert of Red.

2nd, anyone who thinks the Department of Homeland Security is something you should be emulating (especially while calling themselves terrorists), automatically has an IQ of less than 75.

A. Don't double post.

B. Don't be an idiot.

C. I do believe that the remark on Homeland Security was a joke. If you can't tell that, you should have your head examined.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:59:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 21:52His post obviously offended people, therefore it "hurts" them. It may be the internet, but it's still people reading it, and it still references a horrible situation in the real world. Internet or not, it was inappropriate, so we decided to turn the sadistic topic into something random.

The post shouldn't have offended anyone, it is just words. It is only offensive to people who share your cultural and moral background, and think that things written on the internet should be taken seriously. Whether it references something real, bad, good, or whatever, it doesn't matter; if you don't like it don't read it.

We are damn well aware of what we're doing. We're simply taking a stupid topic someone else made and turning it into a stupid topic that we made. Just... more entertaining. Crimson asked us to stop, and guess what? We stopped. Imagine that.

It's about time, I'm glad you listen to someone.

Because we see a stupid topic and decide to derail it, we're egocentric? It's called "hijacking". You

said it yourself. It's just the fucking internet. If someone is "embarrassed" because their thread is "hijacked" then they shouldn't be on the fucking internet.

You are embarrassing everyone, not just the thread starter.

You are embarrassing yourselves by doing it in the first place, you are embarrassing the whole Renegade Forums community because they have to see it, and you are embarrassing and probably scaring off newcomers who see that this activity is even allowed on here.

Who said he was copying the exact level that the terror alert is at? He's just copying the concept. Duh?

His Terror Alert of "Red" simply states that we're on the loose and "dangerous".

Again, I already mentioned that anyone who would steal a concept from the DHS has an IQ automatically below 75. But I guess I shouldn't be surprised that you don't remember me saying that.

I'll give you guys some time now, a good 12 hours or so. While I'm gone try to come up with some good arguments because you are pretty weak as far as debaters go.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 02:02:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CPUKiller wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 21:59 I'll give you guys some time now, a good 12 hours or so. While I'm gone try to come up with some good arguments because you are pretty weak as far as debaters go.

Excuse me for just being a Science, Spelling, Math and Grammar genius. I never thought that I would need all those skills plus the skill to debate with someone as retarded as you.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 02:03:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

(one last one since he posted it while I was composing the other one)

Lijitsu wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 21:58

A. I didn't double post, double posting entails of posting the same thing 2x, I was replying to 2 separate messages.

B. Looks who's talking.

C. Who knows with you lot.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 02:06:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CPUKiller wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 20:59j_ball430 wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 21:52His post obviously offended people, therefore it "hurts" them. It may be the internet, but it's still people reading it, and it still references a horrible situation in the real world. Internet or not, it was inappropriate, so we decided to turn the sadistic topic into something random.

The post shouldn't have offended anyone, it is just words. It is only offensive to people who share your cultural and moral background, and think that things written on the internet should be taken seriously. Whether it references something real, bad, good, or whatever, it doesn't matter; if you don't like it don't read it.

We are damn well aware of what we're doing. We're simply taking a stupid topic someone else made and turning it into a stupid topic that we made. Just... more entertaining. Crimson asked us to stop, and guess what? We stopped. Imagine that.

It's about time, I'm glad you listen to someone.

Because we see a stupid topic and decide to derail it, we're egocentric? It's called "hijacking". You said it yourself. It's just the fucking internet. If someone is "embarrassed" because their thread is "hijacked" then they shouldn't be on the fucking internet.

You are embarrassing everyone, not just the thread starter.

You are embarrassing yourselves by doing it in the first place, you are embarrassing the whole Renegade Forums community because they have to see it, and you are embarrassing and probably scaring off newcomers who see that this activity is even allowed on here.

Who said he was copying the exact level that the terror alert is at? He's just copying the concept. Duh?

His Terror Alert of "Red" simply states that we're on the loose and "dangerous".

Again, I already mentioned that anyone who would steal a concept from the DHS has an IQ automatically below 75. But I guess I shouldn't be surprised that you don't remember me saying that.

I'll give you guys some time now, a good 12 hours or so. While I'm gone try to come up with some good arguments because you are pretty weak as far as debaters go.

"TheKing'sThrone" If their opposition defeats them in an argument, no matter how badly wounded they may be, idiots will strike back in a futile attempt to regain any ego, dignity, and territory lost in the argument. This is what's known as the "Adamian Principle". They will continue to dig themselves deeper and deeper into a hole without realizing it in an attempt to try outwit and/or outlast their opposition. 99% of the time, this results in failure and humiliation for the idiot. Though the argument may be over and the idiot defeated, they will still try and maintain dignity by claiming a false victory over their opposition.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 02:14:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

They're just words? Is that so? Words hold a lot more value than just the letters that they're made up of. Words can hurt. Words express feelings, thoughts, views, etc... Words are spoken/typed for a reason. They're not just spewed out to necessarily be taken lightly. Obviously you see something wrong with threats, but... they're just words. They're not actions, so they don't mean anything. If they don't mean anything, why do you concern yourself with them? Why do you concern yourself with me, gbull, and others if all we type is just words? Obviously, it's the internet and everything typed is worth nothing, not even the bandwidth it takes for you to read them. Again, I ask you, why do you concern yourself with them then? You obviously feel that what you have to say is important if you have taken this much time to argue with us. What makes your words worth more than nopic's? Why should I pay any more attention to what you have to say than what he does? You obviously feel that your words are far more important and actually mean something whereas what nopic types has no meaning and are just words. If that's not egocentric, then I don't know what is.

By the time I'm offended by his post, I have already read it. It's kind of hard to ignore it after you read it, huh?

Funny how most people found entertainment in what we were doing. Pray tell how that is embarrassing.

I'm sorry to tell you, but "alert" systems have been along a lot longer than just the terrorist one and many are no more serious than gbull's. However, since the Homeland Security's system is based on terrorism, and we're "E-Terrorists", they kind of go hand-in-hand. The emulation of the terror system means nothing other than for humor. Obviously, you're offended by it. Why? You said they're just words.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 02:51:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CPUKiller wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 22:03(one last one since he posted it while I was composing the other one)

Lijitsu wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 21:58

- A. I didn't double post, double posting entails of posting the same thing 2x, I was replying to 2 separate messages.
- B. Looks who's talking.
- C. Who knows with you lot.

Don't change what people say in quotes, or I'll brand you as stupid as kinghigh1.

A. Wrong. Double posting isn't just posting the same thing twice, it's also posting twice, both within

a very short time span of the other being posted. Meaning simply that, if you post something at noon on Sunday, and then post something one hour later after nobody has posted anything, then you are double posting.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dr. Lithius](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 03:12:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lijitsu wrote on Mon, 09 May 2001 20:48I think that cheese should be marketed as a spreadable product! Think about it! Spreadable cheese! Yum...Yeah, I'm sorry. I couldn't resist. Hee hee hee.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 03:16:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Even you ain't immune from being branded. *Heats up his Branding Iron that says "As stupid as kinghigh1"*

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [God of Death](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 03:17:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CPUKiller wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 20:59

I'll give you guys some time now, a good 12 hours or so. While I'm gone try to come up with some good arguments because you are pretty weak as far as debaters go.

Seems like you are just stalling while you go research something good to say.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 03:20:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

God of Death wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 23:17CPUKiller wrote on Thu, 15 September 2005 20:59

I'll give you guys some time now, a good 12 hours or so. While I'm gone try to come up with some good arguments because you are pretty weak as far as debaters go.

Seems like you are just stalling while you go research something good to say.
That's exactly what I was thinking. Though not so much research something, as think of an arguement.

Subject: Re: HIJACK
Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 12:51:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: HIJACK
Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 14:11:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Anyway, too much to cover individually, but I'll cover the high points.

As I already said, anyone who copies the DHS is an idiot. It doesn't offend me, it is just a fact.

Double posting is only if you post the same thing, if you are making another point, or replying to another post, it isn't double posting.

"Excuse me for just being a Science, Spelling, Math and Grammar genius. I never thought that I would need all those skills plus the skill to debate with someone as retarded as you."
If you are such a genius in those fields, why did you capitalize them when you shouldn't have?

"By the time I'm offended by his post, I have already read it. It's kind of hard to ignore it after you read it, huh?"

When you start feeling offended you should just go: "It is just words, who cares?" and stop feeling offended.

Now that you guys have (finally) stopped thread hijacking, there really isn't much point in continuing this thread.

P.S.: The 12 hours weren't for "thinking up an argument", it was becausei it was time for me to go to sleep so I can get up in time for college. I'm not staying up late on your account.

Subject: Re: HIJACK
Posted by [Parad0x](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 14:16:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 14:51:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Please show me where it's "fact" that by copying the DHS "Terror Alert" system makes someone an idiot. I highly doubt you have at least a bachelors in psychology. In fact, I highly doubt you're even going into psychology, so stop acting like you know everything.

Did I not already explain how they're more than just words? Words are what we use to communicate emotions and feelings (along with actions). Words can never be "just words" if they form a thought/idea. They mean something, and they are meant to mean something. To try and take away their meaning means you're taking away the meaning of languages and verbal communication. If verbal communication means nothing then our words are just "sounds", and if they're just sounds then we're no higher on the chain than other mammals because these are just words.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [RyuKishen](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 19:56:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CPU, we only hijacked like 2-3 topics. Stop acting like we hijacked half the posts on this forum

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 20:49:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think we hijacked two. Y'all on the other hand, hijacked two or three more. But I agree, stop acting like the E-Terrorists hijacked all the topics on these forums.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [intergalacticpriest](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 21:42:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

E-TERRORISTS ARE GHEY

CPUKILLER AND OBLIVION165, AND THE PRIEST ARE L337
WE PWN YOU

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 21:43:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

intergalacticpriest wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 17:42E-TERRORISTS ARE GHEY

CPUKILLER AND OBLIVION165, AND THE PRIEST ARE L337

WE PWN YOU

Worst first post on these forums ever.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 21:44:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're an idiot, too.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 22:44:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ironic, I know, but hell, I'm tempted to hijack this topic to irritate the illiterate foo that started it.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 22:46:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [RyuKishen](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 22:47:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

intergalacticpriest wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 17:42E-TERRORISTS ARE GHEY

CPUKILLER AND OBLIVION165, AND THE PRIEST ARE L337

WE PWN YOU

Don't capitalize every letter you type.

Don't type "GHEY" instead of "gay".

Don't type "L337" instead of "elite".

Don't type "PWN" instead of "own".

Put a period at the end of sentences.

It should be "CPUKiller, Oblivion165, and intergalacticpriest are elite." instead of "CPUKILLER AND OBLIVION165, AND THE PRIEST ARE L337"

There are probably more grammar mistakes in that, but I'm not going to find them all. For

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [intergalacticpriest](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 00:25:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

RyuKishen wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 17:47
intergalacticpriest wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 17:42
E-TERRORISTS ARE GHEY

CPUKILLER AND OBLIVION165, AND THE PRIEST ARE L337
WE PWN YOU

Don't capitalize every letter you type.

Don't type "GHEY" instead of "gay".

Don't type "L337" instead of "elite".

Don't type "PWN" instead of "own".

Put a period at the end of sentences.

It should be "CPUKiller, Oblivion165, and intergalacticpriest are elite." instead of "CPUKILLER AND OBLIVION165, AND THE PRIEST ARE L337"

There are probably more grammar mistakes in that, but I'm not going to find them all. For

HHA AHAH AHA HHAHAAHAHA

WHAT A FAGTARD

are you too stupid to realize this isn't english class??

haha ahahahahahaha

stupid!

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [God of Death](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 01:12:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, it may not be english class, but how you talk reflects your intelligence. How you type reflects how you talk. That is what he was saying.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 01:32:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't get why people can't spend an extra 10 seconds of their life typing in proper English. Fair enough if you are foreign and aren't very good.

But I expect more of perfectly capable English-writing people.

To all the 1337 sp33k3rs: Like God Of Death stated, how you type reflects your intelligence. I hope you realise why many people on here don't take you seriously.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 01:38:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

While this may not be English class, it doesn't hurt to use proper grammar. Judging from your posts, you're still in middle school, so you really probably should be practicing accurate grammar rather than the bullshit you're spewing.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 01:53:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hydra wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 18:46

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 01:57:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hydra wrote on Sat, 17 September 2005 02:53Hydra wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 18:46

Agreed.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [God of Death](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 03:54:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DJM wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 20:57Hydra wrote on Sat, 17 September 2005 02:53Hydra wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 18:46

Agreed.

Second.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 04:21:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

God of Death wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 23:54DJM wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 20:57Hydra wrote on Sat, 17 September 2005 02:53Hydra wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 18:46

Agreed.

Second.

Third.

I think that this thread has been hijacked by Hydra with his lock idea...

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 11:02:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How about not constantly quoting it, making matters worse. Wait until somebody closes it already.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lunawolfy](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 11:27:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No way guys. I might have been insulted by the e terrorists but i do believe they do a very good job, they allow the little people to have their say at the top.....C'MON E-TERRORISTS

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 12:11:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oblivion165: *r1.ncbrvr.InfoAve.Net

CPUKiller: *r1.ncbrvr.InfoAve.Net

intergalacticpriest: *r16.ncbrvr.InfoAve.Net, *r3.ncbrvr.InfoAve.Net

Oblivion, lol, tell me you didn't just create "CPUKiller" and "intergalacticpriest" so you would have

others to argue on your side in this thread? (Or so you could keep up your "let's stop this and agree to disagree etc. etc. we're setting a bad example for the new members etc."?)

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 12:19:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[Insert comical "owned" picture here]

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 12:34:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

shakes head

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 15:32:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am Oblivion's brother. I don't know for sure who intergalacticpriest is, but I think I do. There are a few Renegade ppl in our town.

But like I said before, you guys stopped with your hijacking so I don't care anymore.

[Also just to note: I never hijacked any other threads, this is the only thread I've ever posted in to date.]

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 16:27:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nice excuse.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Renerage](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 17:01:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DJM wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 21:32I don't get why people can't spend an extra 10 seconds of their life typing in proper English. Fair enough if you are foreign and aren't very good.

But I expect more of perfectly capable English-writing people.

To all the 1337 sp33k3rs: Like God Of Death stated, how you type reflects your intelligence. I hope you realise why many people on here don't take you seriously.

No i disagree, people can be very intelligent and just not give a rats ass on how they type. However, typing like a TOTAL retard is alittle questionable but when a person has 5-10 errors in a paragraph i consider that acceptable.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 17:15:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CPUKiller wrote on Sat, 17 September 2005 16:32I am Oblivion's brother. I don't know for sure who intergalacticpriest is, but I think I do. There are a few Renegade ppl in our town.

But like I said before, you guys stopped with your hijacking so I don't care anymore.

[Also just to note: I never hijacked any other threads, this is the only thread I've ever posted in to date.]

Damn! How could we be so stupid? It was obviously his brother! Just like taslayer's brother is Nopic! Just like everybody who gets their account banned on RenGuard is innocent, because their BROTHER did it!

And it was staring us in the face all this time!

[/sarcasm]

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 18:07:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DJM wrote on Sat, 17 September 2005 13:15CPUKiller wrote on Sat, 17 September 2005 16:32I am Oblivion's brother. I don't know for sure who intergalacticpriest is, but I think I do. There are a few Renegade ppl in our town.

But like I said before, you guys stopped with your hijacking so I don't care anymore.

[Also just to note: I never hijacked any other threads, this is the only thread I've ever posted in to date.]

Damn! How could we be so stupid? It was obviously his brother! Just like taslayer's brother is

Nopic! Just like everybody who gets their account banned on RenGuard is innocent, because their BROTHER did it!

And it was staring us in the face all this time!

[/sarcasm]

Or their Dog, or the Mail Man, or their Sister, Aunt, Uncle, Dad, Mom, Cat, Cow, Emu...

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Sat, 17 Sep 2005 20:19:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DJM wrote on Sat, 17 September 2005 13:15CPUKiller wrote on Sat, 17 September 2005 16:32I am Oblivion's brother. I don't know for sure who intergalacticpriest is, but I think I do. There are a few Renegade ppl in our town.

But like I said before, you guys stopped with your hijacking so I don't care anymore.

[Also just to note: I never hijacked any other threads, this is the only thread I've ever posted in to date.]

Damn! How could we be so stupid? It was obviously his brother! Just like taslayer's brother is Nopic! Just like everybody who gets their account banned on RenGuard is innocent, because their BROTHER did it!

And it was staring us in the face all this time!

[/sarcasm]

There are plenty enough places on the net where I hang out and am known as CPU Killer, plenty enough to differentiate between myself and Oblivion.

I'll give you a couple of URLs on your request, don't want to be accused of spamming.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Sun, 18 Sep 2005 00:30:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JAKE. *growls*

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Opalkilla](#) on Sun, 18 Sep 2005 00:35:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cheekay77 wrote on Sat, 17 September 2005 13:01

No i disagree, people can be very intellengent and just not give a rats ass on how they type.

However, typing like a TOTAL retard is alittle questionable but when a person has 5-10 errors in a paragraph i consider that acceptable.

Microsoft Word > buttcheeks77

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 18 Sep 2005 01:09:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

For what it's worth, intergalacticpriest's IP is substantially different from CPUkiller's.

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Sun, 18 Sep 2005 04:36:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Unrelated, but; IT IS THE BEAST!

File Attachments

1) [untitled.bmp](#), downloaded 366 times

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Sun, 18 Sep 2005 04:40:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So, anyways....

Lijitsu wrote on Sat, 17 September 2005 00:21God of Death wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005

23:54DJM wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 20:57Hydra wrote on Sat, 17 September 2005

02:53Hydra wrote on Fri, 16 September 2005 18:46

Agreed.

Second.

Third.

I think that this thread has been hijacked by Hydra with his lock idea...

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [CPUKiller](#) on Sun, 18 Sep 2005 13:39:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Sat, 17 September 2005 21:09For what it's worth, intergalacticpriest's IP is substantially different from CPUkiller's.

There is one set of IPs for people on DSL in this county, and another set for those on Dial Up is why they are so different.

I did find out intergalacticpriest is who I thought it was, just one of my brother's friends (and an immature one @ that. Hangs out @ totse, etc.)

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Sun, 18 Sep 2005 18:34:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CPUKiller wrote on Sun, 18 September 2005 09:39

There is one set of IPs for people on DSL in this county, and another set for those on Dial Up is why they are so different.

LOL

Subject: Re: HIJACK

Posted by [exnyte](#) on Mon, 19 Sep 2005 02:34:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

^^ what msgtpain said...
