

---

Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sat, 21 Feb 2004 00:45:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Look, I posted just the first part of a large poster. LESS THAN 10% of it. And the point of the poster is NOT to nitpick at the details. As I already stated, the poster is entitled "A course of conduct". It's really only through reading the entire poster and the 90%+ I haven't typed out that you get the true perspective of why Clinton was a bad guy to have in that office.

I also like how Clinton spent more money going after Bill Gates than he did going after Osama Bin Laden. :rolleyes: Guess he couldn't handle Bill not giving large donations to him.

And yes, they are different things. I am NOT a loyal listener who relies on Rush for all my political news and views. I had never visited his website until a couple of days ago. Therefore, YOU CAN'T TRY AND LUMP ME WITH THE PEOPLE YOU ARE QUOTING. I simply do NOT fit the demographic. Therefore, pulling up the supposed, ALLEGED stupidity of Rush listeners is a huge waste of time and completely irrelevant. Just because I happen to agree with some of his research does not make me a "rushhead", and also, just because Rush isn't 100% right 100% of the time doesn't mean that everything he says is a lie or total bullshit.

---