

---

Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Thu, 19 Feb 2004 20:35:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:how can you be an athist supporter of bush???? there is just nothing else to say, ill leave it at that.

Easy. I support Bush, and I'm an atheist. Ever hear of separation of church and state? I do not apply religion to politics, only common sense.

Quote:you know what is also funny (going back to NCLB) i am currently reading a book entitled Fahrenheit 451 (you may have heard of it, i think it is supposed to be pretty well known) and basically it is saying that in the future we burn books and ignore good education because smart people, different races, people with different views, etc., etc. could not keep up with it, so the gov't decided to make everything happy and easy. Seeing a slight resemblance here??? the whole point is that is BAD. that is what could very wel happen if the NCLB thing keeps going on.

OK, I have read Fahrenheit 451, and I must say I see no connection at all between burning books and NCLB. I do not believe in that aptricular program, but how you could possibly draw that connection boggles my mind. There is a vast difference between a program that attempts to make everyone learn at the same speed (yeah, right...even though I support Bush in general, that is not going to ever work) and the stifling of all written literature.

Quote:the poor got A LITTLE money back (they need it the most). the middle class got SOME money back (they need a lot because more people are in this category and end up paying a whole lot) the rich get a TON of money back (yeah . . . they're RICH)

The taxes some rich people pay exceed the annual income of many poor families. Let's remember here, they may have tons of money to blow, but IT'S STILL THEIR MONEY! You can't justify taking more of it away because someone else doesn't make as much in a year- that's a step towards communism.

Say you made 7 figures a year, and a friend of your made only 5 figures a year. Both of you must pay an approximate 30% income tax. According to your logic, the person with the lower income should get back...maybe 2%, while you would get only 1% back. Is that fair? No, it isn't- it would be flat out unconstitutional, because it would be favoring one group over another. The tax cuts are balanced in that every person gets the same PERCENTAGE of their taxes back(not the same amount). You put more in, you get more out. Putting pennies into a change machine and expecting quarters to fall back out is ludicrous, but that seems to be what you're expecting.

Don't believe for a second that a person who is poor will stay that way simply because they don't have the money to do better- that just is not true. If you have the will to do better, you will do better. If you do not, no amount of tax cuts will get you out of your rut, because you simply do not want it bad enough. Catch my drift? That is not to say all poor people are poor because they want to be- but they all have the potential to go out and succeed. A greater tax cut would only serve to reduce that drive, because people would then know that their government would be able to do everything for them.

---