Subject: OT: Political IQ Test Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Thu, 05 Feb 2004 23:38:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonLOL - he had to use "allegedly" wherever he didn't have complete proof that the event took place. It's a word you have to use to avoid being sued for libel or slander. I'm sure you don't have quite so many "allegedly"s tied to your past. And this is only a tiny part of all the information on there. Actually, I should have bet money that you'd jump on the "allegedly" and not think about what you've read.

Your insult of the Constitution is blatantly rude and ignorant. To insult the very document that this nation was founded on and has operated under for over 200 years is not only ignorant, but practically treasonous! But mostly ignorant.

He had to use "allegedly" because there is no truth behind any of it. If there was, he wouldn't need said word. Also, he probably would have given some sources instead of writing down his opinions. You know what Whitewater was? Complete baloney. The Clintons got involved in a small real estate deal that they never got profit from, and conservatives turned it into a big scandal. What followed was a 40 million dollar investigation of what Clinton did, and they NEVER FOUND A GOD DAMN THING. Instead of telling me to comprehend what I read before I say something, which I did, I'm going to tell you to open your eyes before you believe something. Because you obviously took the first hint you got about this "Whitewater" crap.

Ahh, Nodbugger, your incomprehension is so glaring this time. [just like all the others] I NEVER said that the president was elected by a popular vote. Maybe YOU should go back to 4th grade and re-learn reading comprehension. And no, across the board tax cuts are not unfair to people. Bush's tax cuts were NOT a flat percent. And since they weren't, why did he lie about the vast majority of the help going to those at the bottom? Because uninformed people like you are willing to believe him.