

---

Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Mon, 02 Feb 2004 21:04:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

majikent

How can you possibly compare these numbers when your taking numbers from Clinton's 2 terms and from the first 3 years of Bush's term? Wow... Impressive! Clinton can gain more jobs in a longer period of time than Bush can!

You can't argue this point until both are in office the same amount of time, unless you use like periods of time to compare, (i.e. Clinton's first term, and Bush's first term when it's finished).

Your point would have more merit if more jobs were being created than lost right now during Bush's first term. 3 years into his first term, Clinton had actually created more jobs in America, not lost them. Are you suggesting that Bush will radically turn around and start creating loads and loads of jobs in America to catch up to Clinton's 23 million? If his father was any hint, this WILL NOT happen. What I was showing is that Clinton did a great job as president by creating 23 million jobs in America, contrary to the idiocy displayed by Bigjoe14 when he said it has been proven that Clinton didn't do jack for the economy. Then I showed how well Bush has done so far in his term, which you can't say is a good job. Did I make a graph comparing the two? No.

Besides, Crimson originally asked for these numbers in the first place. I merely supplied them. Speaking of which, where's your so-called poster of Clinton's bad things? And your graph kind of shows how good a president Clinton was. where you set it to 5 years, set it to All Data. Now, the one huge spike there is right in the middle of Clinton's terms. After a big long trail of the NASDAQ being nice and low, Clinton comes in and the market starts skyrocketing. Now, want to know why Bush jr. actually has an O.K. record for the stock exchange? He wants to have social security work by people putting their S.S. money in the stock exchange. After Bush was payed large sums of money by the heads of the stock exchange, because having everyone invest large sums of money in the stock market would let brokers charge big money for all those transactions. Now, this is bad because if the market crashes or if stocks go down, elderly people lose a ton of their retirement money. And that graph can't just explain away almost 3 million net jobs being lost under President Bush junior.

---