
Subject: Re: The Pointsfix and the Game Listings
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 06 Oct 2009 14:10:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36
There is no special reason why they deserve this. Anybody could have gotten there first. We can, if we like, speculate who else was trying to do the same thing at the time, or what would have happened if they got there five minutes before Jelly did.

well, they didnt. Jelly was faster and so he deserve it. its like in real life, the one who is faster get the better place/wins.
k, so let's say TT had the ability to patch the game JUST BECAUSE EA said "first person to post here gets to take over the renegade patcher", and crimson got the first post.

let's then say TT said: pointsfix will be compulsory and we're also gonna patch the game to make that totally unchangeable.

according to your 'logic', there'd be absolutely nothing wrong with TT doing that. the decision would be completely TT's and they wouldn't have to explain it to anybody, because they got there first, case closed.

if you think it ISN'T ok for TT to do that just because they got there first (which is, of course, not the case anyway), then perhaps you're beginning to see why it's not OK for Jelly to do the same.

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 Now, Jelly himself is my friend, and I will admit that there are many people who could have abused this situation far more.
But that does not change anything.

so, you wanna say jelly did abuse it?
I don't need to. Even if he used it to the best of his fairness, it's still power he shouldn't have.

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36 Now, even though the anti-pointsfix crowd has lost every single debate on the subject AND has been caught using the most appalling tactics imaginable

nice lie! The fact that the pointmod did empty j1 is still a valid reason against the pointmod.
uh no, i said if you can't even explain why the flawed, unintended system is better and have it stand up to debate - and nobody has done that - you've no right to make sure it continues to impose the same bad habits onto new players, and stunt their skills in the same way yours have been.

and, of course, no rebuttal to "AND has been caught using the most appalling tactics imaginable"... but then, you can get away with the most extraordinary bullshit so long as you're against the pointsfix.

tellsson wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 06:20Spoony wrote on Tue, 06 October 2009 10:36
Any new player is gonna jump straight into these flawed servers and end up corrupted by the same bad habits, not knowing what Renegade's points system was supposed to be like.

if they played the multiplayer training map first, then they played without the pointmod, and so they just join a server where the point system is the same like the one where they learned to play ren on multiplayer.

um, that does your argument more harm than good. presumably you're outraged at all these servers who've altered the unit costs, the humvee/APC rates of fire, and prevented the ability to ob walk and base to base.

tellsson wrote like i said you in the past: i`m not anti-pointmod, i`m pro-community.
Prove it. Go to Jelly and tell him he is wrong to keep the a00 names, and it would be better to give them to TT to use them responsibly.

reborn wrote The new TT patch sorts games by xwis player count, not nicks. Unless you are talking about an interim solution to the problem, then the debate on should Jelly be stripped of the nicks or not is irrelevant, as they will count for nothing with the patch is enforced.
firstly the patch isn't here yet. secondly i'm still waiting for a very clear explanation of exactly how the game listings will work.

Goztow wrote I suppose one of the key elements in this whole discussion would be "how long will the interim period last".
Certainly.

Goztow wrote We all know that this "problem" can - until TT makes its way to be an official patch - only be solved by jelly himself. He made his POV very clear a while ago, so I'm not sure at all what Spoony wants to accomplish with this topic. It won't bring any results. It just has the merit of stating the obvious - again.

Yeah, well, I think I've put it better. See the comparison to TT (which is, of course, unfair to TT to begin with since TT's authority was earned, Jelly's wasn't).

And by the way, it seems to me that there IS something that can be done about it now... namely recycling the nicknames and putting them under TT's control for fair usage. And I put it to everybody that if the Jelly community can't successfully justify their dictatorship, they can't complain if this happens.
