

---

Subject: Re: 9/11

Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 01 Sep 2009 00:14:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Mon, 31 August 2009 16:56

I see what he was going for, he just said it wrong.

He was sarcastically implying that there's no way Bush could have pulled off such an "intricate" plan (that middle school dropouts could apparently "uncover"), especially when most of the same people say he's dumb as a load of bricks and what have you.

That's really the debate amongst Bush-haters. Is he evil or is he stupid? Those are two distinct camps which you shouldn't confuse. Although, if they're still discussing it, they're hopeless cases and you can confuse them all you want.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Mon, 31 August 2009 16:56His second "point" was that any involvement Bush had, Clinton probably had just as much, all things considered.

Uh, no. Not even close. It's not the about the actual events of 9/11 -- After all, who could've predicted it? (Although there are quite a few intelligence reports that have come out since then that point to a bit of negligence on the federal governments part). It's mostly the misguided actions Bush took because of the events of 9/11 that would be his big mistake. Clinton had no part in that, now did he?

And I have to ask, what the hell is it with blaming everything on the Clintons? Can't Republicans grow a pair and own up to their mistakes?

---