

---

Subject: Re: Star Wars (new v old)  
Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Sun, 16 Aug 2009 00:14:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I have to disagree with you about the acting.

The acting in the newer movies did make me facepalm a few times. But that in no way shows that the acting in the older movies was any better.

I treat them both as if they are on equal ground.

As for the point about Luke becoming a Jedi really quickly: You have to remember, he is a descendant of the force himself. Anakin never had a father. His mother simply had him with no male intervention. (A virgince in the force). Although Luke had a mother, his father was born from the force, therefore Luke is a direct decedent of the force itself.

As such, he is able to harness and use it much more naturally than others. What might take another apprentice years of training, Luke could probably have a natural talent for. Also the hard trials he had to endure (like facing his father and the emperor himself)

However, that's not to say that Luke is considered a Jedi master. He becomes the last remaining living force wielding knight after Yoda dies of old age. Luke's own natural morals help him along his ascendancy as well. (Being a Jedi isn't simply about wielding the force, it's about knowing how life works and how justice should be served to preserve peace in the galaxy.)

Also, don't forget the time laps between episode V and episode VI. The entire time he was training.

Another way to think of it:

The Jedi way didn't just spawn out of nothingness. Someone or group had to have come up with the organization. Thought up the rules and standards and taught it to others. Luke just has to do that same thing and come up with the order on his own.

---