

---

Subject: Re: Your penis removed durning surgery?  
Posted by [futura83](#) on Thu, 30 Apr 2009 23:48:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dover wrote on Thu, 30 April 2009 23:23MasterEvolution wrote on Thu, 30 April 2009 06:52Dover wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 22:31Doitle wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 10:20Dover wrote on Wed, 29 April 2009 09:46Regardless, I'd say the loss of an organ (Especially one with the...ahem...special consideration of the penis) makes the risk in getting permission first worthwhile. You stand nothing to lose by waiting.

Yeah, except your life potentially. I already stated why they would not want to put someone under twice when they could put them under once. The risks are too high.

Perhaps, but the choice was not the doctor's to make.

Again, let me note that in this case there was no cancer. In the persuit of prolonging this man's life, his doctor has essentially destroyed this man's quality of life. Which of the two is more important?

Go jump off a cliff zertual for your random posts. Also Dover how did they destroy his ability to live life really buy taking his penis away at age 70 or whatever his age is. I mean your not going to use it for well one of its main pruposes after such an old age.

Just meaning he has to sit now when he goes to the bathroom I guess.

Old people have sex too, believe it or not. And even if they don't it's something with a lot of sentimental value. People who have stuff like this happen to them (Even when they actually do have cancer) often feel like less of a man.

Legendary discription of an old man's cock.

---