

---

Subject: Re: Gun control

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Fri, 05 Sep 2008 02:16:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I just bought #7 today. It's not a big gun- a lightweight 8-shot S&W .22 revolver. I paid for it out of my own pocket; I wouldn't have had it any other way- only the two which were my 18th and 21st birthday presents were paid for with anyone's money other than mine. I've spent several thousand dollars on firearms and ammunition since my 18th birthday, and I have yet to regret a single purchase. Each weapon fulfills a specific role, and I've practiced with every one of them- some since before I owned them, as my Dad owns many of the same guns I do (including the one I just bought).

My take on that call- I don't know if it was faked or not, but it was at least altered at the end; a gunshot is much, much louder than that. Even a .22 in a different part of the house is louder than that, unless there's a silencer attached- and the weapon in the clip didn't have one. The voices, on the other hand, were unaltered; if the 911 system were equipped with automatic volume control software, some of the screams would have been toned down as well. If you can alter it, you can fake it- QED. Lacking further evidence, it is impossible to tell whether or not the call really took place; a link to a news site might help clear things up.

Regardless, that call is a perfect example of why neither side will ever see the other eye-to-eye- we just don't think the same way. When a gun control advocate hears a clip like that, they think: "if we had better gun control, that lady and her baby might still be alive." When a gun rights advocate hears the same clip, they think: "if that lady had a gun, she and her baby would still be alive." Only one of the above can be correct- and I've made abundantly clear in the past which argument I support.

---