

---

Subject: Re: Gun control

Posted by [w0dka](#) on Fri, 27 Jun 2008 22:48:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nukelt15 wrote on Wed, 25 June 2008 19:47

Any handgun defense course will teach you that there are only two targets worth shooting for-center of mass, or the head. Center of mass because, if you are using a larger caliber weapon (in practice, pretty much anything bigger or punchier than a .380 ACP), there is a very good chance that a CoM shot will put your target on the ground unless they are either Superman or so whacked out on drugs that they keep coming anyway. Head, because as we all know there is absolutely no chance of the criminal firing back if they are dead. The standard FBI/law enforcement training target lacks arms and legs for exactly this reason: a nonlethal shot is an ideal, not a reality.

I'm surprised. in Germany our police is trained for only non lethal shots for arms, legs... And even that only as a last option if the target is a danger to life. And we don't have problems with guns

And you're scenario:

the criminals who want to rob something in USA would he REALLY think he rob someone unarmed? Well if i'm a criminal in the USA i will shot the shopkeeper, take the money and flee. Why? If he is alive he will maybe shot me the same second i lower my gun for fleeing or taking the money. He will call the police and help them find me. Armed robbery is a serious business. And i can't live for eternity from robbing 100 bucks. I need to steal more. Next robbery? So why to worry about death toll? If i kill 22 shopkeepers and get caught i will get executed. I kill one and i will get executed. So whats is stopping me from killing everyone i didn't like/in my way after the first one?

Now the same situation in Germany.

i want to rob a bank. Wait i don't have a weapon I can buy one at black market. cool. Maybe police find me. Got a weapon now. go to the bank. All employees and guests raise their hands. Be frightened but don't play hero. they know their money is covered by insurance. See the difference?

cheesesoda wrote on Wed, 25 June 2008 17:36

Even if gun ownership DIDN'T make people safer (which can easily be proven that it HAS), it certainly hasn't created any more violence, and thus has no reason to be banned.

I want to see that proof.

cheesesoda wrote on Wed, 25 June 2008 12:44 Then you completely ignore the simplest of arguments: Guns don't kill people. A properly functioning, high-powered rifle cannot kill people.

Face it, just about anything can be used as a murder weapon. I can kill people with my car. I can kill people with knives. I can kill people with scissors. I can kill people with my bare hands. I can kill people with a pen. I can kill people with my car keys. I can kill people with a chair. I can kill people with a toaster. I can kill people with a glass bottle. I can kill people with a golf club. I can kill people with a baseball bat. I can kill people with a plastic bag. I can kill people with a crowbar. I can kill

people with a pillow. I think you guys get the point. If not, I'll name some more later.

just asking? How many people you see killing someone with scissors? Sure. Possible. but not real. Also its quite more difficult to aim for roper organs with a scissor, train yourself to kill someone with bare hands. But just holding a assaultfrilfe in the general direction of a victim and pull the trigger = easy kill.

Oh and i want to see someone robbing a bank with a pillow.

Or defending his family from this bad thief ... with a pillow.

You really think that hitting someone with something 2-3times and he'is death. Wrong the human body is not that easy killable. You get really bad injured. Maybe but you survive. A gunshotwithout fast medical threatment in the Torso kill you.

---