
Subject: Re: New Pentagon footage from hotel
Posted by [zunnie](#) on Wed, 27 Jun 2007 01:41:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You are missing one of the core elements:

- Why did they confiscate the video material if
- 1) it doesn't show anything or much,
- 2) shows the airliner impact, or
- 3) it clearly shows something else hitting ...

Why does it take a lawsuit to release a video that is showing not(hing) much, if it would prove all conspiracies invalid then what is holding them back releasing that material if it exists? And if it exists, then once again why does it need to be protected or withheld from the public?

Why, on the contrary with the video's of the planes impacting the wtc, did they confiscate the video's and not release them to the TV stations to air it.

What is different in those video's that require it confiscated if it only shows the airplane impacting, or like the video that was released - merely due to a lawsuit being won in court- shows only the resulting impact.

Surely if the video only shows the explosion of whatever hit the pentagon and not the actual plane then you must conclude there is nothing to hide. So why keep it locked away for a year and only release it after a lawsuit?

Is this maybe the airplane you saw?:

http://youtube.com/watch?v=gGSwve_BU9I

and somehow confused it with the one that supposedly crashed into the Pentagon.
