

---

Subject: Re: Jesus

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Tue, 29 Aug 2006 19:55:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The reasons X, X, and X are immaterial when the profession of knowledge is in question. If you say you believe X, X, and X, go for it because it has no merit on anyone else. Your reasons are your own and whether or not you 'know' them or 'believe' them are entirely based on your epistemological tendencies. The issue arises when you start claiming some form of objective knowledge against someone else saying the same thing but with differing content. If you subscribe to agnosticism across the board then there is no need to argue because everyone is likely objectively incorrect.

X, X, and X themselves become an issue when you're arguing for probability, in which case we start all over again. How/why do you know/believe what you know/believe? Ultimately, unless you have some kind of fundamental epistemological insight, you'll find that the reasons you believe God to be probable or improbable are as much in question as anything else. David Hume is very important reading on this topic.

---