

---

Subject: Re: Jesus

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Mon, 28 Aug 2006 20:46:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

CrimsonThe burden of proof is NOT on Atheists! We simply do not believe in this particular something that there is no logical evidence for the existence of. The burden of proof is on YOU who believe. Why do you all keep trying to pin it on us to DISPROVE the existence of "God"? As Warranto said, you place the burden of proof on yourself when you claim "I ABSOLUTELY KNOW GOD DOES NOT EXIST."

Besides, we've already given our logical reasoning behind our belief in the existence of god (none of this could have suddenly created itself out of nothing; some initial cause had to make it all happen), yet all you have been able to do so far is attack our logic rather than come up with alternatives of your own (of course, I don't mean you specifically, Crimson).

You all base your arguments on fallacious, circular reasoning ("How can you believe in a book, written 2000 years ago, meant to persuade and control the masses? I'm going to believe in another book written 500 years ago meant to persuade and control masses TO BELIEVE DIFFERENT THINGS! MY ARGUMENT SO MUCH BETTER!!!"), while you have yet to punch holes in any of our arguments. Simply saying "YOU'RE WRONG BECAUSE I SAY SO!!" won't do much to discredit any of our arguments (which, so far, have been pretty much based on agnosticism as opposed to Christianity).

We've already given our logical evidence for our belief in a higher power; now it's your turn to give us your logical evidence of HOW YOU ABSOLUTELY KNOW there is no such higher power instead of simply attacking us with the same fallacious arguments.

Quote:Why do I have to prove that's false? I'm not the one making up a story!

That's exactly what I'm talking about. Right there.

"I DON'T HAVE TO DISCREDIT YOU BECAUSE YOU'RE WRONG ANYWAY BECAUSE I SAY SO"

There's got to be a name for that kind of logical fallacy, isn't there

If we're so wrong, then give us an alternative and explain your reasoning for it, and we'll see if it can hold up when put to the logic test.

---