
Subject: Re: Jesus

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 27 Aug 2006 21:27:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430 wrote on Sun, 27 August 2006 08:35 Well, to me, God is omnipotent, omnipresent, omniscient. While I don't know this to be true, and cannot further be proven, this is what I accept as truth.

Is God willing to prevent evil, but not able? Then he is not omnipotent. Is he able, but not willing? Then he is malevolent. Is he both able and willing? Then whence cometh evil? Is he neither able nor willing? Then why call him God?

Thus is the paradox that disproves the combined omnipotence, omnipresence, and omniscience of this so-called God.

Dover wrote on Sun, 27 August 2006 08:40 Religion is cheap. Religion is easy. It gives a simplistic answer to the near unanswerable.

In response to Hydra's sample Athiest/Theist conversation:

The evidence backing up the religious, creationist answer is no more concrete than the big bang theory. True, I cannot explain how the world came to be, but "The guy up there did it" is just too simple of an answer.

Religion was created by man, taking Christianity as an example (Because it's widespread), The bible was written and published by men. The gospels were men. Their stories were about men. The prophets were men. Jesus is believed to be the son of god, but he was on earth in the form of man. Religion is an institution created by man. So it's absolutely teaming of human error.

I cannot--and will not--spend my life muttering into the sky hoping for things to go my way.

History tells us (Or at least, it tells me) that more harm from good comes from the institution of religion. Therefore I avoid it.

Dover, that is awesome. I doubt it would sway any religious people into disbelief but it is exactly how I feel about religion.
