

---

Subject: Re: you guys should join clanwars.cc  
Posted by [Sniper\\_De7](#) on Wed, 09 Aug 2006 23:53:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Goztow wrote on Wed, 09 August 2006 08:45: It's been a while, heh, 28th of January. I think they just read on our forums (in the topic you posted) that you were banned suspected for cheating. that means that they knew it was suspicion (I assume they read the topic).

Quote: I just told them that you were banned from our server for cheating.  
So which one is it? Have you changed your story?

I never go actively to a server owner and ask them to ban people. I mean: where would I start? I banned 4 suspected cheaters last Saturday in 1 hour.

I think the topic is pretty clear. I'd even say more: based on that topic you still have a very strong suspicion of cheating on your back for me. In the topic ref says that he observed you under a covered nick. He waited to see at least 4 for him very dodgy things from you. All when no moderator was in the game, as you thought. Strange, no? I have no idea what the fuck you're talking about with this last sentence. If you're referring to the four kills I had against him he was saying that was when he was on his own nick, so why would that be strange? if you're talking about something I said recently, well yeah, he already had admitted to using a pseudonym, so it's not strange either that I would know this since it says in the thread.

No, he was saying that during my four kills I had against him under his nick, each time is reason enough to be a suspected cheater (because killing him is impossible/nearly so). But \*why\* exactly? As far as if I can remember, one of them was just me sniping against him. What's so suspicious about that? Like I said on there, I also missed quite a bit of my shots and everything. So what, killing someone like ref is suspicious then, because he is so good at the game, and anyone who kills him or does better is thus "suspicious"? No, there was nothing "suspicious" what-so-ever, and you still can't name it. So long as you actually do tell me what I did that was suspicious, which you have yet to do, it will always hold no water. I mean if a guy headshots every time that's suspicious, and perhaps reason enough to believe he had big head (which isn't the case here seeing as how I didn't even kill that many people...) This is why I asked over and over if he could name one thing that was me doing something suspicious, something that would normally be nearly impossible for any other person to do, to make him believe I was cheating. Only, I knew that wasn't going to happen because just like every other server I get banned on, they have absolutely no leg to stand on. Really, I don't know exactly what they do with appointing moderators, I'm sure they're just friends. I just wished there were moderators that don't cheat (because I've had that happen to me as well as others before, and admins don't usually do anything due to whatever reason (friend...pays for server...etc) and I wish for moderators that actually KNOW the game and can spot things like damage differences quite easily. Also for moderators not to give shitty reasons for not allowing testing "because it can be bypassed" is not a reason. RG1.04 will be able to be bypassed, and some cheats can still be turned off. But who cares about proving cheaters are cheaters and proving falsely accused people are good players.

None of what you said here wasn't answered in that topic? Why bother? because someone else seemed to not agree with me so it was a good time to bring up all this again? YOU HAVE ALL YOUR ANSWERS IN THAT TOPIC. You had them in January and still have them.

[i]No, they weren't. reread all of ref's posts. Tell me where it says I did something doubtful, SPECIFICALLY.

---