
Subject: Re: -United Anti-Cheating Federation-
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 15 Jul 2006 08:51:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Leiw wrote on Sat, 15 July 2006 02:54 You checked the damage of a lt, right you got him, well done, but when you got banned, you were using a gunner.
wasn't me...

Leiw wrote on Sat, 15 July 2006 02:54 I don't know with how many FPS you play but I can't check the splash damage of a gunner because it's really hard to check how far from you the shot hit the ground on which you're standing on.

Leiw wrote on Sat, 15 July 2006 02:54 And still you can't test damage hacks, even if you take 5 minutes to look at the damage of a lt when the driver thinks none's looking at him, you got one, yeah, nice, but how would you check the damage of a gunner?
How does this excuse banning someone without proof?

Let me put this as plainly as I can.

THE FACT YOU ARE UNABLE TO PROVE SOMEONE CHEATED DOES NOT GIVE YOU THE RIGHT TO BAN THEM WITHOUT PROOF. NEITHER DOES SAYING SCREENSHOTS ARE FALLIBLE, SAYING TESTS ARE FALLIBLE, OR SAYING RENGUARD IS BYPASSABLE.

By your sad attempt at logic, I can accuse you of cheating and I don't have to prove it because screenshots, tests and Renguard are fallible - it's automatically a fact that you cheated because I say you cheated.

Leiw wrote on Sat, 15 July 2006 02:54 And read my posts: I have never said you would be cheating, the only thing I said was that <you were caught>
He was caught what? Stealing cookies? Masturbating? Your meaning is clear.

Leiw wrote on Sat, 15 July 2006 02:54 I wasn't in the game in which you got banned, so I can't say if you were cheating or not, I just think that the thekoss2-players don't ban just because they got owned.
And I think they weren't cheating at all.
