
Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf.
Posted by [warranto](#) on Sat, 03 Jun 2006 17:44:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Someone had to make the things that are outside of their control, so for sanity's sake, I assume that they don't try to screw you ever.

Right. But the idea is relating to the belief in someone that they aren't going to screw you, as you have no way of actually knowing if they will.

Quote:How can they walk me through it? God never shows himself after the "holy book" is written...if you're aiming at the people who saw God in their steak, then...yea, I'd probably kick them in the nuts for wasting my time.

Of course, for that to be true, someone must have to foolishly follow the "seeing is believing" phrase. At least in relation to God not showing himself as people would expect.

I'm relating more to the idea of religious experts being able to walk you through their idea of proof for God's existence. The same as scientists, they rely on their own means to get you to believe them.

Quote:That interpretation lead to people believing that it was the sun instead of the Sungod, that's all. The roots are found in Religion.

Most things have their roots in religion. That doesn't ignore the idea that it was supported and held true by science.

Quote:What do you mean by "Why, then?"?

If you have to choose to believe things that can not be 100% proven, that you must trust that you are not going to be subject to a conspiracy, why, based on that, does God not fit in to the same "subject to flaws, but does not mean its untrue" category?
