

---

Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf.  
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Thu, 25 May 2006 17:55:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

What I should have said is there is MORE evidence for my concept of God than the Biblical one. I'm thoroughly agnostic, so I tend to pertain to ideas that have a probability attached to them. Aquinas (it was the reader's digest version for complexity's sake) and Kant combined generally make up my theory. I'm not gonna go into too much depth lest I throw this thread off topic, but in a nutshell it seems to be far more probable to me that all things are caused by a causee than an infinite regression of causality.

Aquinas (again, reader's digest) says that an infinite regression of causality is very possible, but it doesn't account for the existence of the regression. That's where the first cause comes in. There are no stipulations on the nature of the first cause, merely that it has happened. Which is also why we have no reason to believe anything other than God probably exists. You can call him Zumpdumple for all I care. It's not the same God as the Bible, but I call Him that nonetheless because His existence is akin to that God.

---