Subject: Ring of Evil
Posted by warranto on Fri, 18 Feb 2005 23:43:25 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JavaxcxNodbuggerWe were not the first party to break any of those agreements. And everyone knows that if the other end breaks the contract the contract becomes void to you also.

That's right. America probably wasn't the first to violate the charter, but you see, the idea of a "contract" when used in this context is more metaphorical than literal. The charter isn't negated by another's actions in defiance. That is why Saddam's actions in Kuwait didn't get his country kicked out of the U.N. and why nations involved had to work (legally) within the U.N.'s juristictions.

Of course, if this is the contract being referred to, it doesn't matter. Think of it this way. The UN is a landlord, the member nations are the tennants. Just because one tennant defaults on the contract doesn't make the contracts with the other tennants void. Why? Simple. The contract was made between the landlord and each individual tennant, not the landlord and all the tennants. The same is with the UN. The agreement is between America and the UN; Canada and the UN, Britian and the UN; etc. Not America and Canada, and Britian, etc., and the UN.