

---

Subject: Korea has nukes

Posted by [splnwezel](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:41:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Then could someone please explain to me the primary reason for going to war with Iraq? Was it because of the potential of WMDs existing in an anti-American country? No, I think there were more probable targets (ie: North Korea). Was it because their leader treated the people like garbage? Again, other countries more targetable. For terrorists? Why not attack Saudi Arabia? How about because their leader tried to kill daddy? LOL... quite possibly. But in my eyes, the Americans would've never tackled this project unless there was something to gain financially - without the support of the UN (duh, the Russians and French are loving this trade relationship with Iraq) and whilst still in the middle of the Afghanistan campaign.

But back to the topic at hand, I do believe that the North Koreans pose more of a threat to their Asian neighbours, and that a full scale assault on the US is highly improbable. And forget about America disarming. They would never give up that tactical edge under their own power.

DarkDeminAs far as you even thinking that the bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki were unjustified you are just plain wrong. You are like that professor that is trying to call the people that died in the 9/11 attacks Nazis.

I'm sorry... I am not sure I understand... perhaps you think murdering civilians is right? I'm sure you'd also be making the same choice to Agent Orange all of Vietnam as your American military did. Please explain so that I can see if you have a point to any of this.

---