

---

Subject: Litmus test for liberals

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Wed, 18 Aug 2004 21:59:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This is my problem with the common thought that France is in it only for the money, and nothing more:

You're making out the french to be capitalistic, soulless, and without rational thought for saying "don't go to war because we still think that UNMOVIC is working". Then you're saying that the French only said THAT because they were getting money from Saddam and implying that the French don't care for humanity at all. While it's arguable to say that, you have to understand that war was NOT the reason the United Nations came to be in the first place. And I quote:

"WE THE PEOPLES OF THE UNITED NATIONS DETERMINED

to save succeeding generations from the scourge of war, which twice in our lifetime has brought untold sorrow to mankind..."

I agree that it is coincidental, and maybe even suspicious that most, if not all countries opposed to the war on March 19th 2003 would profit from Saddam being in power, however, that does not equate to the sole reason why those countries opposed this war. I suggest you read this and/or this to read what they actually said on the matter. After you're done that, if you do read it, please read this, this, this, and/or this to hear what the rest of the world had to say on the matter. I guarantee you that not every single country that spoke in those meetings was making money off Saddam.

Now, if you suggest that they are all lying (or passing on misinformation) when they talk, then I will call the United States and the United Kingdom on their faulty intelligence on the matter. Something, too, which can equate to misinformation being passed off as fact. Also something you can blame on the United States and the United Kingdom (not their leaders, per se, but their intelligence gathering institutions).

---