Subject: Which is better? Posted by z310 on Tue, 13 Apr 2004 19:16:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Mac, Windows, or Linux

Subject: Which is better? Posted by kawolsky on Tue, 13 Apr 2004 19:19:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cookies own all 3 *eats a cookie*

Subject: Which is better? Posted by KIRBY098 on Tue, 13 Apr 2004 19:24:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Mac, because he finished rengaurd.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by z310 on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 01:08:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

lol

Subject: Which is better? Posted by almor999 on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 01:19:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Depends on what you need it for. Macs are generally better for graphics. windows is more secure/dependable, and Linux is supposed to be good for networks.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 02:50:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The fourth one. Definitely the fourth choice.

See avatar.

(Mac)

Subject: Which is better? Posted by MrBob on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 02:59:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

almor999Depends on what you need it for. Macs are generally better for graphics. windows is more secure/dependable, and Linux is supposed to be good for networks.

Windows is "secure & dependable?" You can't be serious. :rolleyes: The advantage of Windows is its wide user & application base, as well as some things for the office. But dependable? No.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by xptek_disabled on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 03:02:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Windows is about as undependable as an OS can get. The ONLY application that ever crashes on my Mac is Virtual PC, and it's always because Windows mucked something up.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by msgtpain on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 03:09:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

for being so "undependable" my box is sure dependable..

It's been up 42 days now without a reboot, and that's full use between 3 people including a kid who plays games and hits every button 5 times..

Windows XP simply doesn't crash.. at least mine never has.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by exnyte on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 03:12:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In all the time I've used Windows 2000 or XP I've never had them crash without my help.

Windows usually only crashes when I'm Rendering 300,000+ poly's and trying to do Photoshop work. My Mac can stand up to that no problem

Subject: Which is better? Posted by Nodbugger on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 03:25:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

xptekWindows is about as undependable as an OS can get. The ONLY application that ever crashes on my Mac is Virtual PC, and it's always because Windows mucked something up.

macs cant crash. they have no games to make it crash.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by Javaxcx on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 03:30:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Λ

Subject: Which is better? Posted by xptek_disabled on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 03:43:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Except Halo

Oh, and

Active Lancer

Age of Empires II

Age of Mythology

Aliens Vs. Predator Gold Edition

Aliens vs. Predator 2

Another War

Baldur's Gate II: Shadows of Amn

Baldurs Gate 2 - Throne Of Bhaal

Bionicle: The Game

BloodRayne

Civilization III - Game of the Year Edition

Clive Barker's Undying

Command and Conquer Generals

Dungeon Siege

Enemy Engaged

Fallout 2

Formula 1 Championship Season

Freedom Force

Game On! Action Pack: Volume 1

Ghost Recon: Desert Siege

Ghostmaster

Halo

Hearts Of Iron

Incoming

Indiana Jones and the Emperor's Tomb

James Bond Night Fire

Jedi Knight 2: Jedi Outcast

Jedi Knight: Jedi Academy

Jinni Zeala Pinball

Kelly Slater's Pro Surfer

Lara Croft Tomb Raider: The Angel of Darkness

Law and Order: Dead on the money

Legion

Lord Of The Rings - The Return of the King

Masters Of Orion III

Max Payne

Medal of Honor

Medal of Honor Allied Assualt Expansion

Medal of Honor Deluxe Edition

Medal of Honor: Spearhead

Mind Rover

Myst III - 10th Anniversary Edition

NASCAR Racing 2003

NeverWinter Nights

No One Lives Forever

No One Lives Forever 2

Northland

Rainbow Six 3: Raven Shield

Rayman 3 - Hoodlum Havoc

RealMYST

Republic - The Revolution

Return to Castle Wolfenstein

Riddle Of The Sphinx - Omega Stone

Sacrifice

Shadowbane

Shadowbane: The Rise of Chaos Expansion Pack

SnowBall Run

Solace

Soldier of Fortune II

Spiderman

Spy Hunter

Star Trek Elite Force 2

Star Wars: Galactic Battleground

Tiger Woods PGA Tour 2003

Tom Clancy's Splinter Cell

Tomb Raider: Chronicles

Tony Hawk's Pro Skater 4

Total Immersion Racing

Unreal Tournament 2004

Wakeboarding Unleashed

War! - Age of Imperialism

WarCraft III

WarCraft III Battle Chest

WarCraft III: Frozen Throne

Warrior Kings

World War II Online

Learn more about this product

Worms 3-D

X-Plane

X2: Wolverine's Revenge

Subject: Which is better? Posted by z310 on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 03:52:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

i have a funny movie about mac, ill upload it tomarrow.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by L6P on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 03:59:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

As it has been said before, Windows just plain sucks; however everyone else uses it. That is the ONLY reason why we still use Windows. Mac OS will only sell with Apple brand computers; whereas, almost every other computer uses Windows.

Linux is great for buisness-type operations; ie: networking, stocking/shipping records, merchandise databasing, ect.

Mac OS, especially OS X, are definately reliable systems. They are also excellent machines for producing/editing graphics, as stated before. However, it lacks the diversity of sofware as compared to that of Windows.

In the end, it all depends on what the individual person wants.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by Javaxcx on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 04:10:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

L6PIn the end, it all depends on what the individual person wants.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by flyingfox on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 04:58:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

z310i have a funny movie about mac, ill upload it tomarrow.

I hope it's good. Does he make people ill? Does he learn how to type improper sentences? Perhaps a consistency to mis-spell words? Or maybe spam?

Better yet, he might learn to do ALL 4 in the 1 post!!

Subject: Which is better? Posted by Crimson on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 06:01:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Case in point... my box at work, I kept Windows XP up for 8 weeks straight and only had to reboot because I accidentally unplugged the PS/2 mouse and it wouldn't work. The USB is broken on the board for some reason.

And yet I install Fedora on it and I can't keep it online for more than a week without something going whack.

But overall, Linux is awesome for servers and stable as hell. The n00bstories box has 149 days uptime and that's online because they had to power it down to change the UPS it was plugged into. But for a workstation, I don't really like it. I find XP to be quite stable, and if you keep up with the patches it's pretty secure.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by Alkaline on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 07:08:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

windows 2003 data center edition is the best.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by gibberish on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 09:29:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Alkalinewindows 2003 data center edition is the best.

Alkaline, its this kind of comment that gets you flamed!

Why is it the best?

I would imagine that a large number of rational people would come to the conclusion that all OS'es have their strengths and weaknesses.

I know that 2003 datacenter has support for systems with larger numbers of processors and large amounts of memory, however the Windows version that supports the most processors and ram is actually:

"Windows Server 2003, Datacenter Edition 64-bit"

Additionally most people usually have a budget and I don't think I gain much advantage when playing Renegade from running the datacenter edition of server 2003.

So the best (most cost effective) OS for me to run Renegade is arguably "Windows 2000 professional".

As this provides me with a nice balance between cost and stability.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by xptek_disabled on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 11:44:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:And yet I install Fedora on it and I can't keep it online for more than a week without something going whack.

You just can't use it I've got Yellow Dog Linux on my Mac, and I haven't had any issues. Even after I've ripped it apart about 5 time

Subject: Which is better? Posted by NHJ BV on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 11:58:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I do agree that Windows XP is a huge step forward from '98 (never used 2000 or ME). I did got it to crash a few times, but usually you can just shutdown the problematic application, where with '98 the whole system would hang.

Security is another thing, but that Windows is that bad with it is partially because it is used so widely.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by z310 on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 14:31:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message I asked so it would be helpful next time i decide to buy a computer, i guess i'll start looking at Macs now

Subject: Which is better? Posted by rm5248 on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 19:37:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nodbuggermacs cant crash. they have no games to make it crash.

These people certaintly agree with you

Subject: Which is better? Posted by xptek_disabled on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 19:58:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

z310I asked so it would be helpful next time i decide to buy a computer, i guess i'll start looking at Macs now

Apple just put out a new eMac deal for \$999, I'd suggest checking it out as it's a good deal.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by SS217 on Wed, 14 Apr 2004 20:21:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I've used Windows (3.11-XP), Linux (Red Hat 9 Pro), FreeBSD, and a shitty old IMac. I can say that 2003-XP have been stable, along with FreeBSD. It just depends on what you want to do. Windows = Gaming *nix = hosting OS X = editing

Subject: Which is better? Posted by z310 on Thu, 15 Apr 2004 00:37:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

xptekz310I asked so it would be helpful next time i decide to buy a computer, i guess i'll start looking at Macs now

Apple just put out a new eMac deal for \$999, I'd suggest checking it out as it's a good deal.

k

Subject: Which is better?

Posted by cokemaster on Thu, 15 Apr 2004 00:50:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

rm5248Nodbuggermacs cant crash. they have no games to make it crash.

These people certaintly agree with you Ironically they used Apple Quicktime to encode the file.

Its getting a bit old now - seeming parts of the MacOS X core is basically Unix (FreeBSD).

Subject: Which is better? Posted by z310 on Thu, 15 Apr 2004 00:54:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

here it is

http://www.vega-nick.com/viewer.php?movieID=106

Subject: Which is better? Posted by cokemaster on Thu, 15 Apr 2004 01:25:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Suddenly this becomes a thread to post 'anti-mac' videos done by people with inability to use any OS apart from windows because it isn't windows?

Subject: Which is better? Posted by rm5248 on Thu, 15 Apr 2004 01:34:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cokemasterrm5248Nodbuggermacs cant crash. they have no games to make it crash.

These people certaintly agree with you Ironically they used Apple Quicktime to encode the file.

Yeah, that is ironic.

/me hates macs. An anti-mac site: http://www.lickmysweaty.com/truth

Subject: Which is better? Posted by cokemaster on Thu, 15 Apr 2004 01:39:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message I don't mind mac's myself - heck I have a G4 Powerbook AND a windows box running windows 2003 server.

I didn't really like anything below MacOS 10 but I think they have really done some good things having Unix integrated in it. Still things change - maybe Longhorn will be more stable but its a long time off yet.

Subject: Which is better? Posted by z310 on Thu, 15 Apr 2004 02:07:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cokemasterSuddenly this becomes a thread to post 'anti-mac' videos done by people with inability to use any OS apart from windows because it isn't windows?

I just found it funny

Subject: Which is better? Posted by Creed3020 on Thu, 15 Apr 2004 02:26:53 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

z310i have a funny movie about mac, ill upload it tomarrow.

z130...Dude you stole my video...Motha f*******

Ditto...

http://oldtnt.homeip.net/Public/movies/mac.wmv

I like Mac's, don't get me wrong but this was just too funny.

I took the "File is FUCKING gone" out and made that my Recycling bin empty sound...

Subject: Which is better? Posted by Dante on Thu, 15 Apr 2004 05:56:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Windows XP, installed 18+ months (lost track...) Max Uptime (37 days) power goes out a lot at work... thank god for my ups shutting down cpu after 20 minutes...

Crashes: only when my cpu burnt out, replaced it & the mobo without reinstalling (yes, that is possible...)

Dependability: awesome, runs fast, looks good, and i can get just about any kind of software to do just about anything for it, if not, any n00b can program it.

Page 15 of 15 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums