
Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 02:51:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

to be classified as outright stupid. Displaying the grotesque arrogance, as they are demanding of others to abide by laws and conventions, whilst they are clearly violating them. How is it that a nation that is engaged in committing this monumental crime, by waging an unprovoked illegal war in clear violation the UN charter, are now demanding that Iraq should uphold the Geneva convention? Since the US/UK that has been parading the Iraqi POWS, and therefore Iraq is perfectly justified in responding in the same manner. Despite this the following points illustrates that it is the US is and has been violating the Geneva code.

- a) As everyone is reminding the US, the prisoners in Guantanamo Bay held indefinitely without any charges is also a clear violation of Human rights values, which she constantly lectures the world.
- b) The blatant execution of prisoners in Mazar-e-Sherif (Afghanistan), shot with hands tied behind their backs, and some were bludgeoned to death, by the criminal international organisation (CIA) of the US government.
- c) The constant bombing of the drinking water installation plants in Iraq, coupled with the sanctions, causing the deaths of many children. Destroying facilities indispensable to the survival of the civilian population is prohibited by article 54 of the Geneva convention.
- d) The US resorted to the orgy of needless mass execution when the Iraq soldiers were retreating to Basra in the first gulf war. It was clear the soldiers were not a threat to the US forces, a

Court, something that the US are refusing to sign up and apply it on themselves!

SOURCE: WHATREALLYHAPPENED.COM.

Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention

Posted by [havocsnipe](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 02:57:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

48 Hours has come and gone. "Shock and Awe" has not worked. At the rate Bush is spending money on missiles, that \$80 billion he just asked Congress for will be spent in just over a week. Saddam is still in place.

This is Somalia, all over again. And the problem is that Bush KNEW this was going to happen. Last September's Iraq war games were a warning. The commanding officer assigned to play Saddam won every battle until he was ORDERED to do things to let the "Americans" win, whereupon he quit in disgust. Other top military experts predicted that Saddam would abandon the open deserts and lure the Americans into urban areas where Guerilla tactics would prove

effective. And this is what has happened.

George W. Bush, who has never served in combat, has proven he is a terrible military commander. His ideas of what was going to happen in Iraq have proven false. Shock and Awe did not work. The Iraqi people did not welcome their "liberators" with open arms.

They are not surrendering in the numbers that were predicted, claimed, then retracted. America's sons and daughters are being captured and killed. And as they get into the cities, it will get worse.

For all the billions spent and lives lost, Bush has ownership of a lot of sand and little else. And America has lost the respect of the rest of the world in the process.

source <http://www.whatreallyhappened.com>

Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 04:20:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'll refute this crap later, I'm at work as of now. I wish you'd stop selecting anti-American anti-Israeli propaganda sites for this long winded quotes. At least my long winded quotes come from the UN.

Allow me to give other readers insight into this site you quote so much...

<http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/> said :

"Support the Constitution? You're an FBI terror suspect! "

:rolleyes:

<http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/> said :

"THESE ARE THE FACES OF OUR CHILDREN, LOST FAR FROM HOME."

[insert pictures of American POW's here]

"Why did you take them from us, Mr. Bush? And when will you give them back? "

WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT ?

This is not ISRAEL, you are not FORCED TO BE IN THE ARMY. President Bush didn't TAKE them away you fucking idiots, the Iraqi forces did. These people VOLUNTEERED TO SIGN UP to serve in our Armed Forces.

This is an attempt to divide The Administration from the 'general public'. Divide and conquer, make George Bush the bad guy instead of Saddam Hussein

<http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/> "NEWS" said :

"3/24/03 Sparks Fly Over U.S. Claims of Sales to Iraq Bush tries to pick a fight with Russia."

:rolleyes:

Once again BUSH is the bad guy NOT THE FUCKING COUNTRY ILLEGALLY SELLING WEAPONS TO SADDAM HUSSEIN WHILE THE UN SECURITY COUNCIL IS TRYING TO DISARM HIM OF WHICH THE RUSSIA IS A PERM MEMBER. I mean really..what.the.fuck.

Soo....Bush is the bad guy and everyone else is the good guy. Ok I think I got your fundamentalist rhetoric straight now.

The site you quote so much seems to be full of propaganda. And oh yes Yellow Times website has been shut down. What a fucking shame.

I'll be crushing this bullshit you try to pass off as truth later in the evening. Pull up your boot straps the party is just starting

The One

Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 04:50:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How can a war be illegal if the UN can't come to a compromise? The UN is in violation of its charter by not abiding by Resolution 1441.

You peacenik's hide behind the UN, when it has been proven that the 3 of Security Council members had vested dealings with Iraq. Deals that violated EVERY resolution passed against Iraq. How can this war be illegal when the UN has NO legal bindings to the US? The UN does NOT write the laws for the US. As well as what you call international laws are in fact not laws but resolutions. And we all know that the UN does NOT know how to abide by its own resolutions.

United States of America is the country we live in. My country is not the United Nations(notice the choice of color)

I Pledge Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America
and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God,
indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

I use to say this every morning in school, when I was a child.

I BELIEVE EVERY WORD OF THE PLEDGE.

go here: <http://www.usflag.org>

Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention
Posted by [Ren Sizzlefab](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 06:37:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

K9TrooperHow can a war be illegal if the UN can't come to a compromise? The UN is in violation of its charter by not abiding by Resolution 1441.

The UN does NOT write the laws for the US. As well as what you call international laws are in fact not laws but resolutions. And we all know that the UN does NOT know how to abide by its own resolutions.

United States of America is the country we live in. My country is not the United Nations(notice the choice of color)

I've read resolution 1441 and can't see where either Iraq has breached the conditions or the UN hasn't abided by it. But it was pretty difficult to read, so I may have missed it. Which items have not been complied with?

And I can understand your patriotism, I'm proud of my country too, but Liberty and Justice for All should apply for ALL, not just all Americans, which was what I see as one of the main aims of the UN. Saying you're just going to do what you want in the face of overwhelming opposition (which I'm not necessarily saying was the case in the war on Iraq) goes against everything Democracy stands for.

Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention

Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 06:57:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ren SizzlefabK9TrooperHow can a war be illegal if the UN can't come to a compromise? The UN is in violation of its charter by not abiding by Resolution 1441.

The UN does NOT write the laws for the US. As well as what you call international laws are in fact not laws but resolutions. And we all know that the UN does NOT know how to abide by its own resolutions.

United States of America is the country we live in. My country is not the United Nations(notice the choice of color)

I've read resolution 1441 and can't see where either Iraq has breached the conditions or the UN hasn't abided by it. But it was pretty difficult to read, so I may have missed it. Which items have not been complied with?

And I can understand your patriotism, I'm proud of my country too, but Liberty and Justice for All should apply for ALL, not just all Americans, which was what I see as one of the main aims of the UN. Saying you're just going to do what you want in the face of overwhelming opposition (which I'm not necessarily saying was the case in the war on Iraq) goes against everything Democracy stands for.

here are a few of the violations...

3. Decides that, in order to begin to comply with its disarmament obligations, in addition to submitting the required biannual declarations, the Government of Iraq shall provide to UNMOVIC, the IAEA, and the Council, not later than 30 days from the date of this resolution, a currently accurate, full, and complete declaration of all aspects of its programmes to develop chemical, biological, and nuclear weapons, ballistic missiles, and other delivery systems such as unmanned aerial vehicles and dispersal systems designed for use on aircraft, including any holdings and precise locations of such weapons, components, sub-components, stocks of agents, and related material and equipment, the locations and work of its research, development and production facilities, as well as all other chemical, biological, and nuclear programmes, including any which it claims are for purposes not related to weapon production or material;

they did not declare the scuds they launched at us, the unmanned drones that were found, the aluminum tubing and magnets they acquired.

4. Decides that false statements or omissions in the declarations submitted by Iraq pursuant to this resolution and failure by Iraq at any time to comply with, and cooperate fully in the implementation of, this resolution shall constitute a further material breach of Iraq's obligations and will be reported to the Council for assessment in accordance with paragraphs 11 and or 12 below;

again they lied about the scud missiles. blix has also stated that they are complying grudgingly not fully.

5. Decides that Iraq shall provide UNMOVIC and the IAEA immediate, unimpeded, unconditional, and unrestricted access to any and all, including underground, areas, facilities, buildings, equipment, records, and means of transport which they wish to inspect, as well as immediate, unimpeded, unrestricted, and private access to all officials and other persons whom UNMOVIC or the IAEA wish to interview in the mode or location of UNMOVIC's or the IAEA's choice pursuant to any aspect of their mandates; further decides that UNMOVIC and the IAEA may at their discretion conduct interviews inside or outside of Iraq, may facilitate the travel of those interviewed and family members outside of Iraq, and that, at the sole discretion of UNMOVIC and the IAEA, such interviews may occur without the presence of observers from the Iraqi government; and instructs UNMOVIC and requests the IAEA to resume inspections no later than 45 days following adoption of this resolution and to update the Council 60 days thereafter;

again, grudging access and they did not allow scientists to be interviewed outside Iraq

13. Recalls, in that context, that the Council has repeatedly warned Iraq that it will face serious consequences as a result of its continued violations of its obligations

this would be our authorization to go to war. problem is... definition of "serious consequences" to France and others, this means "we're going to talk and talk about it until the entire world is more mad at the US than they are at you"

you've seen what we mean by serious consequences here in the US.

keep in mind, the things I mentioned are the undisputed items, they do not include the things which the US says they have but are as of yet unproven. they also don't include the chemical weapons plant(not proven yet) which was found since the war began.

and apt only to be classified as outright stupid. Displaying the grotesque arrogance, as they are demanding of others to abide by laws and conventions, whilst they are clearly violating them. How is it that a nation that is engaged in committing this monumental crime, by waging an unprovoked illegal war in clear violation the UN charter, are now demanding that Iraq should uphold the Geneva convention? Since the US/UK that has been parading the Iraqi POWs, and therefore Iraq is perfectly justified in responding in the same manner. Despite this the following points illustrates that it is the US is and has been violating the Geneva code.

- a) As everyone is reminding the US, the prisoners in Guantanamo Bay held indefinitely without any charges is also a clear violation of Human rights values, which she constantly lectures the world.
- b) The blatant execution of prisoners in Mazar-e-Sherif (Afghanistan), shot with hands tied behind their backs, and some were bludgeoned to death, by the criminal international organisation (CIA) of the US government.
- c) The constant bombing of the drinking water installation plants in Iraq, coupled with the sanctions, causing the deaths of many children. Destroying facilities indispensable to the survival of the civilian population is prohibited by article 54 of the Geneva convention.
- d) The US resorted to the orgy of needless mass execution when the Iraq soldiers were retreating to Basra in the first gulf war. It was clear the soldiers were not a threat to the US forces, a

Court, something that the US are refusing to sign up and apply it on themselves!

SOURCE: WHATREALLYHAPPENED.COM.

- a)those in cuba have been visited by human rights advocates and have been reported to be being treated fantastically.
- b)prisoners killed in afghanistan(6 of them if i remember correctly) is being investigated. there is no proof that any us serviceman or cia official was responsible for this since it was afghan authorities in charge of the prisoners.
- c)the sanctions are un sanctions, not us sanctions. as for the drinking water facilities, i only know of 1 which was actually for purifying water, the others were chemical weapons plants. we have since given all the aid necessary under oil for food program to rebuild drinking water facilities.- side note here, there are currently billions of dollars in the bank of france which is money from oil sold by iraq for the oil for food program. if iraq is suffering so bad, why doesn't france release that money to spend on food/medicine
- d) just like the iraqi soldiers surrendering now who are pretending to surrender and then opening fire when the us gets close? or the ones dressed in civilian clothes to fool the us into letting down their guard then attacking when we lower our weapons?

e) exactly, problem with that? tell it to someone who can do something about it. last i heard, russia hadn't signed up for it either. we will never allow someone else to arbitrarily(sp?) come to the us and grab our soldiers and put them in front of another court. when we find good reason for individual soldiers to be tried outside the us, we turn them over to the appropriate country otherwise, we handle it ourselves .

as for your source :rolleyes:

that is just a really stupid, conspiracy theory,living-in-my-mom's-basement-at 35, making-up-the-facts, look-i-spent-my-paper-route-money-on-a-computer,loser,can't-get-a-date, moron website.

it's like the movie with mel gibson if any of his theories ever are correct, he won't know which one because they are all so full of crap.

at least now we know where you get your news, i will now begin to feel sorry for you as i back away silently from most of your posts.

Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 14:28:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How about the torturing of captured Al-Qaida members the US does in countries like Egypt and Jordania whose laws do not restrict it? The US captured them, sent them to less-human rights countries and let them be tortured by foreign interrogators, therefore evading US law.

<http://www.csmonitor.com/2002/0726/p01s03-usju.html> is one of the many sources I found on this issue.

Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 14:31:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NHJ BVHow about the torturing of captured Al-Qaida members the US does in countries like Egypt and Jordania whose laws do not restrict it? The US captured them, sent them to less-human rights countries and let them be tortured by foreign interrogators, therefore evading US law.

<http://www.csmonitor.com/2002/0726/p01s03-usju.html> is one of the many sources I found on this issue.

Umm. I never heard of this source. It is obviously a very credible one isn't it? :rolleyes:

You can find more accurate articles in a tabloid.

Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 16:42:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

just because you haven't heard of a source doesn't mean it's not credible.

saying Anti-War people are anti-American goes against what America stands for. If your so damn patriotic...stop being a nationalist.

Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 16:51:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Duke of Nukesjust because you haven't heard of a source doesn't mean it's not credible.

saying Anti-War people are anti-American goes against what America stands for. If your so damn patriotic...stop being a nationalist.

You still aren't qualified to have a discussion on this matter. Your statements have no value here.

Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 17:30:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Duke of Nukesjust because you haven't heard of a source doesn't mean it's not credible.

saying Anti-War people are anti-American goes against what America stands for. If your so damn patriotic...stop being a nationalist.

:rolleyes: That article is from a source that has NO credentials. Why did they report it and not the anti-Bush mainstream media? If the story was true I'm sure Peter Jennings would have jumped at the chance to report it. If you think he is Pro-Bush, have you listened to his reports. He is damn near chearing for the Iraqi's.

Sorry, but your Christian Science News has NO grounds or facts to be reporting on this.

Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 18:35:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

havocsnipe48 Hours has come and gone. "Shock and Awe" has not worked. At the rate Bush is spending money on missiles, that \$80 billion he just asked Congress for will be spent in just over a week. Saddam is still in place.

This is Somalia, all over again. And the problem is that Bush KNEW this was going to happen. Last September's Iraq war games were a warning. The commanding officer assigned to play Saddam won every battle until he was ORDERED to do things to let the "Americans" win, whereupon he quit in disgust. Other top military experts predicted that Saddam would abandon the open deserts and lure the Americans into urban areas where Guerilla tactics would prove effective. And this is what has happened.

George W. Bush, who has never served in combat, has proven he is a terrible military commander. His ideas of what was going to happen in Iraq have proven false. Shock and Awe did not work. The Iraqi people did not welcome their "liberators" with open arms. They are not surrendering in the numbers that were predicted, claimed, then retracted. America's sons and daughters are being captured and killed. And as they get into the cities, it will get worse.

For all the billions spent and lives lost, Bush has ownership of a lot of sand and little else. And America has lost the respect of the rest of the world in the process.

source <http://www.whatreallyhappened.com>

Wow, it only took you two sentences to contradict yourself. How can you say 48 hours have passed and everything is a failure? Coalition forces have been making a lot of progress despite the guerilla tactics. Iraqi civilians are still waiting for Saddam to be overthrown before they do anything, except in Basra where the people are uprising against Saddam.

You are acting like this conflict has been over for months and history has declared it a failure. This has barely started and so far indications are its going well.

Subject: Hypocrisy and the Geneva Convention
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Tue, 25 Mar 2003 19:38:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HERE ARE 10 THINGS TO CONSIDER WHEN VOICING AN OPINION ON THIS IMPORTANT ISSUE:

- 1) BETWEEN PRESIDENT BUSH AND SADDAM HUSSEIN ... HUSSEIN IS THE BAD GUY.
- 2) IF YOU HAVE FAITH IN THE UNITED NATIONS TO DO THE RIGHT THING KEEP THIS IN MIND, THEY HAVE LIBYA HEADING THE COMMITTEE ON HUMAN RIGHTS AND IRAQ HEADING THE GLOBAL DISARMAMENT COMMITTEE. DO YOUR OWN MATH HERE!
- 3) IF YOU USE GOOGLE SEARCH AND TYPE IN "FRENCH MILITARY VICTORIES", YOUR REPLY WILL BE "DID YOU MEAN FRENCH MILITARY DEFEATS?" (Use the "I feel lucky" button)
- 4) IF YOUR ONLY ANTI-WAR SLOGAN IS "NO WAR FOR OIL," SUE YOUR SCHOOL DISTRICT FOR ALLOWING YOU TO SLIP THROUGH THE CRACKS AND ROBBING YOU OF THE EDUCATION YOU DESERVE.

5) SADDAM AND BIN LADEN WILL NOT SEEK UNITED NATIONS APPROVAL BEFORE THEY TRY TO KILL US.

6) DESPITE COMMON BELIEF, MARTIN SHEEN IS NOT THE PRESIDENT. HE JUST PLAYS ONE ON T.V.

7) EVEN IF YOU ARE ANTI-WAR, YOU ARE STILL AN "INFIDEL!" AND BIN LADEN WANTS YOU DEAD, TOO.

IF YOU BELIEVE IN A "VAST RIGHT-WING CONSPIRACY" BUT NOT IN THE DANGER THAT HUSSEIN POSES, QUIT HANGING OUT WITH THE DELL COMPUTER DUDE!

9) WE ARE TRYING TO LIBERATE THE IRAQI PEOPLE.

10) WHETHER YOU ARE FOR MILITARY ACTION OR AGAINST IT, OUR YOUNG MEN AND WOMEN OVERSEAS ARE FIGHTING FOR US TO DEFEND OUR RIGHT TO SPEAK OUT. WE ALL NEED TO SUPPORT THEM WITHOUT RESERVATION.
