Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by L3f7H4nd3d on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 21:57:45 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I know I can't! > 3 hours to go!

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Cpo64 on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 22:11:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I realy don't understand what Iraq has done? What is the misson objective of this war?

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by K9Trooper on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 22:32:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

t -2.5 hours. The time has come for the US to "Layith the Smackdown!" All I can say to Saddam is "Just bring it!"

DO YOU SMELLLLLL.....IRAQ COOKING?

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by spotelmo on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 22:55:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cpo64I realy don't understand what Iraq has done? What is the misson objective of this war? mission objective of this war is to rid iraq and the world of sadaam's regime, disarm iraq of wmd, liberate an oppressed people, bring a democratic form of government to iraq in the hopes it will spread, remove one more terrorist sponsoring dictator

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 00:20:14 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

T -40 min.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by KIRBY098 on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 00:37:45 GMT

T -15 Minutes.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:02:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ENDGAME!

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Duke of Nukes on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:05:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

welcome to my proof of American barbarity

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by [sg]the0ne on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:08:59 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of Nukeswelcome to my proof of American barbarity

Dashing good proof...Jolly good show !!

Go try convince some 5th graders but use big words otherwise they might 0wn you as well.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:09:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of Nukeswelcome to my proof of American barbarity

Waht proof? It's true, the "Endgame" is upon them.

Barbarity? Not. I didn't say to kill all towel heads. I say destroy the military and all of the weapons that Iraq has.

Remember Iraq used WMD on 1 million civilians in the past. That is barbarity.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Duke of Nukes on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:12:03 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

K9TrooperDO YOU SMELLLLLL.....IRAQ COOKING?

if that in itself is not a barbaric statement...I dont know what is.

might as well talk shit about September 11

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:15:06 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of NukesK9TrooperDO YOU SMELLLLLL.....IRAQ COOKING?

if that in itself is not a barbaric statement...I dont know what is.

might as well talk shit about September 11

You already have talked shit about 9-11 by saying Iraq doesn't support terrorism. You have not answered my question about Iraq giving money to family members that had suicide bombers. Iraq is involved in 9-11 by supporting terrorists. "All nations that support terrorists will be held accountable".

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Duke of Nukes on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:17:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

America has had it's share of homegrown terrorists...maybe we should abolish the military because they trained several serial killers and snipers...including the guy that shot JFK.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:21:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of NukesAmerica has had it's share of homegrown terrorists...maybe we should abolish the military because they trained several serial killers and snipers...including the guy that shot JFK.

Ummmm. Does anyone really know who shot JFK? And most of the serial killers had NO military training. Where the fuck do you get off with that statement?

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by RhinoX35 on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:28:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

if you don't like that argument how about Tim McVeigh

seems homegrown enough considering he was pissed off abut waco, much like foreign terrorists are pissed off about U.S. military influence in their own countries

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:32:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

RhinoX35if you don't like that argument how about Tim McVeigh

seems homegrown enough considering he was pissed off abut waco, much like foreign terrorists are pissed off about U.S. military influence in their own countries

The number of serial killers that were military trained is minimal. Look at the broader picture. Check out all of them before you make your claims. Jeffery Dahlmer, John Wayne Gassey, Ted Bundy, Charles Manson the list goes on. I can only think of 4 military trained serial killers.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:33:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

RhinoX35if you don't like that argument how about Tim McVeigh

seems homegrown enough considering he was pissed off abut waco, much like foreign terrorists are pissed off about U.S. military influence in their own countries

This person is someone here under a different name.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by KIRBY098 on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:37:32 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

K9TrooperRhinoX35if you don't like that argument how about Tim McVeigh

seems homegrown enough considering he was pissed off abut waco, much like foreign terrorists are pissed off about U.S. military influence in their own countries

This person is someone here under a different name.

Well, when no one agrees with you, you have to create friends I guess. Duke of pacifistic nukes = rhinox35

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by RhinoX35 on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:42:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote: This person is someone here under a different name

Not likely, I was reading the boards last night and had to sign up because the argument seemes a little one sided

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by [sg]the0ne on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:45:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

RhinoX35Quote: This person is someone here under a different name

Not likely, I was reading the boards last night and had to sign up because the argument seemes a little one sided

There may be some confusion here...please bear with us. We are just trying to make sure the same people aren't creating multiple logins to help bolster their views.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by cheesesoda on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:51:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

We're a country being attacked by terrorists...so we're not going to be holding ourselves accountable for idiots in our own country. As for Iraq and other countries...their religion is somewhat against us and that's what causes us to take notice with those countries.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by [sg]the0ne on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:57:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

da_shizWe're a country being attacked by terrorists...so we're not going to be holding ourselves accountable for idiots in our own country. As for Iraq and other countries...their religion is somewhat against us and that's what causes us to take notice with those countries.

A religion who has goals like, convert the infidels or destroy them makes the situation kinda sticky.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by cheesesoda on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:59:59 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

YAY...i actually have someone who agrees with me!!! Not that people don't agree with me...just...YAY!!! LOL.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by RhinoX35 on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 02:07:56 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Just so you know, Islam is not a religion that is against the United States. However, when it is hijacked by fundamentalists they can interpret it to support thair views of jihad.

In fact Islam is a peaceful religion that shares many values with christian and jewish faiths

Saying that all muslims is against us is like saying that all christians are against homosexuality, abortion, or whatever(insert christian fundamentalist hatred here).

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by [sg]the0ne on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 02:12:44 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

RhinoX35Just so you know, Islam is not a religion that is against the United States. However, when it is hijacked by fundamentalists they can interpret it to support thair views of jihad.

In fact Islam is a peaceful religion that shares many values with christian and jewish faiths

Saying that all muslims is against us is like saying that all christians are against homosexuality, abortion, or whatever(insert christian fundamentalist hatred here).

This does not seem to be the case. Islam seems to encourage terrorism of the infedels in the Kohran(sp?) to make them fear Allah. So according to the Korhan(sp?) good Mulims cause terror to the infidels and bad Muslims are the peacefull ones. I listened to someone quote the Korhan (sp?) at great length on the subject. That person had visited 150countries & talked to Muslims including extremist around the world before he wrote his book and went on the air. Something to think about.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 02:13:46 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

RhinoX35Just so you know, Islam is not a religion that is against the United States. However, when it is hijacked by fundamentalists they can interpret it to support thair views of jihad.

In fact Islam is a peaceful religion that shares many values with christian and jewish faiths

Saying that all muslims is against us is like saying that all christians are against homosexuality, abortion, or whatever(insert christian fundamentalist hatred here).

I agree on the religion issue. This is not a holy war. Lets not turn it in to one.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by cheesesoda on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 02:16:46 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Um....HELLO...ALL Christians ARE (or should be) against abortion and homosexuality!!!!! DUH!!! Think before you speak. Maybe my post didn't have FULL validity...but yours didn't at all!!

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 02:23:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

da_shizUm....HELLO...ALL Christians ARE (or should be) against abortion and homosexuality!!!!! DUH!!! Think before you speak. Maybe my post didn't have FULL validity...but yours didn't at all!!

I am a christian. I am also aware of the teachings of Islam. Islam in it's pure form is a peaceful religion. We CAN NOT turn this war in to a religious war.

Mr. Da_Shiz don't try and turn the post in to a religious war post. I feel that it would get locked then.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by RhinoX35 on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 02:29:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ditto on the religious war

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Duke of Nukes on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 02:38:51 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

theOne as well...you talk alot about what you do not know

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by [sg]the0ne on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 03:26:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of NukestheOne as well...you talk alot about what you do not know

Here have a hot steaming cup of shut the fuck up. More senseless post with no points or facts.

In almost everyone of my post I lay down hard CONCRETE points and facts and you are still slinging mud. When I post I pepper the facts & points with mud slinging...you dont seem to be as interested in facts or solid premises. Come on, say something stupid.

I have several very long post full of points and facts that you have yet to address. These are post from LAST NIGHT. I've brought it to your attention and all you say is "I've already answered that"...but you haven't. Your putting up a very poor showing for 'your side' of the show.

Keep up the good work, I'm going to gather up all of your post and make a thread on you to clearly show your mental super-powers.

The One.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Duke of Nukes on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 03:29:23 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'm plenty interested in facts...why dont you whip up another bowl of these "facts"?

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by KIRBY098 on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 03:32:14 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of NukesI'm plenty interested in facts...why dont you whip up another bowl of these "facts"?

UH UH UH, you first kid. You talk a lot of smack, but never back it up.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by [sg]the0ne on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 03:35:41 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of NukesI'm plenty interested in facts...why dont you whip up another bowl of these "facts"?

I've been presenting plenty of facts. You have yet to address these post: http://www.n00bstories.com/renforums/viewtopic.php?p=7683#7683

Let me do this again

Why not Iraq? Others have been attacked for less.

Who do you think is the cause of this situation? Bush or Saddam? Please state why.

Who is supose to enforce UN resolutions? UN doesn't seem interested. More inspections are hardly 'serious consequences' as laid down by UN resolution.

How much time is enough? 12yrs not long enough? How about 24yrs? Perhaps 36yrs? Can Saddam pass this down to his sons?

When that time runs out HOW do enforce your will? Magic Diplomatic Bunny going to come make everything ok w/no bullets or bombs?

you've made plenty of statements like: "Saddam hasn't done anything" or "That type of thing is illegal" (school stuff). It's really been like shooting fish in a bucket. You have no to little idea of the topics you speak on and then go off claiming THAT about US who are the obvious 'informed' party in the situation.

Allow me to quote myself :[sgthe0ne]

"Only specific conversation / questions / proposition of premises and *facts* will allow us to make quantified progress in this forum. Ill conceived logic, poor communication skill, propoganda, opinions, hersay & other gray areas wont take us very far."

ps. Notice 'our' (pro-war) post are long and drawn out, we state facts and make points. BUT your post are well...very much lacking ANY content much less facts, premises etc.

Thats what I hate about talking to people like you. I devote time, energy and thought into my post and you come back with two sentences of total bullshit. You are literally a waste of my time, a leach of my intellect.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Vitaminous on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 03:45:51 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

K9TrooperDuke of Nukeswelcome to my proof of American barbarity

Waht proof? It's true, the "Endgame" is upon them.

Barbarity? Not. I didn't say to kill all towel heads. I say destroy the military and all of the weapons that Iraq has.

Remember Iraq used WMD on 1 million civilians in the past. That is barbarity.

Still, who still cares about the germans killing millions of jews? Who cares about the Saudi-Arabia full of terrorist camps and Al-Quaeda supporters?

Who cares about the Pakistan nukes?

Who cares about N-K threatening US?

But why everyone cares about the trained-by-french Iraqii army

Saddam = Evil Bush = Evil

Both = Warmongers

Bush = Catholic freak Saddam = Islamic freak

What happens when both are fighting each other?: They fuck!

LET UN DO THEIR FUCKING JOB, yet it's too late since Bush killed UN.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by [sg]the0ne on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 04:20:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Aprime

LET UN DO THEIR FUCKING JOB, yet it's too late since Bush killed UN.

The UN slit it's own wrist, get it right.

The UN has had the iron grip of control on the situation and look what it got us, the same inspections 12yrs later. Bravo on the fucking progress. Twelve years later he still has the most of the same weapons he declared after the 1st war & continues to develope new weapons and means to deploy them. You can answer the same questions I posed to others...How much time is enough? And when someone days say THIS IS ENOUGH, THE TIME IS NOW how do you force your will? With bunny rabbits & flowers? Doubtfull.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 04:45:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AprimeK9TrooperDuke of Nukeswelcome to my proof of American barbarity

Waht proof? It's true, the "Endgame" is upon them.

Barbarity? Not. I didn't say to kill all towel heads. I say destroy the military and all of the weapons that Iraq has.

Remember Iraq used WMD on 1 million civilians in the past. That is barbarity.

Still, who still cares about the germans killing millions of jews? Who cares about the Saudi-Arabia full of terrorist camps and Al-Quaeda supporters?

Who cares about the Pakistan nukes? Who cares about N-K threatening US?

But why everyone cares about the trained-by-french Iraqii army

Saddam = Evil Bush = Evil

Both = Warmongers

Bush = Catholic freak Saddam = Islamic freak

What happens when both are fighting each other?: They fuck!

LET UN DO THEIR FUCKING JOB, yet it's too late since Bush killed UN. The UN never worked. Just like the Leage of Nations. The UN failed to follow through with Resolution 1441.

Translation. France murdered the UN buy not even looking at the last proposal the British had. Tell me who wants the UN to work. Remember the US doesn't need to listen to the UN. The UN does NOT rule the US.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Ren Sizzlefab on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 05:14:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You're absolutely right, the UN doesn't rule anyone, it's supposed to be democratic. And last I checked, America was all for democracy. But I guess by democracy it means you're free to do what you like, as long as it's what America wants you to.

The aim of the war is necessary. The waging of the war probably isn't necessary at this time, but if it achieves its aim with little loss of innocent life, then it's acceptable. But saying you "can't wait for the smackdown on iraq" or "smell iraq cooking" is disgusting and offensive. There is never anything 'good' about a war, and no reasonable person would be happy to see one.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 05:23:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ren SizzlefabYou're absolutely right, the UN doesn't rule anyone, it's supposed to be democratic.

And last I checked, America was all for democracy. But I guess by democracy it means you're free to do what you like, as long as it's what America wants you to.

The aim of the war is necessary. The waging of the war probably isn't necessary at this time, but if it achieves its aim with little loss of innocent life, then it's acceptable. But saying you "can't wait for the smackdown on iraq" or "smell iraq cooking" is disgusting and offensive. There is never anything 'good' about a war, and no reasonable person would be happy to see one.

Iraq had 12 YEARS to disarm. We have been to patient. I am a Gulf War Veteran. Good does come out of war.

- 1. Slavery ended because of a war.
- 2. Nazi Germany fell because of a war.
- 3. Imperial Japan fell because of war.
- 4. The US gained its independence because of war.
- 5. Kuwait was liberated because of war.
- 6. Afghan women can get an education now because of war.
- 7. South Korea stayed communist-free because of war.
- 8. Going to space is a result of war.

I could go on. I'm sure you'll have a comeback soon. Can't wait to see it.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by [sg]the0ne on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 05:32:20 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

K9TrooperThe UN never worked. Just like the Leage of Nations. The UN failed to follow through with Resolution 1441.

Translation. France murdered the UN buy not even looking at the last proposal the British had. Tell me who wants the UN to work. Remember the US doesn't need to listen to the UN. The UN does NOT rule the US.

Ya, France rejected Britians resolution before Iraq did! Wouldn't they have egg on their faces in the Iraqi's would of accepted it!

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Ren Sizzlefab on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 05:56:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

K9TrooperRen SizzlefabYou're absolutely right, the UN doesn't rule anyone, it's supposed to be democratic. And last I checked, America was all for democracy. But I guess by democracy it means you're free to do what you like, as long as it's what America wants you to.

The aim of the war is necessary. The waging of the war probably isn't necessary at this time, but

if it achieves its aim with little loss of innocent life, then it's acceptable. But saying you "can't wait for the smackdown on iraq" or "smell iraq cooking" is disgusting and offensive. There is never anything 'good' about a war, and no reasonable person would be happy to see one.

Iraq had 12 YEARS to disarm. We have been to patient. I am a Gulf War Veteran. Good does come out of war.

- 1. Slavery ended because of a war.
- 2. Nazi Germany fell because of a war.
- 3. Imperial Japan fell because of war.
- 4. The US gained its independence because of war.
- 5. Kuwait was liberated because of war.
- 6. Afghan women can get an education now because of war.
- 7. South Korea stayed communist-free because of war.
- 8. Going to space is a result of war.

I could go on. I'm sure you'll have a comeback soon. Can't wait to see it.

Well wait no longer. Here it is:

Learn to read. I didn't say nothing good came out of a war, I said there's nothing good about war itself. Being a veteran I thought you would already know that...

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Cpo64 on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 06:00:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

spotelmomission objective of this war is to rid iraq and the world of sadaam's regime, disarm iraq of wmd, liberate an oppressed people, bring a democratic form of government to iraq in the hopes it will spread, remove one more terrorist sponsoring dictator

What is wrong with his regime?

Since when is it the western worlds right to decided which governments have the right to exist? From my understanding, although they are not happy with there government, they don't have a problem with it.

It has not been proven that there are weapons of mass destruction in Iraq.

So, I say again, what is the mission objective? Other then American Imperaism?

Do you not think the Iraqi people tremble with fear at the thought of American Troops invading there country? I am sure the feel terified, so, I ask, who are the terrist now?

Do you not think the Iraqi people tremble with fear at the thought of American Troops invading their country? I am sure the feel terrified, so, I ask, who are the terrorists now?

I do not support terrorism or the Iraqi government, but I support war even less, there are other

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by NeoSaber on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 06:17:28 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cpo64What is wrong with his regime?

Since when is it the western worlds right to decided which governments have the right to exist? From my understanding, although they are not happy with there government, they don't have a problem with it.

It has not been proven that there are weapons of mass destruction in Iraq.

So, I say again, what is the mission objective? Other then American Imperaism?

Do you not think the Iraqi people tremble with fear at the thought of American Troops invading there country? I am sure the feel terified, so, I ask, who are the terrist now?

Do you not think the Iraqi people tremble with fear at the thought of American Troops invading their country? I am sure the feel terrified, so, I ask, who are the terrorists now?

I do not support terrorism or the Iraqi government, but I support war even less, there are other alternatives.

What's wrong with his regime?! Are you high? Everyone knows he is a brutal tyrant. That's not even in dispute. People ask what gives us the right to overthrow him. I'd like them to answer what gives us the right to sit back and watch him kill thousands of people. If you saw your neighbor beating a person to death, would you just stand there and let it happen? Basic humanity gives us the right to stop a mass murderer like Saddam.

The Iraqi people are more afraid of Saddam then American troops. They live in fear everyday. People in Iraq are often scared to speak in public because they think Saddam's secret police may be listening.

Generally speaking, there are alternatives to war. In this case, all other options have failed. Its now time to stop Saddam with force.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Cpo64 on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 06:28:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

NeoSaberWhat's wrong with his regime?! Are you high? Everyone knows he is a brutal tyrant. That's not even in dispute. People ask what gives us the right to overthrow him. I'd like them to answer what gives us the right to sit back and watch him kill thousands of people. If you saw your neighbor beating a person to death, would you just stand there and let it happen? Basic humanity

gives us the right to stop a mass murderer like Saddam.

The Iraqi people are more afraid of Saddam then American troops. They live in fear everyday. People in Iraq are often scared to speak in public because they think Saddam's secret police may be listening.

Generally speaking, there are alternatives to war. In this case, all other options have failed. Its now time to stop Saddam with force.

High? No, that would simply be unacceptable.

I have seen no evidence that Saddam is a mass murderer, I need proof. In fact I would prefer that you back up all your statements.

War in never an alternative!

Yes! But Canada is not going to invade the US over it, as war is simply never an alternative.

Saddam does need to be removed, but not at the expense of Iraqi lives.)

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by [sg]the0ne on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 06:36:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cpo64

What is wrong with his regime?

Since when is it the western worlds right to decided which governments have the right to exist? From my understanding, although they are not happy with there government, they don't have a problem with it.

It has not been proven that there are weapons of mass destruction in Iraq.

So, I say again, what is the mission objective? Other then American Imperaism?

Do you not think the Iraqi people tremble with fear at the thought of American Troops invading there country? I am sure the feel terified, so, I ask, who are the terrist now?

Do you not think the Iraqi people tremble with fear at the thought of American Troops invading their country? I am sure the feel terrified, so, I ask, who are the terrorists now?

I do not support terrorism or the Iraqi government, but I support war even less, there are other alternatives.

Seems we are getting into that gray area I spoke of.

Cpo64: "What is wrong with his regime?"

This regime is in material breach of UN resolutions. This regime is 'friendly' to al-Quada(sp?) terrorist, allows them to reside in their country and train within their borders. This regime takes

food goods shipped to them for the purpose of helping their civilain population and sells it on the black market. This was proved cause the UN etc was able to BUY BACK good thats IRAQ was supose to be giving to its people. This regime has also hijacked other goods shipped to them in good faith of proper use and transformed them for military capabilities. This regime in its last elections *cough cough* returned a 99%/100% vote for Saddam and this was calculated in around 24hours (2000 population = 22,675,617 @ 2.86% growth rate (estimated 2000)).

Cpo64: "It has not been proven that there are weapons of mass destruction in Iraq."

Oh really.....

After the Desert Storm conflict Saddam had to make a declaration of his weapons. During this time he declared certain types of WMD/Chem/Bio. Previous to our conflict w/Iraq Saddam had used chem/bio weapons so we know he had those. Since then Saddam has not accounted for these weapons, he can not provide them or provide details of their descruction. In more recent history we have discovered munitions designed to be fitted with chem/bio weapons. On top of that we have discovered other devices 'drones' that can be fitted to spread chem/bio weapons. I believe this pretty well addresses that point. All of this points to -- hey Saddam you have WMD/Chem/Bio and we know it. Saddam is in material breach, this is a fact.

Cpo64: "So, I say again, what is the mission objective? Other then American Imperaism?"

Mission Objective: Bring the Iraq govt into compliance w/UN resolution.

Note: If the current government wont come into compliance after oh say 12 years and 1000 chances then we may have to pull a 'regime change hat trick'.

This isn't US imperialism its called a)bringing Iraq into UN compliance b)enforcing UN's own resolutions c)giving the Iraqi people their country back so maybe they can have a meal or not be randomly raper/murdered by the government.

Cpo64: "I do not support terrorism or the Iraqi government, but I support war even less, there are other alternatives."

Well the Iraqi gov. supports terrorist so what do ya think of that?
This is about the 1 BILLIONITH time I've seem someone refer to these MYSTIC ALTERNATIVES.
CAN SOMEONE PLEASE NAME ONE? (ps. perferably one we haven't tried already)

Thanks a million, The One

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Cpo64 on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 06:53:18 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

[sg]the0ne:This regime is 'friendly' to al-Quada(sp?) terrorist, allows them to reside in their country and train within their borders.

Speaking of proof... I would like some on that.

Other alternatives? How about not going to war?

http://www.cbc.ca/stories/2003/03/19/canada_us_iraq030319

It is the opinion of the Canadian Government, and my self, that the UN resolutions were working, so do we even need an alternative?

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by NeoSaber on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 06:53:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cpo64High? No, that would simply be unacceptable.

I have seen no evidence that Saddam is a mass murderer, I need proof. In fact I would prefer that you back up all your statements.

War in never an alternative!

Yes! But Canada is not going to invade the US over it, as war is simply never an alternative.

Your 'neighbor' is attempting to stop the man who is butally murdering people. I'd hoped you try to twist my analogy like that. Thank you for proving you don't recognize the difference between murder and defending a helpless human being. It helps me understand how you can think Saddam isn't a mass murderer. I don't know what facts you'd accept if you can't even see the difference in this basic fact of life.

Try this and this for starters. It's almost 2 AM and I'm too tired to find much else right now.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Cpo64 on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 06:58:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://www.cnn.com/2002/WORLD/meast/10/21/sadler.kurds/index.html

There are many other nations that have the same problem, why not go to war with them as well?

I thank you for your proof, it has proved to be very helpful.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by sighte on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 06:59:02 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cpo64[sg]the0ne:This regime is 'friendly' to al-Quada(sp?) terrorist, allows them to reside in their country and train within their borders.

Speaking of proof... I would like some on that.

~~~~~~

Other alternatives? How about not going to war?

http://www.cbc.ca/stories/2003/03/19/canada\_us\_iraq030319

It is the opinion of the Canadian that the UN resolutions were working, so do we even need an alternative?

You seem a bit confused...inaction does not count as action. The UN resolutions say specific things.

Do: A, B & C

Do NOT do: X, Y or Z

So far Saddam has not done A, B or C which is why INSPECTIONS ARE STILL GOING ON. It IS NOT THE JOB OF THE UN TO DISARM SADDAM...IT IS SADDAMS JOB

Oh ya Saddam HAS BEEN DOING X, Y AND Z.

This puts him in material breach. Material breach = 'serious consequences' 'Serious consequences' != Hanz & The Goof Troops / (more inspections)

BTW thanks for the fucking joke of an article...

said. "The process was on its way to realization. The Americans decided it wasn't going fast enough." "

If the current regime wont comply what do you propose we do?
Wasn't going fast enough? HOW MANY YEARS DOES IT TAKE TO ACT RESPONSIBLE?
HOW MANY YEARS DOES IT TAKE TO SUCCUMB TO WILL OF THE INTERNATIONAL
COMMUNITY? Is this like a century long thing? Can he pass this magic 'please dont come bust my ass' card to his sons who will undoubtedly act in the same manner.

Canada = United in containment(which doesn't work) OR United in pacification(which doesn't work).

The USA will not set on our duffs post 9-11-01 and let Saddam develope/have WPM/Chem/Bio while the rest of the world digs in their pockets trying to locate their 'sack'.

If Saddam was a valid player in the international community there could be alternatives...because he isn't there aren't.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by NeoSaber on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 07:03:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cpo64There are many other nations that have the same problem, why not go to war with them as well?

It's hard to deal with many problems at once. If you want, make a list and we'll see what we can do.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Cpo64 on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 07:10:45 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

[sq]the0ne:You seem a bit confused...

Confuzed? Posibly, but I came here seeking to releve that issue, not to be insulted.

NeoSaber:It's hard to deal with many problems at once. If you want, make a list and we'll see what we can do.

I would say To Shay, but I can't spell, so this is all you get; "Good Point"

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by [sg]the0ne on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 08:06:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cpo64[sg]the0ne:You seem a bit confused...

Confuzed? Posibly, but I came here seeking to releve that issue, not to be insulted.

NeoSaber:It's hard to deal with many problems at once. If you want, make a list and we'll see what we can do.

I would say To Shay, but I can't spell, so this is all you get; "Good Point"

When you take text out of context this type of thing happens. When you take text out of context this type of thing happens. Perhaps if I repeat that 10 times when you quote me we can get it right. You'll notice I responded with a very long post addressing your issues. You have yet to do the same.

Thanks a million.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Cpo64 on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 09:00:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I do not have any hard facts, nor do I intend to pretend that I do. I am not here to enforce my views on others, or to even try to change your minds. I entered this discussion with the intent of increasing my knowledge of the situation, to increases my understanding of the war. I came here to make a more stable and informed point of view. I have considered the facts that you have produced, and I have evaluated them and taken them into consideration. And by this, I still come to the conclusion that war is not necessary. Short of an attack on my homeland, I do not know what would bring me to believe that war is necessary. Killing is wrong, no matter the reason. Anything I could say to try to convince you of this fact will simply be countered with some link or several paragraphs of meaningless text, which will not improve anything. As for your comments that I believe were made to enrage me, I will simply take note of, and move on.

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by Smurfette on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 10:07:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

While I do not personally like the fact that we are at War, there is nothing that I can do about it. It has happened, we are there.

Here are a few facts that I'm pretty sure everyone allready knows, as they have been well televised and talked about. However I was searching for something to support another debate and thought they do prove relevent to the debates found in this forum.

http://www.cnn.com/2003/WORLD/meast/03/17/sprj.irq.main/index.html ~ Bush gave Saddam Hussein 48 hours for him and his sons to leave Iraq, or the US would begin military action.

http://www.cnn.com/2003/US/03/17/terror.alert/index.html ~ As a nation, our national terror alert level was raised from "Yellow" to "Orange"

http://www.cnn.com/2003/WORLD/meast/03/20/irq.war.saddam.transcript/index.html ~ Saddam Hussein, in response to the military actions taken against Iraq before the 48 hour time period was complete, declars war on the US today (Thursday, March 20, 2003).

I have allready stated personal opinions I have about this war in another thread, I won't bother to post them again. The time has now come for me to (though reluctantly) support President Bush's decision, and hope that this war does not last very long, sparing as many innocent lives as possible.

I do believe that the following article is relevant to those who wish to continue with these threads. If you don't want to read it, it simply says that throughout history, there have always been debates

about going to war, and it also discusses how the public opinion should be of no less consequence then the Presidents final decision to go to war. It is entitled "What Ever Happened to Protest? Speak Up: A democratic nation has a sacred and historic duty to debate any choice for war"

http://www.msnbc.com/news/843843.asp

Subject: Who can't wait for smackdown on iraq 2003? Posted by [sg]the0ne on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 10:22:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Smurfettelt is entitled "What Ever Happened to Protest? Speak Up: A democratic nation has a sacred and historic duty to debate any choice for war"

http://www.msnbc.com/news/843843.asp

But it is ALL important that you realize what your protesting against...come take a trip down memory lane with Saddam in the U.N.

http://www.n00bstories.com/renforums/viewtopic.php?p=8098#8098