
Subject: erikboxem

Posted by [QoQn00b](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 05:06:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://img211.imageshack.us/my.php?image=screenshot18vt7.jpg>

Above is a screenshot where erikboxem (owner of Duke Gaming) was caught using a *cheat* whilst in a Community Game with Gamerz0ne. Note specifically the *cheat* text in the top left, and the names visible through the walls.

I don't particularly know why it censors cheat names here, but it's pretty easy to see which one it is.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 05:37:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rofl, awesome... where did you find this?

We (Clanwars.cc) played them in a community match and a few of them, Erik more than most, kept calling one of our players (Heat) a cheater because he "used 4 timed c4s on the hand", something logs later disproved... oh the irony

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Viking](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 05:46:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cool cheat!

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 05:49:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Do they ever learn?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 06:26:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I suppose he posted this ss himself? Nice auto-ownage.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [IronWarrior](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 06:56:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 01:26l suppose he posted this ss himself? Nice auto-ownage.

Yes he did.

He gave a really lame reason too which was to "fight cheaters", lol?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Hex](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 07:00:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[~LGdirk]: yep its true he turned on *cheat name removed*but he dont use it against gamerz0ne he try to find a cheater he dont play with rgh

And we so beleave that

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 07:00:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 08:26l suppose he posted this ss himself? Nice auto-ownage. I think the name is selfpwn for that.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 07:03:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hex wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 09:00 [~LGdirk]: yep its true he turned on *cheat name removed*but he dont use it against gamerz0ne he try to find a cheater he dont play with rgh

And we so beleave that

Ofcourse he wasn't on purposely trying to have an advantage. He just needed to restart renegade while he had a community match to get *caught* to work, but that is only a a small detail. My bet is that he had it running all along.

yes, I know I double posted, but that's thanks to renforums/my internetz being gay

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [QoQn00b](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 07:22:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I still love how he posted it himself. He didnt even notice the names strewn throughout the wall. What the..? lol...

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 08:02:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

QoQn00b wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 02:22I still love how he posted it himself. He didnt even notice the names strewn throughout the wall. What the..? lol...

I wondered about that too

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Ryu](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 08:05:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why do you go and post a screenshot of yourself cheating?

I mean.. His server is is kind of populated so why go fuck it all up? That does NOT make sense. :v
self-pwned, And down-right retarded.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [LGdirk](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 08:36:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cool you post it here... nice but you forget to tell why he use it... also he dont need to cheat because the teamplay on gamerz0ne was not so good... but how ever we and he know that was stupid... and iam sure he will post soon here a explanation why he use it... so please wait before you say duke gaming is a cheater server...

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 08:38:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LGdirk wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 10:36cool you post it here... nice but you forget to tell why he use it... also he dont need to cheat because the teamplay on gamerz0ne was not so good... but how ever we and he know that was stupid... and iam sure he will post soon here a explanation why he use it... so please wait before you say duke gaming is a cheater server...

He can come and post whatever he wants, there's no reason to bypass Renguard, never has been and never will be. The Renguard team should ban him from the RG network.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 10:11:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

look at my post i have some good info

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Geert42](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 10:40:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes Erikboxem had *cheat name removed*1.33 on.

Why he had it on that is the question:

I was during the fun-war against gamerzone,
Erikboxem was hit twice inside the ref with a 500 sniper,
he was alone inthere.
How is that possible?

To find out who was cheating on gamerzone he put on *cheat name removed*to spectate out who it was.

Smart? no, Stupid? yes, understandable? yes a bit.

Thats it, no more no less,
if you say we won the fun-war becouse off that, well you know why we won.

To make fun or to have some advantage off this situation, that does not suits you,

I am not blaming you guy's for posting the screenshot,
but i do blame some posters for making fun or hurting people.

You can think about the reasons why they post it.

Duke-Gaming is NOT a free-heaven for cheaters,
and we will ban all the cheaters we can find and have proove off.

Greetings Geert42
Duke-Gaming administrator.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [IronWarrior](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 10:50:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Geert42 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 05:40 Yes Erikboxem had *cheat name removed*1.33 on.

Why he had it on that is the question:

I was during the fun-war against gamerzone,
Erikboxem was hit twice inside the ref with a 500 sniper,
he was alone in there.
How is that possible?

To find out who was cheating on gamerzone he put on *cheat name removed* to spectate out who it was.

Smart? no, Stupid? yes, understandable? yes a bit.

Thats it, no more no less,
if you say we won the fun-war because off that, well you know why we won.

To make fun or to have some advantage off this situation, that does not suits you,

I am not blaming you guy's for posting the screenshot,
but i do blame some posters for making fun or hurting people.

You can think about the reasons why they post it.

Duke-Gaming is NOT a free-heaven for cheaters,
and we will ban all the cheaters we can find and have prove off.

Greetings Geert42
Duke-Gaming administrator.

So in your logic, its alright for me to come into your server with this cheat on to catch other cheaters?

May I ask, how he is gonna catch or deal with a cheater with this?

If he was sniped and killed in the ref, why didnt he look at the IRC logs and see who it was?, simple...

Why does he even have the cheats on his PC?

Understandable?, no.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Caveman](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 10:53:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

As its already been pointed out, if what you're saying is true then that must mean that he left game to activate *cough*. Or that he had it running the entire time he was in the Clanwar. I'd like to see some mIRC logs please!

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Herr](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 10:54:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You can start with banning your server-owner for bypassing renguard in a public server. He will not be able to make up any excuses on this. If you decide to run this cheat, and you are a serverowner, you should atleast have the capacity to think what would happen if someone would find out. Apperently he did not possess this capacity and he is getting punished for it now. Even our moderators know damn well you can't test these things in a public server. In my opinion a serverowner can not afford these mistakes, even if his excuse is legit.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 11:14:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Now now you can't run *Cheat name removed* without leaving and rejoining. Unless he started the match with him, how does he turn it on? Exactly, he had BEFORE he got killed in ref. Now explain that

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Geert42](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 11:17:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

@iron warrior,

He was not killed inside the ref, i wish he had been.

You need to know the cheats, to discover them.

I don't think you know 1.33 very well, and i am not going to explain how you can see cheaters with it.

@Herr,

Banning Erikboxem is out off the question.

That a server-owner can not afford these mistake, yes i agree.

I suppose you know Erikboxem from the server owners forum,
if you realy think that Erik is a cheater, then you don't know Erik very well.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Ghostshaw](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 11:31:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sadukar is right, the latest *cheat name removed* doesn't work without a renegade restart, so he would either have had to have let and rejoined or he was running it all along.

-Ghost-

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [cAmpa](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 11:36:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote: [~LGdirk]: yep its true he turned on *cheat name removed* but he dont use it against gamerz0ne he try to find a cheater he dont play with rgh

Wtf dirk, look at his points.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 11:37:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

make him run rg and scripts
scripts=causes *that cheat* to crash and wont work
rg=stops w3d and objects files

together they can stop them

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [0x90](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 11:40:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ghostshaw wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 13:31 Sadukar is right, the latest *cheat name removed* doesn't work without a renegade restart, so he would either have had to have let and rejoined or he was running it all along.

to be even more specific: it has to be loaded with renegade (right from the start) for a long time now (since 1.3, that was dec 2006 i guess).
which means you have to load renegade with the cheat right from the beginning (or even more: the cheat _before_ renegade) and it will last until you exit renegade completely.
so its absolutely impossible that he turned it on "to search the cheater" just for 10 seconds and turned it off again without exiting renegade (and thus at least leaving the game).

imo you caught a cheater there and hes now trying to save his ass.

unfortunately i didnt implement any backdoor or w/e, else i could tell you if he cheated or not

regards
0x90

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 11:45:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

0x90 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 12:40Ghostshaw wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 13:31Sadukar is right, the latest *cheat name removed*doesn't work without a renegade restart, so he would eitehr have had to have let and rejoined or he was running it all along.

to be even more specific: it has to be loaded with renegade (right from the start) for a long time now (since 1.3, that was dec 2006 i guess).
which means you have to load renegade with the cheat right from the beginning (or even more: the cheat _before_ renegade) and it will last until you exit renegade completely.
so its absolutely impossible that he turned it on "to search the cheater" just for 10 seconds and turned it off again without exiting renegade (and thus at least leaving the game).

imo you caught a cheater there and hes now trying to save his ass.

unfortunately i didnt implement any backdoor or w/e, else i could tell you if he cheated or not

regards
0x90

didnt u make that cheat i heard?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 11:50:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:understandable? yes a bit. No, it isnt. Cheating is not in any way allowed. excuses like "but so many do it" or "i use it to find other cheaters" are pathetic.

Quote:

Banning Erikboxem is out off the question Might I ask why?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 11:52:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He's probably the one paying the bills.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [jnz](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 11:57:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why would he need to cheat? Just stand still and die, then you pwnt the cheater. His excuse was stupid and i don't belive it one bit.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Hex](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 12:06:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hes the server owner

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 12:14:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think you can really get any more owned than that - even ox90 is turning around and pointing out the obvious flaws in your retarded story.

This post should be merged with the other on the same subject - the other post also has this guys IP for any server owners that want to ban him.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 12:17:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, if you have any morals, and selfdignity, you would leave as soon as you would figure out the one paying the bills is a cheater.

Either ban him or leave him, pretty simple. Noone needs cheating serverowners. Specially not hte ont htat are cheating in a community war are pretty hopeless.

Btw, if he only used it to specate a suspected cheater, why does he have a screenshot with himself on it? I mean, if you think up excuses, then please, at least make them look believable.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 12:19:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's ALMOST as awesome as that:
http://jelly-server.com/nuke/modules.php?name=FUDForums&file=index&t=msg&S=f39a0bff8be6d860ea0d517458d3d9ef&th=4212&goto=44152#msg_44155

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [IR4p3dy0u](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 12:28:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually if the person is using RG while running RGH, there are a couple ways to test for *cheat name removed*:))

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Geert42](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 12:39:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You can all write and think about this case what you want.

OK he had *cheat name removed*1.33 on,
i explained to you why,

I did not say he had a cheat attached to it,
and yes he is paying the dedicated-server.

Again i (and Duke-Gaming)stand fully with Erikboxem and i know he would not use *cheat name removed*to cheat.
Why is that so hard to believe?

@the maker off rgh,
i only admire you for the knowledge of writing this cheat,
for the rest you only wrote it to screw up a nice game.

This will be my last post inhere,
i have nothing more to say.

Well maybe can someone find out who shot Erikboxem 2 times inside the ref., without killing him.
That's the real cheater not Erikboxem.

I know there were a lot of QoQ..... guy's ingame,
(not implying that it was 1 of them)

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 12:43:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Why is that so hard to believe? If you cant answer that question, im sorry for you

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 12:47:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Geert42 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 14:39You can all write and think about this case what you want.

OK he had *cheat name removed*1.33 on,
i explained to you why,

I did not say he had a cheat attached to it,

--> *cough* is a cheat: it includes aimbot, shows you where enemies are through walls (including stealth enemies!) and allows things like PT hack. You can also load object-cheats with it but most people find that unnecessary. You fail.

and yes he is paying the dedicated-server.

--> obvious... you fail twice

Again i (and Duke-Gaming)stand fully with Erikboxem and i know he would not use *cheat name removed*to cheat.

Why is that so hard to believe?

--> translation: "he pays for the bills and I get power on that server, you don't expect me t walk away?" you fail three times

@the maker off rgh,
i only admire you for the knowledge of writing this cheat,
for the rest you only wrote it to screw up a nice game.

This will be my last post inhere,
i have nothing more to say.

--> Do,n't go away, run away!

Well maybe can someone find out who shot Erikboxem 2 times inside the ref., without killing him.
That's the real cheater not Erikboxem.
I know there were a lot of QoQ..... guy's ingame,
(not implying that it was 1 of them)
Red answers

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Hex](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 12:50:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I can list at least 3 DG mods/admin that do not support his actions

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [IR4p3dy0u](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 12:58:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

did not say he had a cheat attached to it,
and yes he is paying the dedicated-server.

RGH itself is a cheat you moron.

Community game with QoQ in it, pretty sad a community would use them in a game, Ive busted them in fnall server several diffrent times cheating, but still always managed to hand thier asses to them.

Either way your guy got busted cheating, hes no diffrent then that matix guy that got busted cheating in his own server. GUILTY!!!

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 12:59:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:I can list at least 3 DG mods/admin that do not support his actions
when they still are DG Mods/Admins they DO support him, at least in my opinion

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [DutchNeon](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 13:35:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

May i add 1 thing, we didnt said you guys won by erik, i mean, you guys had seriously teamplay which we almost didnt (first a no leader, i think we would had more with Luna, buit you guys still probably won) and the lag to some american guys (the Usual stuff, you walk in tunnel, suddenly

a 500er spawns in front of you, you try to kill him but no damage happens, and suddenly you are dead + Gameplay Pending).

As my state in our forum, Using a cheat to catch cheaters is kinda strange, its like: using cheats against cheaters. As stated, hes a 'cheat hunter' , so he hunts with that cheat, meaning he uses this cheat all the time in your server?.

Also, if he didnt intended to use, we cant know, he could lie, or speak the truth, only erik himself knows. but running it from start (as mentioned) is needed, shows he intended to use, why load it up anyway then, if you not gonna use. Its like: Starting aimbot, im not sure if im gonna use it you think, but you start it, so it means you probably have a reason to start itL Using it.

The Name thing is an Advantage over other players, if he didnt used other options of the cheat, he still have an advantage over other players, cause they (we) cant see the names, but he could.

Im Not saying he 'owned himself' and that hes guilty, im only giving reasons why he probably used it for other stuff.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [QoQuake](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 13:39:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IR4p3dy0u wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 07:58 did not say he had a cheat attached to it, and yes he is paying the dedicated-server.

RGH itself is a cheat you moron.

Community game with QoQ in it, pretty sad a community would use them in a game, Ive busted them in fnall server several diffrent times cheating, but still always managed to hand thier asses to them.

Either way your guy got busted cheating, hes no diffrent then that matix guy that got busted cheating in his own server. GUILTY!!!

well ok then
u pwn qoq?
proove it
i challange u to a sniper cw
u in? or u all mouth no action

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [IR4p3dy0u](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 13:51:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sure quake Ill play you a 1 vs 1 sniper match, then after that you can play me 1 vs 1 aow so you in?

Even though im pretty much stricly an AOW player, Ill bet I can hang with you sniping if not even beat you.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 14:35:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

QoQuake wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 08:39IR4p3dy0u wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 07:58 did not say he had a cheat attached to it, and yes he is paying the dedicated-server.

RGH itself is a cheat you moron.

Community game with QoQ in it, pretty sad a community would use them in a game, Ive busted them in fnall server several diffrent times cheating, but still always managed to hand thier asses to them.

Either way your guy got busted cheating, hes no diffrent then that matix guy that got busted cheating in his own server. GUILTY!!!

well ok then
u pwn qoq?
proove it
i challange u to a sniper cw
u in? or u all mouth no action

Can you at least type like a intelligent person?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [lookitzhiep](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 14:43:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Geert42 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 07:39You can all write and think about this case what you want.

OK he had *cheat name removed*1.33 on,
i explained to you why,

I did not say he had a cheat attached to it,
and yes he is paying the dedicated-server.

Again i (and Duke-Gaming)stand fully with Erikboxem and i know he would not use *cheat name removed*to cheat.

Why is that so hard to believe?

@the maker off rgh,
i only admire you for the knowledge of writing this cheat,
for the rest you only wrote it to screw up a nice game.

This will be my last post inhere,
i have nothing more to say.

Well maybe can someone find out who shot Erikboxem 2 times inside the ref., without killing him.
That's the real cheater not Erikboxem.

I know there were a lot of QoQ..... guy's ingame,
(not implying that it was 1 of them)

Wow! Are you sure he wasn't near the backdoor and a sniper was on the top of the tunnels?? Are you sure it wasn't splash damage from a Mobile Artillery?? And besides, you're inside a building! Just refill and keep doing that until you get killed. Then you look at who killed you and question them. Nice....

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Stonekirby](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 14:52:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I play this game now hm 5 years or more

I saw alot cheaters and pros, this made me very sad to read here that the owner of dg community is / was / or whatever the SS proofs that he was running it in a war. And what I say to this crap is: How can you be so f*cking stupid or ignorant to think that it's ok to run a cheat to find an other cheater omfg. Even if you are sure that a guy is cheating and you don't know who it is, this is never an option to take. You have to use anti cheat software and no cheats to find him cuz now you are not better than the cheater is, even if you didn't used the cheat to win the cw. Cuz I know you didn't used it to win cuz we lost all cw exept fnfalls (= gamerz0ne, latly somebody told me dunno if fnfalls = gamerz0ne huh ?!) well and everybody know that fnfalls are the biggest noobs in renegade history. But what is even more stupid is uploading the SS why did you even took that SS ?!. After losing CWs you just said some words like well we lost but we had alot fun thats what counts, thats your opinion and also mine, thats what proofs for me that you didn't used it to win. Erik you disapointed me like hell cuz I respected you very much but this is just stupid, Someone who has no complexes to run a cheat in a cw to find an other cheater has no complex to run a cheat for any other reasons.

And @ Quake and IR4p3dy0u: why the hate ? Get serious guys peace.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [DutchNeon](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 16:31:43 GMT

We Dont cheat, you guys do, who are the noobs then as stated in 'lack of skill' im not saying you cheat stone, but more ppl of duke got a 'cheat' history.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Geert42](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 17:07:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IR4p3dy0u wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 07:58
RGH itself is a cheat you moron.

Community game with QoQ in it, pretty sad a community would use them in a game, Ive busted them in fnall server several diffrent times cheating, but still always managed to hand thier asses to them.

I know what *cheat name removed*is you fool

the QoQ were playing for gamerzone,

and for the record:

@Hex i don't aprove to use *cheat name removed*in a clan-war to catch cheaters,
@spooney you promised us those log-files the log-files you sendd were useless, only teamchat was on it i believe.
@Goztow i missed your comment on the last thing i wrote.

@the server-owners,
most off you know Erikboxem and you know how he hates cheaters,
ok he did a stupid thing, i know it and he knows it,
if you want to know more about it ask him, before you hang him.
That is all i want to ask you.

Thanks Geert42
Duke-Gaming administrator

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 17:20:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Geert42 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 19:07IR4p3dy0u wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 07:58
RGH itself is a cheat you moron.

Community game with QoQ in it, pretty sad a community would use them in a game, Ive busted them in fnall server several diffrent times cheating, but still always managed to hand thier asses to

them.

I know what *cheat name removed*is you fool

the QoQ were playing for gamerzone,

and for the record:

@Hex i don't approve to use *cheat name removed*in a clan-war to catch cheaters,

@spooney you promised us those log-files the log-files you sended were useless, only teamchat was on it i believe.

@Goztow i missed your comment on the last thing i wrote.

@the server-owners,

most off you know Erikboxem and you know how he hates cheaters,

ok he did a stupid thing, i know it and he knows it,

if you want to know more about it ask him, before you hang him.

That is all i want to ask you.

Thanks Geert42

Duke-Gaming administrator

well, why would I don't want to see a cheater hang?

Someone that loads up *caught* before joining a community war is wrong in any way. No lame excuses.

The only VALID excuse would be that he told gamerz0ne beforehand, and them agreeing with that. (or at least some gamerz0ne admin)

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [ExEric3](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 17:48:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hex wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 14:50I can list at least 3 DG mods/admin that do not support his actions

Can you put here this list? I am very interested about it.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Hex](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 18:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

More than 3 now, 2 of them have already said they don't in this post

2 words premeditation and intent

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [i_hate_it](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 18:08:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hello I am ready to tell you guys the story (dont understand me wrong i know i did something bad and stupid)

okay i was in game on the map vulcano when i got shot like 6 times inside the ref but the guy was not killing me.

I hoped he would kill me but he didnt so i left the game and started up rgh1.3 to see who it was, (i know that was stupid).

I will have to live with that.

But there was a sniper hitting me all the time inside the ref so i used the cheat to find him in only like 2 or 3 minutes.

after that i turned it off, because i couldnt find him.

I wanna say only one thing, i was not using the cheat for the clanwar game, i will never do that because i started this community because i hated the cheaters and love the game renegade.

I am playing this game from the day it came out.

I dont need cheats to have fun or to play the game.

but you know my intention was to find the cheater and not to cheat in the clanwar, and i didnt used the cheat during the game.

like evilwhitedragon said its wrong to do it and i know that right now, i am feeling bad about it like hell and i will get punished for that i understand that completely

But i will never lie about something like this.

if you wanna know my wife also knows why i turned it on she was looking at the clanwar, and she also knows why i did it, but yea its no excuse.

I am sorry that i disappointed a lot of guys, and thats hurting like hell.

I started this community to give people the chance to have fun on this server and help them with everything i got.

I made mistakes but all peoples are making mistakes and they will get punished for that sometimes.

i hope i explained enough atleast i tried

greet's erik

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [lookitzhiep](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 18:18:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

erikboxem wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 13:08hello I am ready to tell you guys the story (dont understand me wrong i know i did something bad and stupid)

okay i was in game on the map vulcano when i got shot like 6 times inside the ref but the guy was not killing me.

I hoped he would kill me but he didnt so i left the game and started up rgh1.3 to see who it was, (i know that was stupid).

I will have to live with that.

But there was a sniper hitting me all the time inside the ref so i used the cheat to find him in only like 2 or 3 minutes.

after that i turned it off, because i couldnt find him.

I wanna say only one thing, i was not using the cheat for the clanwar game, i will never do that because i started this community because i hated the cheaters and love the game renegade. I am playing this game from the day it came out. I dont need cheats to have fun or to play the game. but you know my intention was to find the cheater and not to cheat in the clanwar, and i didnt used the cheat during the game. like evilwhitedragon said its wrong to do it and i know that right now, i am feeling bad about it like hell and i will get punished for that i understand that completely But i will never lie about something like this. if you wanna know my wife also knows why i turned it on she was looking at the clanwar, and she also knows why i did it, but yea its no excuse. I am sorry that i disappointed a lot of guys, and thats hurting like hell. I started this community to give people the chance to have fun on this server and help them with everything i got. I made mistakes but all peoples are making mistakes and they will get punished for that sometimes. i hope i explained enough atleast i tried greets erik I say, this is as liable as most of the excuses in the RenGuard Network Ban section.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 18:27:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You could at least look up in the bunker and see if there's a sniper there

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [LGdirk](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 19:00:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You could at least look up in the bunker and see if there's a sniper there

of course you can but inside ref and door closed??? how he can shoot you? but how ever... you can believe it or not but if you think he need to cheat then tell me why? we did a really good teamplay you can see it on every screen shoot and also on postet videos so it will be useless to use a cheat when we still win and that someone say from this guys who you catch by cheating "i only use it to catch cheater" that i cant believe... the most cheater never response or cry i only did it this one time to test it... i play the last years on every server in wol/xwis list and you never saw me cheating and also i hate cheater and is possible that the one or other remember for me with my old name dirkuser3 and when i dont belive the story from erik then i dont will stay there but i know him now a long time and i trust him in all what he say but from your view its unbelievable i know but it is so unbelievable that we can believe it... how he told you why he want to risk all for what he life and his only problem is that he want to catch every cheater all the time but is it not this what we all want? and is it not really hard to catch a *cheat name removed*cheater? and how you catch a *cheat name removed*cheater? if you have more idea's to find *cheat name

removed*user then tell it please i thanks for every help for that...

thx for reading LGdirk (dirkuser3)

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Geert42](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 19:19:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

2 words premeditation and intent thats what Hex said.

1 word for Hex:
dispickable,

Or is this a bad attitude, Hex?

@Evilwhitedragon:
please get your facts straight before you hang someone.
Erik left game volcano to put it on.

premeditation Hex, bullshit, intent bullshit, Hex

Maybe you can intimidate other people Hex,
forget it not me.

O sorry again "bad attitude"
your the moron, not me Hex.

And you can say that next time to me in person and not to a friend off mine,
o and i am sorry to wast your precious time,
no i lie, i am not.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [DutchNeon](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 19:32:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Show us a log then.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Geert42](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 19:40:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dutch Neon wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 14:32 Show us a log then.

I don't have a log
players are not allowed during a clanwar in the cw channel,
you know why that is.

Not to hide something, but to ensure the players don't have any advantage from irc when they play.

Maybe Rick has.

He was not playing.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 20:05:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

geert im tell u this now ppl can be dicks on here

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [IR4p3dy0u](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 20:43:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Geert42 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 14:19 2 words premeditation and intent that's what Hex said.

1 word for Hex:

dispickable,

Or is this a bad attitude, Hex?

@Evilwhitedragon:

please get your facts straight before you hang someone.

Erik left game volcano to put it on.

premeditation Hex, bullshit, intent bullshit, Hex

Maybe you can intimidate other people Hex,
forget it not me.

O sorry again "bad attitude"
you're the moron, not me Hex.

And you can say that next time to me in person and not to a friend of mine,
oh and I am sorry to waste your precious time,
no I lie, I am not.

I really hope you realize you made pretty much absolutley no sense in that post, and you do very much sound like a rambling moron. so please STFU.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [QoQuake](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 20:49:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sadukar09 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 09:35QoQuake wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 08:39IR4p3dy0u wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 07:58 did not say he had a cheat attached to it, and yes he is paying the dedicated-server.

RGH itself is a cheat you moron.

Community game with QoQ in it, pretty sad a community would use them in a game, Ive busted them in fnall server several diffrent times cheating, but still always managed to hand thier asses to them.

Either way your guy got busted cheating, hes no diffrent then that matix guy that got busted cheating in his own server. GUILTY!!!

well ok then
u pwn qoq?
proove it
i challange u to a sniper cw
u in? or u all mouth no action

Can you at least type like a intelligent person?

congratz on being an asswipe
now stfu

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [futura83](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 20:55:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

QoQuake wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 21:49sadukar09 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 09:35QoQuake wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 08:39IR4p3dy0u wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 07:58 did not say he had a cheat attached to it, and yes he is paying the dedicated-server.

RGH itself is a cheat you moron.

Community game with QoQ in it, pretty sad a community would use them in a game, Ive busted them in fnall server several diffrent times cheating, but still always managed to hand thier asses to them.

Either way your guy got busted cheating, hes no diffrent then that matix guy that got busted cheating in his own server. GUILTY!!!

well ok then
u pwn qoq?
proove it
i challange u to a sniper cw
u in? or u all mouth no action

Can you at least type like a intelligent person?

congratz on being an asswipe
now stfu

I'll take that as a 'no' then.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [R3N3G1DE](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 21:02:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cheating in a friendly community match isn't really that great.

Once you cheat a little, it can lead to a huge fault situation.

Just saying that cheating is a mysterious fact really.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 21:09:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

QoQuake wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 15:49sadukar09 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 09:35QoQuake wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 08:39IR4p3dy0u wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 07:58
did not say he had a cheat attached to it,
and yes he is paying the dedicated-server.

RGH itself is a cheat you moron.

Community game with QoQ in it, pretty sad a community would use them in a game, Ive busted them in fnall server several diffrent times cheating, but still always managed to hand thier asses to them.

Either way your guy got busted cheating, hes no diffrent then that matix guy that got busted cheating in his own server. GUILTY!!!

well ok then
u pwn qoq?
proove it
i challange u to a sniper cw
u in? or u all mouth no action

Can you at least type like a intelligent person?

congratz on being an asswipe
now stfu

You needed to edit a post thats 2 lines long? I'm laughing so hard right now you wouldn't believe.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Geert42](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 21:10:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IR4p3dy0u wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 15:43Geert42 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 14:192 words
premeditation and intent thats what Hex said.

1 word for Hex:
dispickable,

Or is this a bad attitude, Hex?

@Evilwhitedragon:
please get your facts straight before you hang someone.
Erik left game volcano to put it on.

premeditation Hex, bullshit, intent bullshit, Hex

Maybe you can intimidate other people Hex,
forget it not me.

O sorry again "bad attitude"
your the moron, not me Hex.

And you can say that next time to me in person and not to a friend off mine,
o and i am sorry to wast your precious time,
no i lie, i am not.

I really hope you realize you made pretty much absolutley no sense in that post, and you do very much sound like a rambling moron. so please STFU.

If you don't know what i am talking about, then please shut up,
and leave this to more intelligent people.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Hex](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 21:14:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Geert42 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 17:10intelligent people.

So why are you posting?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Geert42](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 21:20:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hex wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 16:14Geert42 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 17:10intelligent people.

So why are you posting?

If you dont know that,
i can't tell you.
You have to find out yourself.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [QoQuake](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 21:34:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

u cant even understand basic english
ur a retard
and i bet ur all mouth on forums but suck in game anyway

so really gg.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 21:38:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Who are you talking to?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [luv2pb](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 21:40:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

QoQuake wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 17:34u cant even understand basic english
ur a retard

and i bet ur all mouth on forums but suck in game anyway
so really gg.

What you are typing is not basic english. English uses things like spelling, grammar and
punctuation. so it is hard 4 ppl 2 understnd u sumtimes cuz u type lik a dip twat.

The logic in this thread is so far gone I can't even begin to wrap my head around it. There has to
be more to this story. Not even the dumbest of the dumb take a screen shot of them clearly
cheating for any reason much less to prove ther are not.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [QoQn00b](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 21:56:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:I hoped he would kill me but he didnt so i left the game and started up rgh1.3 to see who it
was, (i know that was stupid).

I don't believe anyone saw you leave the game.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Ryu](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 22:15:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You know what I can't understand about this topic?

Why the fuck did he take a screenshot in the first place and post it somewhere on the Internet?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 22:25:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Geert42 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 17:10IR4p3dy0u wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 15:43Geert42 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 14:192 words premeditation and intent thats what Hex said.

1 word for Hex:
dispickable,

Or is this a bad attitude, Hex?

@Evilwhitedragon:

please get your facts straight before you hang someone.

Erik left game volcano to put it on.

premeditation Hex, bullshit, intent bullshit, Hex

Maybe you can intimidate other people Hex,
forget it not me.

O sorry again "bad attitude"
your the moron, not me Hex.

And you can say that next time to me in person and not to a friend off mine,
o and i am sorry to wast your precious time,
no i lie, i am not.

I really hope you realize you made pretty much absolutley no sense in that post, and you do very much sound like a rambling moron. so please STFU.

If you don't know what i am talking about, then please shut up,
and leave this to more intelligent people.

You're a god damn idiot. Yes, your native tongue isn't English, I'll give you that much, but you're not helping yourself by being a moron.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [IR4p3dy0u](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 22:45:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Geert42 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 16:10IR4p3dy0u wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 15:43Geert42 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 14:192 words premeditation and intent thats what Hex said.

1 word for Hex:
dispickable,

Or is this a bad attitude, Hex?

@Evilwhitedragon:
please get your facts straight before you hang someone.
Erik left game volcano to put it on.

premeditation Hex, bullshit, intent bullshit, Hex

Maybe you can intimidate other people Hex,
forget it not me.

O sorry again "bad attitude"
your the moron, not me Hex.

And you can say that next time to me in person and not to a friend off mine,
o and i am sorry to wast your precious time,
no i lie, i am not.

I really hope you realize you made pretty much absolutley no sense in that post, and you do very
much sound like a rambling moron. so please STFU.

If you don't know what i am talking about, then please shut up,
and leave this to more intelligent people.

more intelligent people dont leave a community match, load up rgh, then SS themselves using it
then post a ss of it, then try and say they werent cheating, so if thats your idea of intelligent
people, then I guess lam glad im a total fucking moron.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [futura83](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 22:48:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Feed this logic to any robot and watch it explode!

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Xpert](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 23:09:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

First of all, when did English and grammar become an issue on a gaming forum?

Second, THIS IS TOO FUNNY LOL

I think it's safe to say we can add Erik to a list of disgraceful server owners along with Yonnie and Matix.

His defense has too many flaws. Using the spectate in *cheat name removed* was just retarded. There are many other ways of catching someone suspicious in this situation but you took the dumb way out.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [futura83](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 23:12:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Xpert wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 00:09: First of all, when did English and grammar become an issue on a gaming forum?

Since a forum is a way of communicating a message, using poor communication shows that you can't communicate properly, and makes you look quite stupid - Especially if English is your primary tongue, if it isn't, then exceptions are made...

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 23:12:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

erikboxem wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 20:08: hello I am ready to tell you guys the story (dont understand me wrong i know i did something bad and stupid)
okay i was in game on the map vulcano when i got shot like 6 times inside the ref but the guy was not killing me.

I hoped he would kill me but he didnt so i left the game and started up rgh1.3 to see who it was, (i know that was stupid).

I will have to live with that.

But there was a sniper hitting me all the time inside the ref so i used the cheat to find him in only like 2 or 3 minutes.

after that i turned it off, because i couldnt find him.

I wanna say only one thing, i was not using the cheat for the clanwar game, i will never do that because i started this community because i hated the cheaters and love the game renegade.

I am playing this game from the day it came out.

I dont need cheats to have fun or to play the game.

but you know my intention was to find the cheater and not to cheat in the clanwar, and i didnt used the cheat during the game.

like evilwhitedragon said its wrong to do it and i know that right now, i am feeling bad about it like hell and i will get punished for that i understand that completely

But i will never lie about something like this.

if you wanna know my wife also knows why i turned it on she was looking at the clanwar, and she also knows why i did it, but yea its no excuse.

I am sorry that i disappointed a lot of guys, and thats hurting like hell.

I started this community to give people the chance to have fun on this server and help them with everything i got.

I made mistakes but all peoples are making mistakes and they will get punished for that sometimes.

i hope i explained enough atleast i tried

greet's erik

There is 1 slight problem with your excuse. Oh wait, 2.

1. you would have needed to run *caught* the whole match.

2. If you only used it to find a cheater, then you would've used it in spectator mode, so you would've been in spectator mode for the whole 2-3 mins as you said. While we have an oblivious screen shot of you, outside the refinery, not spectating anyone.

Verdict:

This is a valid selfpwn.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Xpert](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 23:15:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 18:12

Verdict:

This is a valid selfpwn.

gg

The jury may now be dismissed. ;p

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 23:16:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ancient_and_forever wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 01:12Xpert wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 00:09First of all, when did English and grammar become an issue on a gaming forum?

Since a forum is a way of communicating a message, using poor communication shows that you can't communicate properly, and makes you look quite stupid - Especially if English is your primary tongue, if it isn't, then exceptions are made...

Punctuation in Dutch is pretty much the same, if not totally similar, so PLEASE try to make your posts readable. Also, use the build in grammar check if you can't write English properly.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [futura83](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 23:17:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Xpert wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 00:15EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 18:12

Verdict:

This is a valid selfpwn.

gg

The jury may now be dismissed. ;p

I might create a server just to ban this dumbass!

Yes, i know it's illogical...but so is this thread, so it fits in.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Xpert](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2007 23:19:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ancient_and_forever wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 18:17Xpert wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 00:15EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 18:12

Verdict:

This is a valid selfpwn.

gg

The jury may now be dismissed. ;p

I might create a server just to ban this dumbass!

Yes, i know it's illogical...but so is this thread, so it fits in.

Lmao...

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [IronWarrior](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 00:11:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, this has been a good topic of selfpwnage,

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [jnz](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 00:30:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have never in my entire life seen anything so stupid. And that's saying something, my sister seems to break everything she touches. Why the hell do you post a screen shot of yourself cheating? Cheating is cheating, nothing can justify having a cheat loaded into renegade. How the hell can someone say someone can't read when "day r tipng lic dis" is absolutely pathetic. Don't even post again, your stupid little tail of your goldfish jumping out of it's bowl, onto your keyboard quitting renegade and loading a cheat. Then a cat jumped onto your keyboard and loaded renegade and joined the server again wont work, here.

gtfo

please don't reply saying i don't have a mirror. hehe. And I know my grammar isn't perfect.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 00:32:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i kinda feel bad for the guy say aything u want but havent u ever wanted tto watch someone knowing u cant?

i mean he cheated yes so what fix the problem dont make it worse i mean its just a game its not life he could be one of the nicest best people in real life and yet you guys treating him like shit...

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [jnz](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 00:35:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

When he was cheating in that game he was treating all the players like shit. Getting an e-hard on when he got a HS on a huge head.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 00:37:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

joe937465 wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 19:32i kinda feel bad for the guy say aything u want but havent u ever wanted tto watch someone knowing u cant?

aka cheat? Basically, that is cheating. If he see's a cheater, then he can contact a mod, or if he is a mod then he can keep an eye on him!

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Caveman](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 00:38:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Okies, to go back on topic.

Could the person in question or someone else who believes he was using *cough* to find a cheater answer the following.

1) Even if what you're saying is true. How the fuck is *cheat name removed*going to help you catch someone with WALL HACK? (This is what you're accusing the cheater off) All you would see from spectating is someone shooting. That in itself isn't enough proof to ban someone/catch a cheat.

2) You say he shot you like 6 times.. From a sniper? How the hell is that possible? Why did you refill? if you didn't refill he might have killed you, yes?

3 Not really a question but I would like to see the logs from that game. Don't give me the BS that noone was in the channel during the clanwar because the FDS records all the logs in the FDS DIR.

Good day.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 00:38:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

im not saying its right that he did it lol inst there a thing so u can watch the map and see whos shooting who seye or somthing?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Luna](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 02:34:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You guys ever hear of lag?

Take a look of this video that was made by one of the GamerzOne players.

<http://media.putfile.com/DG-communtiy-matdh>

That could help explain some things about the shots in the ref. Take note of the one sniper fight in the Islands Tunnels (the one where the Black Hand Sniper is sitting along the left wall)(about half way through the video). He looks like he's just sitting there. The player shoots him multiple times, turns around, and look at his health. HE"S ALMOST DEAD. That could have easily happened with the Ref too. Fix the lag, lose the cheater, and learn to play.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [i_hate_it](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 03:11:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sorry you still dont blieve me but at least i tried too give you my side of the story.
and if you guys need more explenation maybe you can just ask it like evilwhite dragon hex or egg doing it.

flaming will not help you know.

and hurting other people wil also not work.

so please stay friendly thats all i am asking.

i know i did something stupid but i think i never say something bad in here.

i will not try too explain more because i see it wont help.

i wanna thank all the guys who still respect me ass a grown up person.

i know what i did and why i did it.

and i will take my responsability's for my bad behaviour.

i will take all this acusationts as a men and will not runaway for it.(because i know i did something stupid).

but it wasnt my intention too reach this.

o and please guys stay too the topic.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 03:47:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOL nice music

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 03:52:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

erikboxem wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 22:11sorry you still dont blieve me but at least i tried too give you my side of the story.

and if you guys need more explanation maybe you can just ask it like evilwhite dragon hex or egg doing it.
flaming will not help you know.
and hurting other people will also not work.
so please stay friendly thats all i am asking.
i know i did something stupid but i think i never say something bad in here.
i will not try too explain more because i see it wont help.
i wanna thank all the guys who still respect me ass a grown up person.
i know what i did and why i did it.
and i will take my responsability's for my bad behaviour.
i will take all this acusationts as a men and will not runaway for it.(because i know i did something stupid).
but it wasnt my intention too reach this.
o and please guys stay too the topic.

...you cheated. People here take that like murder.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [LGdirk](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 06:53:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

...you cheated. People here take that like murder.

hahahaha ok i read enough here i think... at the end everyone say erik is a cheater and his ass has to be kicked... and when i read every comment here i must still laugh... who, i think form all this people here have use a cheat? for testing how it works in normal game or to find a cheater??? iam sure 100% of you all have use a cheat or is using a cheat like erik to catch cheater!!! oh you can say now: " stupid n00b, i never use a cheat" but i never will believe you that is for sure... no one can tell me he never have use a cheat! of course for some of you its a long time ago but you used it... and now 1 of you/us use a cheat against cheater, now you will punish him for that? sorry there its end with my understanding! it was stupid to make it there in a fun war and you all have the right to say you stupid n00b but nothing more... some of you know him and also you know that we do all what we can to keep the server clean from cheater like you all and by trying that you/we can make stupid things so dont say with out any knowlege about him that he is only a cheater, nothing more... first time where i see the pic i think omg but then i remember me for the fun war and that he told me there is a cheater and i talk a lot with him about this and what ever you say here it change nothing so stop to talk in this art about him... you only can say stupid n00b but ok i trust him in that what he say or no i dont trust him and i will never play again on duke-gaming... but what ever you say he is a server owner and a leader of duke-gaming and all player on our server know what he did and why... some are shocked, other one say ok but at this time i know no one who say i dont wanna play with DG or he/she dont say it... and to make one clear... this cheat is a big advantage for a player he know where is everyone and when he use it from the beginning how its possible that gamerz0ne own our weapons factory? he was close to the wf when the building die... with that cheat he must know it but he dont know it so for me is clear that he dont use it all the time and i know a lot of more situations where he must do things other with a cheat like he did. i was there i can built me a pic of that all... so be fair and if you ask me, is it allow to use a cheat against cheater??? i think YES... ok now cry why i say it but if you

have to go into a war, you dont use the same weapons like the enemy? oh no sorry you will go with flower... so i think now you have enough to talk about it... iam intresting how it go on here... oh and btw dont say my english is bad... i know it but iam german and my little school english is more as 25 years ago and iam sure you understand what i say so dont discouss about some people here for the bad english that is also not very nice... greets LGdirk

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 07:02:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

a gree to that idc what anyone says that cheat has been downloaded over a few hundred times im sure most of u have tryed them or tested idc what anyones says just like friends im sure most of ur friends talk crap about u im sure everyone here has done something but u should not treat him like shit...and ps i laugh so hard when people to to settle there problems with a 1v1 game so funny

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 07:43:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I can honnestly say I never used a Renegade cheat. I did, however, use single player cheat codes for Duke Nukem. However, noone was bothered by it as it was in single player...

Not being native English speaking is no excuse for your poor phrases. Use punctuation and start pharses with a capital letter. Make paragraphs to make your text clearer.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 09:39:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I cheated on Zoo Tycoon once to get extra money

I laugh at you if you really believe that 100% of people have cheated at some point, basically I guess that means you are admitting to cheating at some point yourself?

There are plenty of respectful people in this community who don't feel the need to use cheats FOR ANY REASON (excuse) - I have never so much as downloaded a cheat, even to test on a single player game and I have never felt the need to, it's not difficult to spot cheaters if you actually know the game and what is/isn't possible.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Ghostshaw](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 09:40:24 GMT

I cheat when playing Crackdown online! But then again that is while playing the cheat mode and all players have cheats then .

-Ghost-

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [lookitzhiep](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 10:13:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LGdirk wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 01:53...you cheated. People here take that like murder.

hahahaha ok i read enough here i think... at the end everyone say erik is a cheater and his ass has to be kicked... and when i read every comment here i must still laugh... who, i think form all this people here have use a cheat? for testing how it works in normal game or to find a cheater??? iam sure 100% of you all have use a cheat or is using a cheat like erik to catch cheater!!! oh you can say now: " stupid n00b, i never use a cheat" but i never will believe you that is for sure... no one can tell me he never have use a cheat! of course for some of you its a long time ago but you used it... and now 1 of you/us use a cheat against cheater, now you will punish him for that? sorry there its end with my understanding! it was stupid to make it there in a fun war and you all have the right to say you stupid n00b but nothing more... some of you know him and also you know that we do all what we can to keep the server clean from cheater like you all and by trying that you/we can make stupid things so dont say with out any knowlege about him that he is only a cheater, nothing more... first time where i see the pic i think omg but then i remember me for the fun war and that he told me there is a cheater and i talk a lot with him about this and what ever you say here it change nothing so stop to talk in this art about him... you only can say stupid n00b but ok i trust him in that what he say or no i dont trust him and i will never play again on duke-gaming... but what ever you say he is a server owner and a leader of duke-gaming and all player on our server know what he did and why... some are shocked, other one say ok but at this time i know no one who say i dont wanna play with DG or he/she dont say it... and to make one clear... this cheat is a big advantage for a player he know where is everyone and when he use it from the beginning how its possible that gamerz0ne own our weapons factory? he was close to the wf when the building die... with that cheat he must know it but he dont know it so for me is clear that he dont use it all the time and i know a lot of more situations where he must do things other with a cheat like he did. i was there i can built me a pic of that all... so be fair and if you ask me, is it allow to use a cheat against cheater??? i think YES... ok now cry why i say it but if you have to go into a war, you dont use the same weapons like the enemy? oh no sorry you will go with flower... so i think now you have enough to talk about it... iam intresting how it go on here... oh and btw dont say my english is bad... i know it but iam german and my little school english is more as 25 years ago and iam sure you understand what i say so dont discouss about some people here for the bad english that is also not very nice... greets LGdirk

So by your theory, you're saying you cheat too?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 10:18:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow.. I keep attempting to read that block of jibberish but its too unbearable to read.
Could you guys please try to use correct punctuation and spacing please?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Commandosky](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 10:49:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

all those reactions, it's a pitty

everyone cheats sometimes, some with good reasons, some with bad reasons

erik used it for a good reason, but feels very bad about it he used it

but still, our community is still growing and there are enough players on our server to play

so whats the big deal to discuss this any longer???

everyone said what there is to say

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 10:58:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

everyone cheats sometimes, some with good reasons, some with bad reasons Errr... No?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Ryu](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 11:24:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bind "o" "impulse 101" on Half-Life 1 Single Player FTW!

(All weapons and body armour + ammo.)

But cheating online? Never!

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Herr](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 11:30:43 GMT

erikboxem wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 23:11 sorry you still dont blieve me but at least i tried too give you my side of the story.
and if you guys need more explenation maybe you can just ask it like evilwhite dragon hex or egg doing it.
flaming will not help you know.
and hurting other people wil also not work.
so please stay friendly thats all i am asking.
i know i did something stupid but i think i never say something bad in here.
i will not try too explain more because i see it wont help.
i wanna thank all the guys who still respect me ass a grown up person.
i know what i did and why i did it.
and i will take my responsabillity's for my bad behaviour.
i will take all this acusationts as a men and will not runaway for it.(because i know i did something stupid).
but it wasnt my intention too reach this.
o and please guys stay too the topic.

I am pretty sure your following this thread very close. So I'm also sure you would notice the question I am going to ask, if you will awnser is probably another question. Explaining this would really help your case.

Did you or did you not start *cheat name removed* before starting up renegade to play the funwar?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 11:54:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Herr wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 13:30 erikboxem wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 23:11 sorry you still dont blieve me but at least i tried too give you my side of the story.
and if you guys need more explenation maybe you can just ask it like evilwhite dragon hex or egg doing it.
flaming will not help you know.
and hurting other people wil also not work.
so please stay friendly thats all i am asking.
i know i did something stupid but i think i never say something bad in here.
i will not try too explain more because i see it wont help.
i wanna thank all the guys who still respect me ass a grown up person.
i know what i did and why i did it.
and i will take my responsabillity's for my bad behaviour.
i will take all this acusationts as a men and will not runaway for it.(because i know i did something stupid).
but it wasnt my intention too reach this.
o and please guys stay too the topic.

I am pretty sure your following this thread very close. So I'm also sure you would notice the

question I am going to ask, if you will answer is probably another question. Explaining this would really help your case.

Did you or did you not start *cheat name removed* before starting up renegade to play the funwar? He already said he left the funwar to load it somewhere before but didn't show logs of that.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Commandosky](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 12:07:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the answer is already given

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Caveman](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 12:15:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Caveman wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 01:38: Okies, to go back on topic.

Could the person in question or someone else who believes he was using *cough* to find a cheater answer the following.

1) Even if what you're saying is true. How the fuck is *cheat name removed* going to help you catch someone with WALL HACK? (This is what you're accusing the cheater of) All you would see from spectating is someone shooting. That in itself isn't enough proof to ban someone/catch a cheat.

2) You say he shot you like 6 times.. From a sniper? How the hell is that possible? Why did you refill? if you didn't refill he might have killed you, yes?

3 Not really a question but I would like to see the logs from that game. Don't give me the BS that noone was in the channel during the clanwar because the FDS records all the logs in the FDS DIR.

Good day.

Someone going to answer this or what?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Mincus35](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 12:47:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He is not going to show the logs because he never left the game...And if he decides to call me a liar then he must have forgotten that I was in the irc room and I have the logs. If anyone else would

still like to see them let me know and i will post them thanks...

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [bu11c3nts](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 13:07:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mincus35 wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 07:47He is not going to show the logs because he never left the game...And if he decides to call me a liar then he must have forgotten that i was in the irc room and i have the logs. If anyone else would still like to see them let me know and i will post them thanks...

It would probably be better for him to show it.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [IR4p3dy0u](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 13:53:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

WOW eric, your server is also a total copy of what renstations AOW was like, techs with chainguns and basics with shottys, dont copy and cheat much do ya?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 14:14:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mincus35 wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 07:47He is not going to show the logs because he never left the game...And if he decides to call me a liar then he must have forgotten that i was in the irc room and i have the logs. If anyone else would still like to see them let me know and i will post them thanks...

That would be very helpful.

If he did leave the game briefly, then he may be telling the truth.

If he didn't, then he's not only a cheater but he's also lied to his own community so they'll risk their own reputations to stick up for him, which is very nearly (but not quite) as pathetic as actually cheating.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 14:35:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Indeed. Most of the respectable members of Xphaze staff resigned within two days of Yonnie's cheating cover being blown.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [DG abothered](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 16:15:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mincus35 wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 07:47He is not going to show the logs because he never left the game...And if he decides to call me a liar then he must have forgotten that i was in the irc room and i have the logs. If anyone else would still like to see them let me know and i will post them thanks...

i wud like 2 c ur logs i do not beleive u. any ways this is r irc u shudnt be in there.

i trust erikboxem, i beleive him.

and post logs on this forumn then!

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 16:17:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DG abothered wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 12:15Mincus35 wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 07:47He is not going to show the logs because he never left the game...And if he decides to call me a liar then he must have forgotten that i was in the irc room and i have the logs. If anyone else would still like to see them let me know and i will post them thanks...

i wud like 2 c ur logs i do not beleive u. any ways this is r irc u shudnt be in there.

i trust erikboxem, i beleive him.

and post logs on this forumn then!

Okay, you have NO excuse for your poor grammar. STOP RAPING YOUR NATIVE TONGUE!

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [DG abothered](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 16:20:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

m8 the discussion is about erikboxem NOT ABOUT GRAMMER

STICK 2 TOPIC!

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 16:34:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How shall i stick to topic when I dont even understand what you're saying?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 16:41:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DG abothered wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 12:20m8 the discussion is about erikboxem NOT ABOUT GRAMMER

STICK 2 TOPIC!

You're a fucking idiot. I spelled grammar properly the post before, and you STILL couldn't spell it correctly in your post? How fucking stupid can you get?

As Surth said, if we can't understand your unintelligible babble, how can we stay on topic?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [R3N3G1DE](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 16:58:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's why there is a, "ignore all messages by this user."

If anyone doesn't understand other people, you don't always have to answer their question, but if so just give your opinion in a formal way.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 17:03:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The people defending erik are idiots. I'm not going to sit here and pretend that I care about their point of view on this situation. As soon as Mincus posts the logs, this thread will be over and done with.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [R3N3G1DE](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 17:08:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm glad you found your solution.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [DG abothered](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 17:23:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cheesesoda wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 13:03The people defending erik are idiots. I'm not going to sit here and pretend that I care about their point of view on this situation. As soon as Mincus posts the logs, this thread will be over and done with.

look m8 if ur going 2 ignore wat ppl say wen you dont know them then your opinion dusnt matter.

look at it this way most servers have anticheat programs, he was ususing it 2 detect cheaters now if he used this maliciously 2 give him an unfair advantage WICH HE DIDN'T then it wud b a cheat,but he did not use it in this way.

THE OXFORD DICTIONARY MEANING OF "CHEAT" IS:

- verb 1 act dishonestly or unfairly in order to gain an advantage. 2 deprive of something by deceitful or unfair means. 3 avoid (something undesirable) by luck or skill: she cheated death in a spectacular crash.

ERIKBOXEM DID'NT KILL ANY 1 OR USE THE CHEAT IN A MALICIOUS WAY.

I WOULD RLY LIKE 2 SEE THOSE LOGS Mincus35 WAS TALKING ABOUT FOR I DO NOT BELEIVE HIM.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [luv2pb](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 17:25:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have been part of thousands of forums threads in my time on this wonderful Internet. I have to say that this one takes the cake for the biggest cluster fuck of stupidity, poor grammar/English/spelling and anti-logic (ok so I made that word up) that I have ever laid my eyes on and spent brain cells on.

He is a cheater that should be banned from everyones server and the the RG network for violating the TOS as per standard procedures for all cheaters. It does not matter if he left or had it on all along. He cheated and some how managed to get caught by his own screen shot. Something I still don't understand. If his server does not ban him then that is their business.

I can't help but laugh as there is a debate going on here that makes no sense and no one really seems to understand. Yet there is a debate.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [futura83](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 17:27:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Even if he did leave the game for a short period, which he'd be activating his cheat, the question is raised:

Why did/does he have it on his computer?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 17:35:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DG abothered wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 13:23cheesesoda wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 13:03The people defending erik are idiots. I'm not going to sit here and pretend that I care about their point of view on this situation. As soon as Mincus posts the logs, this thread will be over and done with.

look m8 if ur going 2 ignore wat ppl say wen you dont know them then your opinion dusnt matter.

look at it this way most servers have anticheat programs, he was ususing it 2 detect cheaters now if he used this maliciously 2 give him an unfair advantage WICH HE DIDN'T then it wud b a cheat,but he did not use it in this way.

THE OXFORD DICTIONARY MEANING OF "CHEAT" IS:

- verb 1 act dishonestly or unfairly in order to gain an advantage. 2 deprive of something by deceitful or unfair means. 3 avoid (something undesirable) by luck or skill: she cheated death in a spectacular crash.

ERIKBOXEM DID'NT KILL ANY 1 OR USE THE CHEAT IN A MALICIOUS WAY.

I WOULD RLY LIKE 2 SEE THOSE LOGS Mincus35 WAS TALKING ABOUT FOR I DO NOT BELEIVE HIM.

How the fuck can you spell maliciously correctly, yet completely misspell "when", "you're", "what", "people", etc...? THAT MAKES NO FUCKING SENSE.

Look, we've all agree that if he did leave to activate the cheat, then his story has SOME validity to it. We've all acknowledged that. However, if Mincus proves that he DIDN'T leave, then he had activated the cheat before joining the server and "discovering" the cheater. If that proves to be true, then you and every other dimwit defending him can be classified as brainwashed idiots.

If he DID leave and his story checks out, two questions still remain: why did he have the cheat on his computer in the first place, and why did he feel that it was his job to find the cheater on someone ELSE's server without their permission?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [futura83](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 17:37:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There is one other question I want answering:

Why the hell did he take that screenshot and post it on the internet!?

It's the most illogical thing about this thread...

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Duke](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 17:50:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:If he DID leave and his story checks out, two questions still remain: why did he have the cheat on his computer in the first place, and why did he feel that it was his job to find the cheater on someone ELSE's server without their permission?

The community war was hosted on our server not Gamerz0ne.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [DG abothered](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 18:39:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In order to combat cheats u have to understand them, you can moderate better if you understand a cheat and how it works, you can spot cheats easier if you know how they work.

ONCE again i shall say. I have full confidence and respect for Erikboxem he has helped create a great server and has helped create a great community, he douse NOT cheat or use cheats in any way except from to help enhance every renegader's gaming experience, he used *cheat name removed* once to detect a cheater ONLY, he used cheats before in a private server to understand them.

HE NEVER HAS USED CHEATS IN A MALICIOUS WAY.

HE NEVER WILL USE CHEATS IN A MALICIOUS WAY.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 18:48:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:In order to combat cheats u have to understand them, you can moderate better if you understand a cheat and how it works, you can spot cheats easier if you know how they work. Yay!
Lets test cheats in cws and funwars!

Quote:

ONCE again i shall say. I have full confidence and respect for Erikboxem he has helped create a great server and has helped create a great community, he douse NOT cheat or use cheats in any way except from to help enhance every renegader's gaming experience, he used *cheat name removed*once to detect a cheater ONLY, he used cheats before in a private server to understand them.

HE NEVER HAS USED CHEATS IN A MALICIOUS WAY.

HE NEVER WILL USE CHEATS IN A MALICIOUS WAY.Is he some kind of god for you, or why do you trust him so blindly?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [kikiller](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 19:06:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i love how people go all spazzing on stuff like this is so funny.
i love how people on the renegdae forums act so stupid half the time.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Romaner](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 19:12:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kikiller wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 13:06i love how people go all spazzing on stuff like this is so funny.
i love how people on the renegdae forums act so stupid half the time.

there is now a term for it.... rene drama, or renerage lol.

this thread has no more point or meaning left in it untill the logs are posted. all it is now is a insult throwing contest. so why wont everyone just calm down and chill out till the logs are posted here

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [SF1eagles](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 19:14:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

QoQuake wrote on Tue, 10 July 2007 16:34u cant even understand basic english
ur a retard
and i bet ur all mouth on forums but suck in game anyway
so really gg.

Really dude...English isn't his first language so don't be an asshole and you can't even fucking type.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [jnz](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 19:18:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DG abothered wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 19:39
HE NEVER HAS USED CHEATS IN A MALICIOUS WAY.
HE NEVER WILL USE CHEATS IN A MALICIOUS WAY.

Using them at all is malicious. GTFO

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [SF1eagles](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 19:20:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ancient_and_forever wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 12:27Even if he did leave the game for a short period, which he'd be activating his cheat, the question is raised:

Why did/does he have it on his computer?

Guys,..I have known erikboxem for a long time and pretty much made him a mod when he first came to St0rm because he was a nice guy and knew what he was doing. I don't believe that he would cheat on purpose in a clanwar just because I have talked/known him for over 2 years now. I bet that each and every one of you has cheats on their computer. So do I. I only use them to learn about the cheat most of the time and that was only like when I first became a mod. If he had the cheat in before the clanwar...that is a little suspicious but if he left to put it in, that pretty much backs up his reasoning. Erik is a nice guy and I think he only has good intentions, because I do know him pretty well, so I'll have to side with him on this one.
To those people that are being assholes because someone doesn't know english, look yourself in the mirror.

SF1eagles
St0rm Admin

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [kikiller](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 19:24:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

QoQnoob you could of dicussed this in a mature way and keep within your community but you didnt. you had to go out and say it to everyone and point fingers immeadately. I bet you feel like a badass now because you posted this. Also i was with erik in st0rm he was cool and i doubt he would cheat.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [jnz](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 19:49:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

aha..storm...explains everything...

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 19:50:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

RoShamBo wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 14:49aha..storm...explains everything...

qft.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [futura83](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 20:18:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DG abothered wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 19:39In order to combat cheats u have to understand them, you can moderate better if you understand a cheat and how it works, you can spot cheats easier if you know how they work.

I agree, if you know how a cheat works, you can catch cheaters easily...but it is still wrong to use cheats to catch a cheater...especially since he didn't ask for permission

Quote:ONCE again i shall say. I have full confidence and respect for Erikboxem he has helped create a great server and has helped create a great community, he douse NOT cheat or use cheats in any way except from to help enhance every renegader's gaming experience, he used R G H once to detect a cheater ONLY, he used cheats before in a private server to understand them.

HE NEVER HAS USED CHEATS IN A MALICIOUS WAY.

HE NEVER WILL USE CHEATS IN A MALICIOUS WAY.

You contradict the point of this thread completely there.

You say he doesn't cheat, yet the first post shows clear evidence of him doing this. Your exception is a moot point, since:

A: He shouldn't use that to bypass RG since it is against the agreement

B: If he honestly believed he was using these for a noble purpose, then why bypass RG? After all, if he knew it was noble, he wouldn't have tried to hide it

C: How can using a cheat in the middle of a funwar possibly enhance players' gameplay? IF he was using cheats to find out how to detect it, everyone will have known he was cheating, and it will have been a small, private server, or he'd have used them on singleplayer mode...

There is no way you can defend him for this, and your blind faith in him shows how gullible you are...

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [luv2pb](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 20:21:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There is no non-malicious way to use cheats. If there were BHS would put them into Rnguard.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Caveman](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 20:47:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Funny how my questions are getting ignored, how rude. The amount of people in here that can't actually read a post and reply decently is amazing.

If y'all wish to defend this bloke then thats your right. But don't expect others to agree with you especially when you cannot answer a couple of questions. You have yet to make a valid point to why he was using *cheat name removed* in a funwar. If you say well he used it because he was trying to find a cheat then answer my fucking questions. Would you like me to quote myself yet again?

If he wants to test cheats so he can understand them better and discover new ways to detect them then its easy. GET A PRIVATE LOCKED SERVER. That way you don't spoil someone elses game by cheating. Also, you say that he never used *cheat name removed* in a bad way yet the screenshot clearly shows that he had the EPS/ESP/Whatever its called turned on. (The players names showing up through the walls) I'm 99% sure that thats not turned on by default. So would you also mind explaining that?

Good day.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 20:57:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Caveman wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 21:47 Funny how my questions are getting ignored, how rude. The amount of people in here that can't actually read a post and reply decently is amazing.

If y'all wish to defend this bloke then thats your right. But don't expect others to agree with you especially when you cannot answer a couple of questions. You have yet to make a valid point to why he was using *cheat name removed* in a funwar. If you say well he used it because he was trying to find a cheat then answer my fucking questions. Would you like me to quote myself yet again?

If he wants to test cheats so he can understand them better and discover new ways to detect them then its easy. GET A PRIVATE LOCKED SERVER. That way you don't spoil someone elses game by cheating. Also, you say that he never used *cheat name removed* in a bad way yet the screenshot clearly shows that he had the EPS/ESP/Whatever its called turned on. (The players names showing up through the walls) I'm 99% sure that thats not turned on by default. So would you also mind explaining that?

Good day.

That's what I did, on the Xphaze forums, in the staff section, there is topic on how to conduct a test (or was a topic), in that topic is a screenshot of me, using *cough*. it was in a server I hosted for one other member of staff to make the tutorial. Anyways...

Did anyone here know that when you use wall hacks, your shadow goes through walls?!

On topic - There's no excuse, the evidence is public. Do yourselves (the DG community) a favour and leave, before your reputations go down too.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Commandosky](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 21:05:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

erik use those cheats only on a private server

the logs, that Mincus35 spoke of, isn't in his possession

anymore questions to answer????????

i've got something to say about this problem:

imagine that you are using a cheat to catch a cheater, but it gets exposed that you cheat at a funwar, and you're completely banned from the renegade community (all servers and programs)

how do you feel???

erik has guilt feelings so what kind of feelings would you have??

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [DutchNeon](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 21:44:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kikiller wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 14:24

QoQnoob you could have discussed this in a mature way and kept within your community but you didn't. You had to go out and say it to everyone and point fingers immediately. I bet you feel like a badass now because you posted this. Also I was with Erik in st0rm he was cool and I doubt he would cheat.

Don't say things before you know the whole story..... this includes Commandosky about the logs (he has them, seriously). We have reasons why we posted this, firstly cause after the event, A mod which I'm not gonna name (until he agrees) said they would deal with it, but they just defended Erik and didn't release any info, like it never happened, so 'denying' it (on an informative way) so we decided to publish it (which Duke Gaming DIDN'T want) and to show it, and we

don't pretend to be a badass showing this, its just showing the truth.

'I doubt he would cheat'

This topic proofs he did, he did cheated once (or more).

'imagin that you are using a cheat to caught a cheater, but it get exposed that you cheat at a funwar, and you'r completely banned from the renegade community(all servers and programmes)'

DONT cheat then, catching cheaters with cheats is like catching yourself, its your bloody own fault you cheated, so the first thing is not to cheat! (the thing is that he cheated in a community war, if he has cheats on on multiplayer practice or some private server of DG with onlu DG guys knowing he cheats (testing it maybe) i woudnt mind, but he used them in a community war) and if you do, just feel the consequences.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Luna](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 21:49:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commandosky wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 17:05erik use those cheats only on a private server

Since when is a Community War a private server?

Commandosky wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 17:05the logs, that Mincus35 spoke of, isn't in his possesion

Yes he does, and as soon as he wakes up (seeing as he is my brother), I will be happy to go over to his computer, log on it, and post them to shut your face up.

Commandosky wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 17:05anymore questions to anwser?????????

Are you retarded, or is this just a special occasion?

Commandosky wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 17:05i've got something to say about this problem: imagin that you are using a cheat to caught a cheater, but it get exposed that you cheat at a funwar, and you'r completely banned from the renegade community(all servers and programmes)

Don't do the crime if you can't do the time.

Commandosky wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 17:05how do you feel???

I feel great catching cheaters.

Commandosky wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 17:05erik has guilt fellings so what kind of feelings would you have??

I just posted mine, hope you can read.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 21:51:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:erik use those cheats only on a private server Read Topic. Re-read Topic. Shut up.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [jnz](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 21:53:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You NEVER need cheats to detect cheats. Simple as that.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 22:27:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commandosky wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 23:05
how do you feel???

erik has guilt fellings so what kind of feelings would you have??
Like a DUMBFUCK CHEATER THAT GOT CAUGHT.
Yes it sucks, but YOU DON'T FUCKING RUN *cheat name removed*FOR ANY REASON
DURING A CW.

That isn't that hard to understand, now is it?
I'm cool with you (the dumbfucks loyal to the cheater) playing on his server, but don't fucking
complain here about we treating them like any other random cheater.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [futura83](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 22:27:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

RoShamBo wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 22:53You NEVER need cheats to detect cheats. Simple
as that.

Moral of the thread summed up in one line...

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 00:40:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lunatic44 > your face + DG.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [DGDan](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 01:13:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Look here is how I see it, Erik turned this cheat on to look for cheaters. I don't condone what Erik did, I think he is telling the truth. after all we are only human and we ALL make mistakes. I think you should all stop with your accusations until we see the irc logs! I can see your point of view and I respect that it is your view, so please respect ours!

If someone is German, how can you criticize them for not speaking good English? It is outrageous, I'm sure you don't speak excellent German! at least he has made the effort to speak English!

Greets, Dan.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [IR4p3dy0u](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 01:19:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commandosky wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 16:05erik use those cheats only on a private server
the logs, that Mincus35 spoke of, isn't in his possession

anymore questions to answer?????????

i've got something to say about this problem:
imagine that you are using a cheat to catch a cheater, but it gets exposed that you cheat at a
funwar, and you're completely banned from the renegade community(all servers and programs)

how do you feel???

erik has guilt feelings so what kind of feelings would you have??

Plain and simple fact is, he used it in a funwar/clanwar or whatever you wanna call it, if he was on
clanwars.cc, this wouldn't even be a damn issue, his ass would be banned the second this ss
came to light.

erik shouldn't have guilt feelings, he knew what he was doing, and he was just ignorant enough to
post the ss. Secondly, let's say he did catch this cheater, what's he gonna use as evidence? Well
I caught you by turning on *cheat name removed* and using esp mode to see who had what
character blah blah, Like that's really gonna hold up well, a cheater to catch a cheater, that's the

most retarded thing Ive ever heard of.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Mincus35](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 02:11:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DG abothered wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 11:15

i wud like 2 c ur logs i do not beleive u. any ways this is r irc u shudnt be in there.

i trust erikboxem, i beleive him.

and post logs on this forumn then!

I have the logs because i am one of the leaders of gamerz0ne community thats y i was on ur irc
as for the logs here they are.

File Attachments

1) [#DGCW.20070707.log](#), downloaded 128 times

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Rev](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 02:24:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Can only fine "<DGCW> Player [dg]erikboxem joined the game" once in those logs.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 03:37:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

what this comes down to is 0x90's word vs. erikboxem's; to my understanding 0x90 should know
how the cheat works considering he made it

...erik never left and rejoined, you can check the logs like i did

that means he had the shit running the whole time, and his reason that it was only "to catch
cheaters" has absolutely no credibility

i can tell by reading that the DG people don't care what anyone else has to say and are going to
stand by erik; that isn't what i care about

...i just want him to step up and admit it

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Renegerage](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 03:44:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'll post the one post that makes most sence on here-

Who Fucking Cares

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Blast](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 04:15:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

is he banned from the RG network yet?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [jobjobinks1610](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 05:47:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renegerage wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 22:44I'll post the one post that makes most sence on here-

Who Fucking Cares

I am afraid that I have to agree with this user, who cares!, This argument has gone on long enough, Erik knows he did wrong during the fun war and I believe he is genuinely sorry for what he did but what's done is done and cannot be changed, so lets all just move on and get back to enjoying the game like adults instead of acting like a bunch of school children arguing in the school playground!.

I am not going to say any more on this matter and just get on with playing the game, if there are those who want to continue to argue then that is their choice, but personally I cannot see the point in it, arguing will not change the past, so get over it!.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 06:27:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This happened a few days ago, its not really the past yet. No covering things up because people can't take the heat from what they did plox. =[

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 06:32:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i wonder how many pages this will make

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 06:41:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 05:37 what this comes down to is 0x90's word vs. erikboxem's; to my understanding 0x90 should know how the cheat works considering he made it

...erik never left and rejoined, you can check the logs like i did

that means he had the shit running the whole time, and his reason that it was only "to catch cheaters" has absolutely no credibility

i can tell by reading that the DG people don't care what anyone else has to say and are going to stand by erik; that isn't what i care about

...i just want him to step up and admit it

Quote:is he banned from the RG network yet?

QFT * 2

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 07:47:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote on Wed, 11 July 2007 22:37 what this comes down to is 0x90's word vs. erikboxem's; to my understanding 0x90 should know how the cheat works considering he made it

...erik never left and rejoined, you can check the logs like i did

that means he had the shit running the whole time, and his reason that it was only "to catch cheaters" has absolutely no credibility

i can tell by reading that the DG people don't care what anyone else has to say and are going to stand by erik; that isn't what i care about

...i just want him to step up and admit it

Regardless of whether 0x90's statement is correct, erikboxem only joined the server once - at the start of the game. Therefore, he was lying about the whole thing.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [cAmpa](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 08:53:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:is he banned from the RG network yet?

I hope, bypassing rg = ban?!

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 08:58:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Duke Gaming are pretty well known for harbouring cheaters imo.

anyone else notice how the DG people who have come here to post seem to think that it is totally NORMAL to have cheats on your computer and that it is normal to have used them at some point?

ERIKBOXEM's IP: 82.72.117.15

Ban at will

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 09:21:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MexPirate wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 10:58Duke Gaming are pretty well known for harbouring cheaters imo.

anyone else notice how the DG people who have come here to post seem to think that it is totally NORMAL to have cheats on your computer and that it is normal to have used them at some point?

ERIKBOXEM's IP: 82.72.117.15

Ban at will

You know what the good thing about him is? He's dutch, which means he most likely has a static IP. Thus if you ban his IP, he shouldn't be able to join anyway

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 10:47:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jojobinks1610 wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 00:47, Erik knows he did wrong during the fun war and I believe he is genuinely sorry

Total fucking pish. The cheats are never sorry.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [jnz](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 10:51:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i usd chets un ur serv nuw im bant i um lik raly sry plz unbant ma ih wost ma folt ma dug loded r g
h nd sturted chetng un ur serv.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 10:57:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://duke-gaming.com/forum/viewtopic.php?t=1836> LOL

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 11:29:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MexPirate wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 05:57<http://duke-gaming.com/forum/viewtopic.php?t=1836>
LOL

LOL! Gold - And Hex pretty much owned them all.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [OWA](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 11:46:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dammit, the stupidity of some people here is unbearable.

Why should he be banned if he has not done anything to you personally and he wasn't doing any intentional harm to other players? I agree that cheats are bad, but without a spectator mode or something (like MP-Gaming's server) what was there to use to root out the cheat? IRC logs are good but con-crete proof is more substantial in an argument to back up the log.

I believe erik acted in a perfectly acceptable way since he has the authority to do so. Any of you saying "well does that mean we can cheat to find cheaters" are wrong, since you don't have the right to do so on their server. Since erik is an official on the server, I would see that it is his right to use what methods he can to root out cheaters. Although, he should think a bit more next time before acting so rashly.

Give him a slap on the wrist and send him on his way. Banning is too extreme for this case.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Caveman](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 11:58:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One Winged Angel wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 12:46Dammit, the stupidity of some people here is unbearable.

Why should he be banned if he has not done anything to you personally and he wasn't doing any intentional harm to other players? I agree that cheats are bad, but without a spectator mode or something (like MP-Gaming's server) what was there to use to root out the cheat? IRC logs are good but con-crete proof is more substantial in an argument to back up the log.

I believe erik acted in a perfectly acceptable way since he has the authority to do so. Any of you saying "well does that mean we can cheat to find cheaters" are wrong, since you don't have the right to do so on their server. Since erik is an official on the server, I would see that it is his right to use what methods he can to root out cheaters. Although, he should think a bit more next time before acting so rashly.

Give him a slap on the wrist and send him on his way. Banning is too extreme for this case.

Ok so wtf? Perhaps you didn't read the logs? He never left game. So that means h joined the server with *cheat name removed*turned on. And you think thats fair? And just before you say well how do you know he actually used it to cheat, I will answer with - So its ok for me to join with BigHead but I won't use it?

The simple matter of fact is that you don't use cheats to find cheats and if you do, you certainly don't use them during a funwar.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 12:49:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

1) SSGM should be installed on EVERY server. You can disable the features you don't want - the most important thing is to leave gamelog on. It records every bit of damage dealt, player locations, chats, and more. I can't tell you how many times I have busted cheaters by analyzing the gamelog.txt file. IF in fact the explanation has any margin of truth in it, the gamelog file could have found the cheater.

2) I'm saying this as a server owner, hoping that perhaps others might follow: If you are afraid to leave DG because you have power in the server, I am offering you the possibility of getting a similar position in n00bstories. Just fill out this application here:
<http://www.n00bstories.com/n00bkicker.app.php> and mention that you are a member of DG

looking to defect. Your answers to our application will be very important because we don't know you as well as a player, so keep that in mind. You may contact me via PM if you have any questions.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 12:56:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Plz don't ignore the RG network question:

bypassing RG = ban from the network, right?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 13:01:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I thought they already did.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 13:27:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One Winged AngelDammit, the stupidity of some people here is unbearable.
This is where we agree.

One Winged AngelWhy should he be banned if he has not done anything to you personally and he wasn't doing any intentional harm to other players? I agree that cheats are bad, but without a spectator mode or something (like MP-Gaming's server) what was there to use to root out the cheat? IRC logs are good but concrete proof is more substantial in an argument to back up the log.

I believe erik acted in a perfectly acceptable way since he has the authority to do so. Any of you saying "well does that mean we can cheat to find cheaters" are wrong, since you don't have the right to do so on their server. Since erik is an official on the server, I would see that it is his right to use what methods he can to root out cheaters. Although, he should think a bit more next time before acting so rashly.

Give him a slap on the wrist and send him on his way. Banning is too extreme for this case.
This is where we disagree.

You don't get it, do you? HE LIED. He and his cronies all said that he LEFT the game to activate the cheat to "seek" the cheater. The logs, however, prove otherwise. He only joined the server once, so he had the cheat activated BEFORE he joined.

So we have erik using a cheat, and lying about using the cheat. What more do I have to say to convince you that what he did wasn't "perfectly acceptable". HE LIED, FOR GOD'S SAKE. THAT TELLS YOU SOMETHING ABOUT HIS INTEGRITY.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [OWA](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 13:36:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It is his server is it not? Or am I mistaken here. I find it perfectly acceptable if it is on his server. Otherwise I take back my previous comment.

Logs are too long, so I didn't read. I'm just vouching an opinion based on what I know.

Needs Saberhawk's anticheat..... It blocks 90% of renegade nastys. Pity it is clientside though :\

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Ghostshaw](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 13:38:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes it was his server, but it was during an FCW, sooo go figure that people are mad.

-Ghost-

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [OWA](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 13:41:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, if it's his server, let him do what he fucking wants with it.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 13:48:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He made some rules on his server, and these rules include "cheating forbidden"..

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 14:12:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One Winged Angel wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 09:41Well, if it's his server, let him do what he

fucking wants with it.

He can do whatever he pleases with his server. We're not saying he can't. We're saying that he's still a cheater for doing it, and then he lied about the whole situation on top of it all.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Caveman](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 14:49:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One Winged Angel wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 14:41 Well, if it's his server, let him do what he fucking wants with it.

Understand this: It may have been his server and yes I agree he can do whatever he wants with it. If he wants to cheat in public on his own server then thats fine. It'll only make players not return there anymore. But as soon as you cheat in a FUNWAR (Don't you understand that...A FUNWAR) you cross the line.

He should be punished like the no good cheater that he is.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [QoQFrost](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 16:24:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Guys stay to the topic please.

I still don't know how you dg people thinks thats its ok to cheat. Even if its just 5 seconds and you leave ITS STILL CHEATING. Why? Because you have a cheat on during the game. It doesn't matter how it's used, it's still cheating.

So please, don't even bother to post replies that says "BUT HE DIDN'T USE IT TO WIN THE GAME". No, don't post those kind of replies, it'll just make you stupid and make us laugh.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 16:32:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One Winged Angel wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 13:46 Dammit, the stupidity of some people here is unbearable.

Why should he be banned if he has not done anything to you personally and he wasn't doing any intentional harm to other players? I agree that cheats are bad, but without a spectator mode or something (like MP-Gaming's server) what was there to use to root out the cheat? IRC logs are

good but concrete proof is more substantial in an argument to back up the log.

I believe erik acted in a perfectly acceptable way since he has the authority to do so. Any of you saying "well does that mean we can cheat to find cheaters" are wrong, since you don't have the right to do so on their server. Since erik is an official on the server, I would see that it is his right to use what methods he can to root out cheaters. Although, he should think a bit more next time before acting so rashly.

Give him a slap on the wrist and send him on his way. Banning is too extreme for this case.

It is his server is it not? Or am I mistaken here. I find it perfectly acceptable if it is on his server. Otherwise I take back my previous comment.

Logs are too long, so I didn't read. I'm just vouching an opinion based on what I know.

Needs Saberhawk's anticheat..... It blocks 90% of renegade nastys. Pity it is clientside though :\

Well, if it's his server, let him do what he fucking wants with it.
OK, read this slowly and carefully.

1. It didn't happen in his public server. It was an organised community match. If the leader of the opposite team wasn't informed beforehand about the fact the leader of each team is supposedly "allowed" to use cheats - and he clearly wasn't - he DOESN'T have the authority to use it.

2. This is the important part:

HE LIED TO YOU AND HIS COMMUNITY ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED

HE SAID HE LEFT THE GAME TO TURN ON THE CHEAT FOR ITS SPECTATOR QUALITIES - HE WAS LYING TO YOU, LOGS HAVE PROVEN THIS. HE WAS PROBABLY LYING ABOUT THE "CHEATER" ON THE OTHER TEAM TOO, SINCE NOT A SHRED OF EVIDENCE HAS BEEN POSTED TO SUPPORT IT OTHER THAN THE WORD OF SOMEONE WHO HAS PROVEN HE WILL LIE TO HIS OWN COMMUNITY TO MANIPULATE THEM INTO SUPPORTING HIM AFTER HE WAS CAUGHT CHEATING

Don't post again until you've read and understood the reality of that post ^^

Just to repeat something:

Spoony wrote If he did leave the game briefly, then he may be telling the truth.

If he didn't, then he's not only a cheater but he's also lied to his own community so they'll risk their own reputations to stick up for him, which is very nearly (but not quite) as pathetic as actually cheating.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [QoQFrost](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 16:34:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Couldn't say it better myself

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 16:36:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'll paraphrase what Spooky said in his big, red letters, so there's no misunderstanding (though, I can't see how there would be).

1. He lied to his community and us.
 2. All we have is his word.
 3. If he lied, which logs proved he did, his word means nothing because he has the potential to continue to lie.
-

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Commandosky](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 17:14:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

everyone understands now what all of our guy's are saying

every evening(in my timedistrict) is the server of DG full, so it doesn't say anything that a server owner has cheat during a fw
the normal players aren't interested in the story about a server owner who is cheating

it's bad what he has done, but just leave it,
do what you feelings is saying

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Spooky](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 17:18:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commandosky wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 12:14everyone understands now what all of our guy's are saying

every evening(in my timedistrict) is the server of DG full, so it doesn't say anything that a server owner has cheat during a fw
the normal players aren't interested in the story about a server owner who is cheating

it's bad what he has done, but just leave it,
do what you feelings is saying

You don't care that he cheated?

You don't care that he lied to everyone in your community so you would look stupid by defending someone who was obviously guilty?

Is it not more reasonable to suggest that you guys have tried to cover it up at DG forums? As soon as the truth comes out that Erik was lying to you right from the start, it's all "it's over people make mistakes move on!", right?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Commandosky](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 17:23:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yes, i do care that he has cheated and he regret that

that's enough for me, but not for you i see

we don't have spoken alot about the problem on the forums

just move on

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Romaner](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 17:25:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commandosky wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 11:23yes, i do care that he has cheated and he regret that

that's enough for me, but not for you i see

just move on

but any cheater can say he is sorry and that he regrets cheating...

all he really regrets is being caught cheating

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Commandosky](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 17:28:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

everyone has told his/her point in this problem

it's now the decision of the rest of you what to do with it
your choice

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 17:28:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commandosky wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 12:23yes, i do care that he has cheated and he regret that

Rofl no he doesn't...

he lied to you again and again so he'd get away with it, not caring that people like you would look stupid by defending him BECAUSE HE LIED TO YOU

Commandosky wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 12:23that's enough for me, but not for you i see

we don't have spoken alot about the problem on the forums

just move on

you're right it's not enough, you can't just say "let's move on" LESS THAN A DAY AFTER YOU GOT CAUGHT CHEATING AND LYING TO YOUR ENTIRE COMMUNITY TO COVER IT UP.

I'm reminded of the fact most of you guys were going absolutely berserk in the community match against Clanwars.cc, when you wrongly thought one of us was cheating. It's different when it's your leader, of course.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [futura83](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 17:31:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commandosky wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 18:23yes, i do care that he has cheated and he regret that

that's enough for me, but not for you i see

we don't have spoken alot about the problem on the forums

just move on

Blind faith FTW.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Commandosky](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 17:31:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nobody from the DG community is following this, only i, but it seems to be pointless to stay here any longer

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 17:34:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commandosky wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 13:28 everyone has told his/her point in this problem

it's now the decision of the rest of you what to do with it
your choice

That's a bullshit cop-out.

You just don't want to lose your stance in a community. All of the reasonable people here have seen your point, but have argued against it because it makes no sense to support a LIAR. He LIED to all of us.

You say you believe that he's regretting his decision, okay. How about now that he lied about quitting to activate the cheat? If he lied about that, couldn't he have lied about regretting his decision? You can't tell if people are sincere over the internet. It just means that you're a sap.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Romaner](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 18:51:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

well it seems clear that those who decided to stay loyal to him are not going to even listen to this. i mean its already been proven.. that he cheated, had the cheat on from the getgo. lied about it and now wants to sweep it under the rug, by urging the members of his community not to visit renforums... what more can be done? nothing!

so this topic might aswell be locked since it has served its purpose. and the only people that are posting in it are either the renforum members that are mad that this cheater is not being treated as any other low life cheating scum... or the people following this cheating server owner that do not question him or doubt in him.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [QoQFrost](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 19:20:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, I think we should bring the war to their home, seeing as how they didn't realize what they done yet. Of course, I'm not that evil.

If anyone cares...

<http://duke-gaming.com/forum/viewtopic.php?t=1836&sid=c0f58f04b0e09814a650fd1707de91c9>

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [OWA](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 19:21:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The phrase "Too long, didn't read" comes to mind.

Spoony, he did not lie to me personally, what makes you think he did? Big red text is unnecessary kthx.

Anyways, I'm done. This thread is lost. I have nothing more to add or argue about.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 21:24:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One Winged Angel wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 14:21 Spoony, he did not lie to me personally, what makes you think he did?

the fact you swallowed his bullshit story like a mouthful of semen and you misguidedly defend him, that's what.

One Winged Angel wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 14:21 Big red text is unnecessary kthx.

It's rare I use it, it's reserved for occasions when someone displays colossal stupidity by refusing to see something blindingly obvious to any adult, most children and, in fact, some domestic animals.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Ghostshaw](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 22:50:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Somebody lock this, this is obviously not gonna help anyone.

-Ghost-

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Hex](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 22:50:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He lied to me on IRC even after he was found out

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 23:09:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i want to see someone play on DG and get banned for the same cheat erik was using, that'll make me laugh

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Blast](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 23:22:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One Winged Angel wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 14:36It is his server is it not? Or am I mistaken here. I find it perfectly acceptable if it is on his server. Otherwise I take back my previous comment.

Logs are too long, so I didn't read. I'm just vouching an opinion based on what I know.

Needs Saberhawk's anticheat..... It blocks 90% of renegade nastys. Pity it is clientside though :\

Sure it was his server. I bet I speak for most ppl in here in saying that we don't actually give a damn about what happens to his server. He owns it. We can't ban him there. But he bypassed RenGuard, therefore earning a ban from the RG network...

Got it? Ok, shut the hell up about that.

The questioning now seems to lean towards the dumbasses on his "side". Personally, I don't care if you side with him and stay in that server, I don't want ppl of your reasoning infecting the few remaining decent servers.

Basically, it seems like most ppl here will think you are a fucktard if you stay, reasonable if you leave, and Crimson even seems to be helping by offering mods to some...

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 01:19:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ROFL, wow, is that the same admin as in Eric3 on these forums? Thats a somewhat shock, not that I had any expectations of Duke Gaming at all

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 05:16:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

erikboxem = erikboxem.

And hi blast! <3 -Ds

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 05:33:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blast wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 18:22 Sure it was his server. I bet I speak for most ppl in here in saying that we don't actually give a damn about what happens to his server. He owns it. it WASN'T his server, it was an organised match against another community.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [SF1eagles](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 08:24:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm not part of duke gaming but ive known erik for awile
It seems he did cheat but I still like him as a guy, I dont care that much its a GAME. If you ever knew him/talked to him for like 30min you would feel the same way. I say do this, if I knew him or you knew him or did not know him at all...

Ban him from your RG and whatever servers you want...but don't tell DG what to do in THEIR community. Not all of you did, and most of you are now saying "let them host whatever the fuck they want" but really don't put down the people that stood up for him, because they BELIEVED AT THE TIME that he didn't cheat. Even now they stand up for him for the above reason that he is a good guy. I realize this post might not make much sense and you guys will probably rip me for it but frankly I dont care.

SF1eagles

p.s

i dont read these forums but i find it funny that 0x90 is posting hehe

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 08:31:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We've gone from

"He doesn't cheat, he used it just then to catch a cheater"

over

"He doesn't cheat, he uses it to catch cheaters and has it running"

and

"He doesn't cheat, he used it to catch cheaters but he did lie to us about it"

to

"He cheats and lied about it but we don't care because he's a great guy"

Maybe you should name your server "cheaters allowed", at least it'll be clear for everyone.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 08:41:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

@Goztow: they are only allowed if they are nice guys. If they arent, they are banned on sight of course.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [OWA](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 08:49:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 22:24

the fact you swallowed his bullshit story like a mouthful of semen and you misguidedly defend him, that's what.

It's rare I use it, it's reserved for occasions when someone displays colossal stupidity by refusing to see something blindingly obvious to any adult, most children and, in fact, some domestic animals.

Firstly, I never read the whole story so you can't accuse me of reading or believing anything. I only stepped in because one of my friends who is a regular at DG came in and said to me what a bunch of retards you were being. Also, I had no idea that this was all linked into the Renguard network since I don't follow or play renegade often, only really to test things.

Is this getting us anywhere? No...

Should this be locked? Yes... kthxdie

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 09:06:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One Winged Angel wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 03:49
Firstly, I never read the whole story so you can't accuse me of reading or believing anything. I only stepped in because one of my friends who is a regular at DG came in and said to me what a bunch of retards you were being. Also, I had no idea that this was all linked into the Renguard network since I don't follow or play renegade often, only really to test things.

ok, we'll start with the "I never read the whole story". No disputing that, since you've been consistently wrong with every single post you've made. So you stuck your neck out to defend someone not even knowing what the deal was? Weird.

Now, the "what a bunch of retards you're being". Hmmm. You refuse to say anything bad about someone who cheats in a community match and lies to his community about it so they defend him, but when anyone objects to this pathetic behaviour, you call them "retards". I'd say you've unwittingly revealed just what a low level of importance you attach to honesty and sportsmanship, pretty much like most of DG at this point.

One Winged Angel wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 03:49Is this getting us anywhere? No... It is actually, this thread's achieved quite a lot... it's proven that the leader/admin/whatever-he-is of a well-known Renegade community is a cheater and a compulsive liar, and it's proven that just because someone tells you he's innocent in the face of evidence doesn't mean you should blindly believe him.

One Winged Angel wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 03:49Should this be locked? Yes... kthxdie How mature of you... telling someone to "die" over a computer game forum, just because you're angry at the fact you've completely humiliated yourself.

SF1Eagle wrote:I'm not part of duke gaming but ive known erik for awile It seems he did cheat but I still like him as a guy, I dont care that much its a GAME. If you ever knew him/talked to him for like 30min you would feel the same way. I say do this, if I knew him or you knew him or did not know him at all...

Ban him from your RG and whatever servers you want...but don't tell DG what to do in THEIR community. Not all of you did, and most of you are now saying "let them host whatever the fuck they want" but really don't put down the people that stood up for him, because they BELIEVED AT THE TIME that he didn't cheat. Even now they stand up for him for the above reason that he is a good guy. I realize this post might not make much sense and you guys will probably rip me for it but frankly I dont care.

you might respect him, but since he's lied to you from start to finish, not caring whether you get lumped into the same cheat category he's in, he OBVIOUSLY doesn't respect you. You're not his friend, you're his bitch.

SF1Eagle wrote:but really don't put down the people that stood up for him, because they BELIEVED AT THE TIME that he didn't cheat.

let me explain why people are doing that:

now that the truth everyone except DG knew from the start has been proven, DG still refuse to condemn him. Thanks to this, DG's coming across as a community who DOESN'T HAVE A PROBLEM with cheating or compulsively lying to your own community.

Any questions let me know.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 09:52:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So if I'm nice, I can cheat in the DG server? Sweet! I've got some cool stuff I've been wanting to use on real players.

I'm kidding!

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 10:09:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:
I'm kidding! you are not

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 11:15:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Surth wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 03:41 @Goztow: they are only allowed if they are nice guys. If they arent, they are banned on sight of course.

So does that mean someone joins your community. Appears to be a great guys THEN you find out he cheats...he's not banned? Then screw your shitty server.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 11:24:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Do i have to mark every ironic sentence with Smileys & Pseudotags?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [QoQuake](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 11:53:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hes not sorry he cheated at all, hes sorry he got caught cheating.
and he never told anyone aout this "person shooting him in ref"

and yeah people who play in duke-gaming are just blind.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [EvilWhiteDragon](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 12:46:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sadukar09 wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 13:15 Surth wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 03:41 @Goztow: they are only allowed if they are nice guys. If they arent, they are banned on sight of course.

So does that mean someone joins your community. Appears to be a great guys THEN you find out he cheats...he's not banned? Then screw your shitty server.
I wonder, if I would join their server with *caught* on, and actively using it (of course to spot cheaters) would they ask me if I'm a nice guy before I get banned? Because I think that a lot of ppl here will agree with me, I'm a pretty nice guy. I might disagree with some of us here, but won't go to downright flaming.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 12:57:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Surth wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 07:24Do i have to mark every ironic sentence with Smileys & Pseudotags?
Yes, you do, actually. While I knew your post was sarcastic, the collective IQ of this forum is rather low, so to expect them to be able to remember that you're on the side of reason is a bit much to expect of them.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 12:58:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cough Join their server with cheats to find out their whole server is cheating. *Cough*

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [puddle_splasher](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 15:14:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sadukar09 wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 07:58*Cough* Join their server with cheats to find out their whole server is cheating. *Cough*

Do any of their server NOT cheat?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Ghostshaw](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 15:16:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Please don't turn this into a mud throwing competition people.

-Ghost-

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [OWA](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 16:18:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 10:06

ok, we'll start with the "I never read the whole story". No disputing that, since you've been consistently wrong with every single post you've made. So you stuck your neck out to defend someone not even knowing what the deal was? Weird.

I only got half the story here, or so it seems. As I said before, a friend of mine came to me telling me that the place he plays at was getting shot at. Given my position I decided to step in, not reading the facts. I can accept I am wrong for jumping in and not knowing the entire story. For that I apologise.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 10:06Now, the "what a bunch of retards you're being". Hmmm. You refuse to say anything bad about someone who cheats in a community match and lies to his community about it so they defend him, but when anyone objects to this pathetic behaviour, you call them "retards". I'd say you've unwittingly revealed just what a low level of importance you attach to honesty and sportsmanship, pretty much like most of DG at this point.

Looks like you didn't read my post thoroughly enough. I was basically feeding back to you what my friend said. So, I'm afraid you can't direct that at me. To correct you, I hold sportsmanship and honesty in high value, my fatal character flaw being that I am gullible...

OWAI only stepped in because one of my friends who is a regular at DG came in and said to me what a bunch of retards you were being.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 10:06It is actually, this thread's achieved quite a lot... it's proven that the leader/admin/whatever-he-is of a well-known Renegade community is a cheater and a compulsive liar, and it's proven that just because someone tells you he's innocent in the fact of evidence doesn't mean you should blindly believe him.

I wasn't talking about the purpose and development of the thread, I was talking about the bitchfights that are going on in this thread. Sorry if I was not clear enough for you.

Spoony wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 10:06How mature of you... telling someone to "die" over a computer game forum, just because you're angry at the fact you've completely humiliated yourself. At no point did I say that it was directed at a person I directed that comment at the thread. Again, sorry if I was not clear enough for you. I may have humiliated myself but I don't care. Humiliation is a part of life is it not?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Romaner](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 17:00:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One Winged Angel wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007

I don't follow or play renegade often, only really to test things.

sounds like something a cheater would say

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [OWA](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 17:03:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Romaner wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 18:00
sounds like something a modder would say
Fixed...

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 17:04:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One Winged Angel wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 12:03Romaner wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 18:00
sounds like something a modder would say
Fixed...

haha..Romaner gets pwned.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Romaner](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 17:26:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

oh please like you never heard the cheaters say... i was just testing something out. or i was just testing out this cheat so i could detect it better

its not like im saying you are a cheater. all i said is its something a cheater would say.

and yes i know my comment was off topic and so is this one... but who cares this thread should be locked anyways

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 17:27:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Romaner wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 12:00One Winged Angel wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007

I don't follow or play renegade often, only really to test things.

sounds like something an AR mod leader would say

fixed AGAIN

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 17:39:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sadukar09 wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 13:27Romaner wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 12:00One Winged Angel wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007

I don't follow or play renegade often, only really to test things.

sounds like something an AR mod leader would say

fixed AGAIN

Oh, shut the fuck up.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [futura83](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 17:42:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cheesesoda wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 18:39sadukar09 wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 13:27Romaner wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 12:00One Winged Angel wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007

I don't follow or play renegade often, only really to test things.

sounds like something an AR mod leader would say

fixed AGAIN

Oh, shut the fuck up.

Hear hear!

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Blast](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 19:29:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 00:33Blast wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 18:22Sure it was his server. I bet I speak for most ppl in here in saying that we don't actually give a damn about what happens to his server. He owns it.
it WASN'T his server, it was an organised match against another community.

Ah, my mistake, I was under the impression that the match was in his server. Therefore we couldn't ban him there...

But okie dokie!

lol

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 22:15:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Forum RulesModerators: Respect the decisions of the moderators, they hold that position for good reason. If you have any serious complaints, contact Crimson or Blazer privately. Do not start a public bash-fest against a moderator you don't agree with.
Also keep in mind that you are not a moderator. You do not decide when threads are locked, posts are deleted, etc., and therefore should not post as such in threads. The "Report Message" and Private Message features are there for a reason.

Either way, I am moving this to the Heated Discussions forum.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Fri, 13 Jul 2007 22:30:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

About time. ^

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [OWA](#) on Sat, 14 Jul 2007 10:32:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Romaner wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 18:26oh please like you never heard the cheaters say... i was just testing something out. or i was just testing out this cheat so i could detect it better

its not like im saying you are a cheater. all i said is its something a cheater would say.

and yes i know my comment was off topic and so is this one... but who cares this thread should be locked anyways

Haha. Just to clarify, I test .pkgs and experimental scripts in Renegade at the moment but I will no longer be using Renegade to test as the Apocalypse Rising test builds have recently gone standalone. I have never used cheats online. I should really play Renegade a little more often as I seem to always go and play APB instead

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Duke](#) on Sat, 14 Jul 2007 14:32:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blast wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 14:29Spoony wrote on Fri, 13 July 2007 00:33Blast wrote on Thu, 12 July 2007 18:22Sure it was his server. I bet I speak for most ppl in here in saying that we don't actually give a damn about what happens to his server. He owns it.
it WASN'T his server, it was an organised match against another community.

Ah, my mistake, I was under the impression that the match was in his server. Therefore we couldn't ban him there...

But okie dokie!

lol

It was hosted on our server.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 14 Jul 2007 14:42:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Who hosted the community match has absolutely no relevance WHATSOEVER.

I guess if I'm playing a clanmatch and I'm providing the server, it's OK for me to cheat, right? Also fine for me to lie to my own clan about whether I'm cheating so they'll stick up for me?

Stupid geeks... anything to avoid seeing what everyone else knew from the start.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Sat, 14 Jul 2007 18:57:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Sat, 14 July 2007 09:42Who hosted the community match has absolutely no

relevance WHATSOEVER.

I guess if I'm playing a clanmatch and I'm providing the server, it's OK for me to cheat, right? Also fine for me to lie to my own clan about whether I'm cheating so they'll stick up for me?

Stupid geeks... anything to avoid seeing what everyone else knew from the start.
Spoony always says it all.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 14 Jul 2007 20:10:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

don't get me wrong... I have the utmost respect for anyone who's willing to host a server for clanmatches, community games, etc... I've got a bunch of 'em in my league and they have my thanks. But anyone who thinks they're allowed to cheat because of it is a FUCKING RETARD

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 14 Jul 2007 20:31:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Everyone on Erikboxem's side, EVERYONE, has been arguing completely backward...

to clarify that: arguing forwards is when you look at the situation and the facts, and then draw a conclusion based on those facts. That's what everyone is doing when they see the evidence that he cheated and the lies he told to try to get away with it. Conclusion: he's a cheater and a liar

Arguing backwards is when you draw your conclusion first and then look for facts that will support it. And the thing about people who argue backwards is when their 'facts' are proven wrong, they don't abandon the conclusion JUST BECAUSE there's absolutely nothing to support it - they just look for another 'fact' to support it.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Blast](#) on Sat, 14 Jul 2007 21:14:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Sat, 14 July 2007 09:42Who hosted the community match has absolutely no relevance WHATSOEVER.

I guess if I'm playing a clanmatch and I'm providing the server, it's OK for me to cheat, right? Also fine for me to lie to my own clan about whether I'm cheating so they'll stick up for me?

Stupid geeks... anything to avoid seeing what everyone else knew from the start.

Ah, you seem to have misunderstood. I am in no way defending him, AT ALL.

I agree that everyone defending him has no reason to do so.

My point was simply that we cannot ban him from his own server.

Seeing as he has been banned from the RG network, what else can we do besides not play there and hope the dumbasses defending him don't play with us?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [futura83](#) on Sat, 14 Jul 2007 21:31:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blast wrote on Sat, 14 July 2007 22:14Spoony wrote on Sat, 14 July 2007 09:42Who hosted the community match has absolutely no relevance WHATSOEVER.

I guess if I'm playing a clanmatch and I'm providing the server, it's OK for me to cheat, right? Also fine for me to lie to my own clan about whether I'm cheating so they'll stick up for me?

Stupid geeks... anything to avoid seeing what everyone else knew from the start.

Ah, you seem to have misunderstood. I am in no way defending him, AT ALL.

I agree that everyone defending him has no reason to do so.

My point was simply that we cannot ban him from his own server.

Seeing as he has been banned from the RG network, what else can we do besides not play there and hope the dumbasses defending him don't play with us?

We can spread his IP to server owners so they can chose to ban him...they even have a section for this on this message board...

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Caveman](#) on Sat, 14 Jul 2007 23:12:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ancient_and_forever wrote on Sat, 14 July 2007 22:31Blast wrote on Sat, 14 July 2007 22:14Spoony wrote on Sat, 14 July 2007 09:42Who hosted the community match has absolutely no relevance WHATSOEVER.

I guess if I'm playing a clanmatch and I'm providing the server, it's OK for me to cheat, right? Also fine for me to lie to my own clan about whether I'm cheating so they'll stick up for me?

Stupid geeks... anything to avoid seeing what everyone else knew from the start.

Ah, you seem to have misunderstood. I am in no way defending him, AT ALL.

I agree that everyone defending him has no reason to do so.

My point was simply that we cannot ban him from his own server.

Seeing as he has been banned from the RG network, what else can we do besides not play there and hope the dumbasses defending him don't play with us?

We can spread his IP to server owners so they can chose to ban him...they even have a section for this on this message board...

Is that a change of heart I see now? So you agree that banning him from all the servers is a good thing and that he was CHEATING in a FunWar?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [futura83](#) on Sat, 14 Jul 2007 23:27:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Caveman wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 00:12Ancient_and_forever wrote on Sat, 14 July 2007 22:31Blast wrote on Sat, 14 July 2007 22:14Spoony wrote on Sat, 14 July 2007 09:42Who hosted the community match has absolutely no relevance WHATSOEVER.

I guess if I'm playing a clanmatch and I'm providing the server, it's OK for me to cheat, right? Also fine for me to lie to my own clan about whether I'm cheating so they'll stick up for me?

Stupid geeks... anything to avoid seeing what everyone else knew from the start.

Ah, you seem to have misunderstood. I am in no way defending him, AT ALL.

I agree that everyone defending him has no reason to do so.

My point was simply that we cannot ban him from his own server.

Seeing as he has been banned from the RG network, what else can we do besides not play there and hope the dumbasses defending him don't play with us?

We can spread his IP to server owners so they can chose to ban him...they even have a section for this on this message board...

Is that a change of heart I see now? So you agree that banning him from all the servers is a good

thing and that he was CHEATING in a FunWar?

Is that sarcasm i smell?

Cos i hopefully have made it clear i don't like erikboxrem cos he cheated...

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [jnz](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 01:29:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How the hell is that a change of heart? lol. He should be banned from all the servers, if he can lie to his whole community about a cheat like that then the only server he should be able to play on is his own.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 07:45:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOL has anyone yet seen his serv irc? i looked today only had 6 ppl and the guy who stood up for him was now an owner hahaha

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 15:23:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

joe937465 wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 02:45LOL has anyone yet seen his serv irc? i looked today only had 6 ppl and the guy who stood up for him was now an owner hahaha

I see bribery

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [futura83](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 15:29:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sadukar09 wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 16:23joe937465 wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 02:45LOL has anyone yet seen his serv irc? i looked today only had 6 ppl and the guy who stood up for him was now an owner hahaha

I see bribery

O RLY!?

(Post count +1)

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Duke](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 16:36:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

joe937465 wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 02:45LOL has anyone yet seen his serv irc? i looked today only had 6 ppl and the guy who stood up for him was now an owner hahaha

Listen if you don't you what your talking about don't say anything. Who is this person that just became a server owner because nobody can just do that. Name some names because I don't have a clue who you are talking about.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [jnz](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 17:01:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hall of fame.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [LGdirk](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 17:15:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

joe937465 wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 02:45LOL has anyone yet seen his serv irc? i looked today only had 6 ppl and the guy who stood up for him was now an owner hahaha

oh i think you talk about me?! dont talk bullshit here when you know nothing about me but 1 thing i will tell you " the owner rights in the channel i have a long time before this topic start here!" and also i have all the rights for the server and for the website! so dont talk bad about me! i did nothing wrong or someone will tell me that i did? and also i have the right to trust everyone that included erikboxem! and thanks for the offer to be a mod on n00bstories but i never want to be a mod, duke-gaming ask me for that and now i'm a admin. i dont need power on a server to have fun. i can play everywhere i want or start up my own server. but why i have to leave duke-gaming? i love all the mods, admins and player there so i never leave them. the only different between the most of you and me is i'm older and wiser and before i condemn someone i talk with him and also i dont talk bad about people when i dont know anything about them. but for sure is this is going offtopic a lot and i read a lot of shit here. at last do what you want, ban his ip from your server and the problem is solved. right? end of story now or need to reply all again or get the top

poster here a prize?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Caveman](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 17:22:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LGdirk wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 18:15joe937465 wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 02:45LOL has anyone yet seen his serv irc? i looked today only had 6 ppl and the guy who stood up for him was now an owner hahaha

oh i think you talk about me?! dont talk bullshit here when you know nothing about me but 1 thing i will tell you " the owner rights in the channel i have a long time before this topic start here!" and also i have all the rights for the server and for the website! so dont talk bad about me! i did nothing wrong or someone will tell me that i did? and also i have the right to trust everyone that included erikboxem! and thanks for the offer to be a mod on n00bstories but i never want to be a mod, duke-gaming ask me for that and now i'm a admin. i dont need power on a server to have fun. i can play everywhere i want or start up my own server. but why i have to leave duke-gaming? i love all the mods, admins and player there so i never leave them. the only different between the most of you and me is i'm older and wiser and before i condemn someone i talk with him and also i dont talk bad about people when i dont know anything about them. but for sure is this is going offtopic a lot and i read a lot of shit here. at last do what you want, ban his ip from your server and the problem is solved. right? end of story now or need to reply all again or get the top poster here a prize?

Please tell me what would we gain by talking to this erik on a 1v1 basis? Its not going to change our minds. The FACTS clearly state he was CHEATING (Do you not understand that word?) during a FunWar. He then told you and all your friends that he only used the cheat to catch another cheat which we PROVED untrue. But you seem to think he did nothing wrong and everything should carry on how it was before. Are you mad or just plain ol stupid?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 17:31:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mod on a popular server>Admin on a shitty server

Which means he likes power, which also concludes HE wants power over people.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 18:12:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:i'm older and wiser Yes, I can clearly see that by looking at your grammar.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 18:49:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

RoShamBo wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 13:01Hall of fame.

+1 agree.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [LGdirk](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 19:45:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

@caveman: i told you ban him if you have a server and for you is nothing more to say!

@sadukar09: i dont need comments like yours

@surth: you go offtopic! you understand what i say and that is enough but when you want i can also talk in german to you!

@alex: useless comment

@admins of this site: when its possible delete all what i post here! its useless to talk here with a bunch of (zensored by my self) here are a lot of n00bs who talking the same ever and ever again and blow it all up here by comments like, yeah see surth... so i must wonder why no admin stop it here. for my self i hope you delete everything what i post because i dont want to be on a useless forum... and now you can say what ever you want i never will read it... i never come back! oh and please delete my account here is also useless for me. thx to the admin who do it. bye to all who try to discuss here

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 19:55:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LGdirk wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 15:45@admins of this site: when its possible delete all what i post here! its useless to talk here with a bunch of (zensored by my self) here are a lot of n00bs who talking the same ever and ever again and blow it all up here by comments like, yeah see surth... so i must wonder why no admin stop it here.

yep, that's way worse than cheating in a community match, and lying to your own community about it so they make themselves look stupid by sticking up for you.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [jnz](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2007 20:39:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LGdirk wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 20:45

@admins of this site: when its possible delete all what i post here!

No, I'm not an admin, but I guess that's what they'll say.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Tankkiller](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 01:47:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is one of the best topics I every seen in my life. Hall of fame galore!

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 02:24:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sadukar09 wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 12:31Mod on a popular server>Admin on a shitty server

Which means he likes power, which also concludes HE wants power over people.

^^If by popular server you mean you avg 15 players on a 40+ player server with a top 5 hostname, your terrible mistaken.

Aleast Duke (before this) has 3x the amount of players on a shitty hostname.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [ExEric3](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 06:17:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 04:24Aleast Duke (before this) has 3x the amount of players on a shitty hostname.

I think some months before you have worse hostname like DG and your server was under Duke.

But it doesnt matter.

Ammount of players on Duke server still. I was there last day and everything still. Yeah over Xwis are connected only 5 - 10 players but others are connected over GameSpy or directly.

Next don't forgot it is European Server and currently are holidays.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 10:46:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LGdirk wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 12:45@admins of this site: when its possible delete all what i post here! its useless to talk here with a bunch of (zensored by my self) here are a lot of n00bs who talking the same ever and ever again and blow it all up here by comments like, yeah see surth... so i must wonder why no admin stop it here. for my self i hope you delete everything what i post because i dont want to be on a useless forum... and now you can say what ever you want i never will read it... i never come back! oh and please delete my account here is also useless for me. thx to the admin who do it. bye to all who try to discuss here

I think you're asking me to delete your account and your posts. I decline that request.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 11:23:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 21:24sadukar09 wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 12:31Mod on a popular server>Admin on a shitty server

Which means he likes power, which also concludes HE wants power over people.

^^If by popular server you mean you avg 15 players on a 40+ player server with a top 5 hostname, your terrible mistaken.

Aleat Duke (before this) has 3x the amount of players on a shitty hostname.

Oh I see our server has 30+ people everyday? Are you so blind to the fact that WOL listings are ALWAYS wrong?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [futura83](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 11:28:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sadukar09 wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 12:23trooprm02 wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 21:24sadukar09 wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 12:31Mod on a popular server>Admin on a shitty server

Which means he likes power, which also concludes HE wants power over people.

^^If by popular server you mean you avg 15 players on a 40+ player server with a top 5 hostname, your terrible mistaken.

Aleast Duke (before this) has 3x the amount of players on a shitty hostname.

Oh I see our server has 30+ people everyday? Are you so blind to the fact that WOL listings are ALWAYS wrong?

WOL listings and troop have something in common then

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Duke](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 15:46:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Surth wrote on Sun, 15 July 2007 13:12Quote:i'm older and wiser Yes, I can clearly see that by looking at your grammar.

He has said many times that his English is not good, so stop criticising. It seems to me you don't read peoples posts fully, hopefully you read this.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 15:53:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So your bring up a topic YOU said to let it go by stating a pointless fact?

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 16:26:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

He has said many times that his English is not good, so stop criticising. It seems to me you don't read peoples posts fully, hopefully you read this.Theres no excuse for bad English

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [MexPirate](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 16:38:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Surth wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 12:26Quote:

He has said many times that his English is not good, so stop criticising. It seems to me you don't

read peoples posts fully, hopefully you read this. Theres no excuse for bad English
apart from not speaking it as a first language

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 16:40:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Poor English isn't a big deal if you can get your point across...

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 16:50:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 11:40: Poor English isn't a big deal if you can get your point across...

True.

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [futura83](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 17:04:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

BuzzOfTheStar wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 17:50: Spoony wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 11:40: Poor English isn't a big deal if you can get your point across...

True.

You missed out the (post count +1)

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 17:42:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote: Poor English isn't a big deal if you can get your point across... I had to read it twice to understand him

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [jnz](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 19:09:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ancient_and_forever wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 18:04 BuzzOfTheStar wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 17:50 Spoony wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 11:40 Poor English isn't a big deal if you can get your point across...

True.

You missed out the (post count +1)

```
function GetPostCount(name)
    handle = Connect("renegadeforums.com")
    Send(handle, "GetPostCount:" and name)
    return Recive(handle)
end

function main()
    PostCount = GetPostCount("RoShamBo")
    PostCount++
end
```

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 19:10:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ancient_and_forever wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 12:04 BuzzOfTheStar wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 17:50 Spoony wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 11:40 Poor English isn't a big deal if you can get your point across...

True.

You missed out the (post count +1)

Haha...actually I was agreeing with Spoony! +1 now LOL.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [0x90](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 20:20:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

RoShamBo wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 21:09

```
function GetPostCount(name)
    handle = Connect("renegadeforums.com")
    Send(handle, "GetPostCount:" and name)
    return Recive(handle)
end

function main()
    PostCount = GetPostCount("RoShamBo")
    PostCount++
end
```

++faker > /dev/null

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [jnz](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 20:26:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lua

Ubunto users, apt-get a life

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [DutchNeon](#) on Mon, 30 Jul 2007 16:30:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Maybe old news, but found out that a other DG mod also cheated, on our server -->
bob was Banned by CloudyServ for: Nod Flame Tank destroyed thanks to limewire3 (GDI
Minigunner/Pistol) - Destroy damage: 694.544617. (IP associated with limewire3).

Ban -> limewire3 -> Nod Flame Tank destroyed thanks to limewire3 (GDI Minigunner/Pistol) -
Destroy damage: 694.544617.

Limewire3 = mod at DG server.

This is a ban of 2006 btw (November).

Subject: Re: erikboxem
Posted by [Romaner](#) on Mon, 30 Jul 2007 17:46:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

well that helps explain why members of that community are sticking up for him... they have

cheated themselves.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [ExEric3](#) on Mon, 30 Jul 2007 18:14:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LoL. And they banned me because I didnt helped him.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [DutchNeon](#) on Mon, 30 Jul 2007 19:36:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I do not say Duke Gaming is a bad community, only that some mods have a cheat history, but its strange that they ban you when you choose a side, and you dont choose his, and then you get banned, like a dictate where you need to follow the same group or you will be killed or something when you choose the other side.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Tue, 31 Jul 2007 21:34:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ExEric3 wrote on Mon, 30 July 2007 13:14LoL. And they banned me because I didnt helped him.

lol?

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [ExEric3](#) on Wed, 01 Aug 2007 07:59:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sadukar09 wrote on Tue, 31 July 2007 23:34

lol?

I worked before for Duke-Gaming. I made some modifications and also I helped him with some settings because they only started with hosting and I had already some experiences.

Everyone from his community cover him. But I told its true. He used this application before also to catch cheaters.

So he decide to ban me everywhere. (IRC, forum, TS and game server).

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Wed, 01 Aug 2007 12:09:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol...we should get HIM banned off the server owners forums or w.e. or even XWIS.

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [_SSnipe_](#) on Mon, 06 Aug 2007 08:05:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

u know what i dont get

whoi asked for ss?

why?

what wa she doing at that time?

and WHY WHOULD HE SEND A SS SHOWING THE HACK in the first place when u can turn it off

Subject: Re: erikboxem

Posted by [kikiller](#) on Tue, 07 Aug 2007 01:11:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

why hasnt any server mod lock this topic already it has lost all meaning and who cares about the past gtf over it. A lot of people here have shown their level of intelligence to be rather low. And yes i know duke dose have hackers especially stone kirby and today i played there and i got killed by someone with damage hacks. This is the very last im going to post about this.
