

---

Subject: Re: Jesus

Posted by [warranto](#) on Sun, 27 Aug 2006 16:56:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dover wrote on Sun, 27 August 2006 10:22History has proven things like:

- DNA testing for parental testing
- Electron microscopes
- Those brain-activity-measure-doochickies
- Telescopes
- Radios
- Wireless internet routers
- Electricity
- Hard drives

To hold truth almost all the time. The same cannot be said for God.

Oh? You mean youre going to believe what someone said, in some book? YOU have not proven it, therefore all you can do it take the word of someone else, who could very much be lying in some sort of grand scheme.

DNA is only available as proof, if you believe the person interpreting the results of an experiment, that you did not do, is correct.

the measurement of brain activity in no way proves a conciousness exists. It just measures... brain activity.

Telescopes prove nothing, other than you can see what the telescope tells you to see. You didn't follow it through the production stage, so you have no way of knowing if what you say is true. It could very well be a large-scale lie in order to get you to believe something is a certain way.

Radios also prove nothing. You have no way of knowing if it is "radio waves" that are transmitting the voice, or some sort of magic. You must rely on what someone else told you that things are they way THEY say they are.

Once again, you have not proven that electricity exists. You are chosing to believe what someone else told you about it's existance. For all you know, it could, once again, be magic that makes things run.

Hard drives are simply a device that we are told is used to store data. We don't actually know if data is being written to it. We simply choose to believe what someone else told us about it. For all you know, it could be little creatures that can only carry small things, and those creatures are what transport the "data" to our screen.

Quote:and what I ment with I don't believe in things I cannot see/feel etc, is like "god".

Sorry, that excuse doesn't work. Modifying your reasoning to create exceptions, just to make yourself right, in no way gives your argument credibility. In fact, most people who do that are considered to be dillusional, and often end up requiring psychological help.

It would be the same thing as using the following as a defence against murder.

You: "I killed that guy, but it wasn't murder."

Judge: "Why?"

You: "Because that guy is exempt from being murdered, because I say so. Only if someone else was killed would it be murder!"

---